UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER SYSTEM ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAM POST-CONSTRUCTION PERFORMANCE EVALUATION REPORT – YEAR 7 (2005)

PRINCETON REFUGE HABITAT REHABILITATION AND ENHANCEMENT PROJECT



SEPTEMBER 2005



US Army Corps of Engineers Rock Island District POOL 14 MISSISSIPPI RIVER MILES 504.0-506.4R SCOTT COUNTY, IOWA



ROCK ISLAND DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS CLOCK TOWER BUILDING - P.O. BOX 2004 ROCK ISLAND, ILLINOIS 61204-2004

REPLY TO ATTENTION OF

http://www.mvr.usace.army.mil

CEMVR-PM-M

UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER SYSTEM ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAM POST-CONSTRUCTION PERFORMANCE EVALUATION REPORT – YEAR 7 (2005)

PRINCETON REFUGE HABITAT REHABILITATION AND ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

POOL 14, MISSISSIPPI RIVER MILES 504.0-506.4R SCOTT COUNTY, IOWA

JUNE 2005

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Many individuals of the Rock Island District, United States Army Corps of Engineers; the United States Fish and Wildlife Service; and the Iowa Department of Natural Resources contributed to the development of this Post-Construction Performance Evaluation Report for the Princeton Refuge Habitat Rehabilitation and Enhancement Project. These individuals are listed below with contact information provided in Appendix H. Additional information about the Princeton HREP is available at the following web site - http://www.mvr.usace.army.mil/EMP/hrep/Princeton.htm.

U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS ROCK ISLAND DISTRICT

PROGRAM MANAGER: TECHNICAL COORDINATOR: PROJECT ENGINEER: PROJECT BIOLOGIST: DISTRICT FORESTER: HYDRAULIC ENGINEER: MECHANICAL ENGINEER: REPORT PREPARER: Roger Perk Darron Niles Troy Hythecker Charlene Carmack Gary Swenson Tom Kirkeeng John Behrens Mary Damewood

U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER NATIONAL WILDLIFE & FISH REFUGE

EMP COORDINATOR: SAVANNA DISTRICT MANAGER:

Sharonne Baylor Ed Britton

IOWA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES MAQUOKETA WILDLIFE UNIT

AREA WILDLIFE BIOLOGIST/REFUGE MANAGER: WILDLIFE TECHNICIAN/SITE MANAGER: MISSISSIPPI RIVER FISHERIES BIOLOGIST: MISSISSIPPI RIVER WILDLIFE BIOLOGIST: Bob Sheets Randy Robinson John Pitlo Mike Griffin



US Army Corps of Engineers.





EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1. General. As stated in the Definite Project Report, the Princeton Refuge Habitat Rehabilitation and Enhancement Project (HREP) was initiated due to the inability to maintain desirable water levels as the result of a deteriorated levee system and limited water control. The levee surrounding the Princeton Wildlife Area was originally constructed in the late 1920s and early 1930s. A small capacity pump and outlet structure, installed in 1957, allowed some manipulation of water levels, but management was often compromised by limited pumping capability and levee overtopping during high water events. Levee improvements in 1982, in combination with the installation of a higher capacity pump in 1983, helped to overcome some of these difficulties. However, improved water level control was necessary to maximize and sustain wetland habitat quality and quantity for migratory birds.

2. Purpose. The purpose of this Performance Evaluation Report (PER) is to provide a summary of the monitoring data and field observations, as well as project operation and maintenance, since project completion in 1998.

3. Project Goals, Objectives, and Features. The goal with associated objectives and features for the Princeton HREP are as follows:

a. Enhance Wetland Habitat

- (1) Provide reliable food source for migratory birds through construction of a levee system, pump station, and water control structures
- (2) Increase overall vegetation diversity and availability of preferred wildlife foods through mast tree plantings

4. Observations and Findings. For the evaluation period since project completion, the objectives to meet the goal had the following observations and findings.

a. Provide Reliable Food Source for Migratory Birds

(1) <u>Levee System</u>. Following the Flood of 2001, the top of the overflow roadway (spillway) was found to be at approximately elevation 581.05 feet NGVD when the design grade is elevation 580.3 feet NGVD. As a result, a Stage IV contract was awarded, which consisted of lowering the overflow roadway to an elevation at or below 580.3 feet NGVD. The next profile survey of the overflow roadway would be required in September 2006. Therefore, the next PER should contain new survey data for the overflow roadway to ensure that an elevation at or below 580.3 feet NGVD is maintained.

It is recommended that the overflow roadway be closely monitored during the next flood event. As a minimum, it should be documented when the overflow roadway overtops, when the north perimeter levee overtops, and the interior water elevation of the WMU when the north perimeter levee begins to overtop.

(2) <u>Pump Station</u>. Overall, the pump station appeared to be in good condition. Actual fill times closely resemble design fill times. However, several recommendations were documented in the pump station inspection report (see Appendix F). The engine coolant system has a coolant leak on the radiator. This

leak needs to be repaired to prevent accidental engine over heat. The engine battery box had rodents living inside. This box needs to be rodent proof. A large submerged stone was lodged in the vicinity of the trash rack and is preventing it from being reinstalled. This stone needs to be removed. Approximately 8 inches of sand was measured outside the sump in front of the bulkhead, while 1-½ inches of silt was measured inside the sump behind the bulkhead. This material needs to be cleaned out prior to pumping operations to prevent wear to the pump and deposition within the WMU.

The next inspection of the pump station would be required in May 2006. Therefore, the next PER should contain a new pump station inspection report.

(3) <u>Water Control Structures</u>. The water control structures are functioning well by providing adequate water level control to the WMU. Both cells have been able to achieve the design water surface elevations of 576 and 575 feet NGVD for the NWMU and SWMU, respectively. The Princeton HREP has been successful in attracting waterfowl.

b. Increase Overall Vegetation Diversity and Availability of Preferred Wildlife Foods

<u>Mast Tree Plantings</u>. The mast tree plantings on the north-south berms were inspected. Trees on the east side of the berm are thriving despite competition from other vegetation (see Photo 25 in Appendix G). Trees that were planted on the west side were surrounded by reed canary grass and had a low survival rate. Very few trees were found alive; however, surrounding vegetation reduced the visibility of the surviving trees. Many of the trees still alive in the reed canary grass have sustained damage from deer browse and mice chewing the bark (see Photo 24 in Appendix G). These rows on the west side averaged 5 to 8 trees per row whereas the shorter rows on the east averaged over 10 trees per row. The remaining RPM trees, located southeast of the other mast tree plantings, were also inspected. The survival on these trees is about 50%. Most of the trees had been browsed or rubbed by deer and had re-sprouted several times. The herbaceous competition is dominated by reed canary grass. The majority of the weed barrier mats are still in place and seem to be helping to a limited extent.

It is recommended that both sites be treated with herbicide. Also, it may be beneficial to place weed barrier mats at the mast tree plantings on the north-south berms, which would require mowing or weed whipping.

5. Conclusions and Recommendations. For the evaluation period since project completion, the Princeton HREP had the following conclusions and recommendations.

a. Project Goals, Objectives, and Management Plan.

Based on data and observations collected since project completion, the goals and objectives evaluated for the Princeton HREP are being met (see Table 7-1).

b. Post-Construction Evaluation and Monitoring Schedules

In general, monitoring efforts for the Princeton HREP have been performed according to the Post-Construction Evaluation Plan in Appendix B and the Monitoring and Data Collection Summary in Appendix C. Starting with this PER, the Post-Construction Evaluation Plan and the Monitoring and Data Collection Summary were revised in order to better quantify and evaluate project objectives. See Table 7-2 for the former Post-Construction Evaluation Plan as presented in previous project documents. In summary, the levee system transects and profiles were revised and the vegetation transects were eliminated due to the resources, time, and money to complete these surveys. The next PER will be completed no later than FY 2010, following collection of field data for five years.

c. Project Operation and Maintenance

Project operation has been performed in accordance with the O&M Manual and the Annual Management Plan presented in Table 2-2. Project inspections have been conducted by the IADNR and have resulted in the following maintenance recommendations.

(1) <u>Levee System</u>. The bed of the overflow roadway (spillway) is lower than the shoulders. If the bed of the overflow roadway (spillway) is low, granular surfacing may be placed to an elevation not to exceed 580.3 feet NGVD. If the shoulders of the overflow roadway (spillway) are higher than 580.3 feet NGVD, they need to be regraded. The perimeter levee just upstream from the gatewell structure near the pump station is too low. This area needs to be raised to the design grade as shown on Plate 9 of the O&M Manual.

(2) <u>Pump Station</u>. The river grating on the pump station inlet box will plug with debris and create a vortex during pumping operations. The Site Manager would prefer to leave the river grating off. It is not recommended that the river grating on the pump station inlet box be left off. However, it is recommended that a secondary fence be installed between the ends and along the top of the wingwalls. The grating on top of the pump station inlet box is heavy to remove and replace. If the grating is replaced with a lighter, hinged section, a padlock should be installed for safety reasons. One hydraulic fitting in the headwall leaks and requires a catch bucket to trap oil. This hydraulic leak needs to be fixed.

(3) <u>Water Control Structures</u>. The two CMP stoplog structures in the cross dike are silted in, which may have occurred during the Flood of 2001. It is recommended that the sediment be removed from the two CMP stoplog structures and the inlets and outlets be cleaned out.

d. Project Design Enhancement

Discussions with those involved in operation, maintenance, and monitoring activities at the Princeton HREP have resulted in the following lessons learned regarding project features that may affect future design of other HREP projects.

(1) <u>Overflow Spillway</u>. During the Flood of 2001, the granular surfacing on top of the overflow spillway was washed to the downstream slope and the geotextile fabric beneath the granular surfacing had been shifted to the downstream shoulder. It appeared that the geotextile fabric had acted as a slippage plane during the flood event for the granular surfacing to "peel" off the overflow spillway. Therefore, the geotextile fabric was not replaced when the overflow spillway was lowered during the Stage IV contract. (2) <u>Pump Station</u>. During construction, the existing pump station was relocated from the downstream end to the middle of the perimeter levee. However, the existing pump station only consisted of a single pump. As a result, a portable pump with a diesel engine mounted on a highway trailer was supplied following construction.

(3) <u>Water Control Structures</u>. The concrete stoplog structure did not allow for complete drainage of the north cell into the south cell. As a result, a Stage III contract was awarded, which consisted of installing two CMP stoplog structures along the cross dike to provide water level control between the cells at lower elevations by gravity flow.

UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER SYSTEM ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAM POST-CONSTRUCTION PERFORMANCE EVALUATION REPORT – YEAR 7 (2005)

PRINCETON REFUGE HABITAT REHABILITATION AND ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

POOL 14, MISSISSIPPI RIVER MILES 504.0-506.4R SCOTT COUNTY, IOWA

CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION	. 1
a. Purpose b. Scope	. 1 . 1
2. PROJECT GOALS AND OBJECTIVES	. 2
a. Generalb. Goals and Objectivesc. Management Plan	. 2 . 2 . 2
3. PROJECT DESCRIPTION	. 4
 a. Project Features b. Project Construction c. Project Operation and Maintenance	. 4 10 11
4. PROJECT MONITORING	12
 a. General b. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers c. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service d. Iowa Department of Natural Resources	12 12 12 12
5. EVALUATION OF WETLAND HABITAT ENHANCEMENT 1	13
a. Provide Reliable Food Source for Migratory Birdsb. Increase Overall Vegetation Diversity and Availability of Preferred Wildlife Foods	13 19
6. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE SUMMARY	20
a. Operation	20 20
7. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	23
 a. Project Goals, Objectives, and Management Plan	23 23 24 24

Tables

2-1	Project Goals and Objectives	2
2-2	Annual Management Plan	3
3-1	Summary of Project Data	4
3-2	Wetland Management Unit Water Control Plan	6
3-3	Elevation Frequency Relationships	7
5-1	Pump and Fuel Usage Summary	10
5-2	WMU Water Level Summary	11
5-3	IADNR Waterfowl Migration Surveys	14
7-1	Project Goals and Objectives	19
7-2	(Former) Post-Construction Evaluation Plan	20
B-1	Post-Construction Evaluation Plan	B-1
C-1	Monitoring and Performance Evaluation Matrix	C-1
C-2	Monitoring and Data Collection Summary	C-2
H-1	Princeton HREP PDT Members	I-1

Figures

3-1	Stage Duration Curve	7	1
-----	----------------------	---	---

Appendices

- A Acronyms
- B Post-Construction Evaluation Plan
- C Monitoring and Performance Evaluation Matrix, Monitoring and Data Collection Summary, and Waterfowl Migration Surveys
- D Cooperating Agency Correspondence
- E Technical Computations
- F Pump Station Inspection Report and Pumping History
- G Photographs of Project Features
- H Project Team Members
- I References
- J Distribution List
- K Plates

Plates (Appendix K)

- 1 Location Plan, Vicinity Map, and General Notes
- 2 Site Plan
- 3 (Former) Monitoring Plan

UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER SYSTEM ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAM POST-CONSTRUCTION PERFORMANCE EVALUATION REPORT – YEAR 7 (2005)

PRINCETON REFUGE HABITAT REHABILITATION AND ENHANCEMENT PROJECT

POOL 14, MISSISSIPPI RIVER MILES 504.0-506.4R SCOTT COUNTY, IOWA

1. INTRODUCTION

The Princeton Refuge Habitat Rehabilitation and Enhancement Project (HREP), hereafter referred to as the "Princeton HREP" is a part of the Upper Mississippi River System (UMRS) Environmental Management Program (EMP). The Princeton HREP is located in Pool 14 along the right descending bank of the Upper Mississippi River navigation channel between River Miles (RM) 504.0 and 506.4, or approximately 1 mile north of the City of Princeton, Iowa. The entire refuge encompasses approximately 1,129 acres, with 418 acres being State lands and the remaining 711 acres being Federal lands. The Princeton HREP is operated and maintained by the Iowa Department of Natural Resources (IADNR) under the terms of a Cooperative Agreement with the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). Plate 1 in Appendix K contains the location plan, vicinity map, and general notes for the Princeton HREP.

a. Purpose. The purposes of this Performance Evaluation Report (PER) are as follows:

- (1) Supplement monitoring results and project operation and maintenance discussed in the November 2001 Flood Damage Assessment (FDA);
- (2) Summarize the performance of the Princeton HREP, based on the project goals and objectives;
- (3) Review the monitoring plan for possible revision;
- (4) Summarize project operation and maintenance (O&M) efforts to date; and
- (5) Review engineering performance criteria to aid in the design of future HREPs.

b. Scope. This report summarizes available project monitoring data, inspection records, and field observations made by the United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), the USFWS, and the IADNR since project completion, including new data collected since the FDA.

2. PROJECT GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

a. General. As stated in the Definite Project Report (DPR), the Princeton HREP was initiated due to the inability to maintain desirable water levels as the result of a deteriorated levee system and limited water control. The levee surrounding Princeton was originally constructed in the late 1920's and early 1930's. A small capacity pump and outlet structure, installed in 1957, allowed some manipulation of water levels, but management was often compromised by limited pumping capability and levee overtopping during high water events. Levee improvements in 1982, in combination with the installation of a higher capacity pump in 1983, helped to overcome some of these difficulties. However, improved water level control was necessary to maximize and sustain wetland habitat quality and quantity for migratory birds.

b. Goals and Objectives. Goals and objectives, formulated during the project design phase, are summarized in Table 2-1. During the development of enhancement features, consideration was given to satisfying project goals and objectives while maximizing utilization of resource opportunities. Each project feature was constructed to satisfy at least one objective, either singularly or in combination with other enhancement features.

TABLE 2-1 Project Goals and Objectives				
Goals	Objectives	Project Features		
Enhance	Provide reliable food source for	Levee System		
Habitat	migratory birds	Pump Station		
		Water Control Structures		
	Increase overall vegetation diversity and availability of preferred wildlife foods	Mast Tree Plantings		

c. Management Plan. As with more recently developed HREPs, a formal Annual Management Plan has been developed for the Princeton HREP. This plan was developed by the USACE, in coordination with the IADNR, as shown in Table 2-2. The Princeton HREP is maintained and operated by the IADNR under the terms of a Cooperative Agreement with the USFWS.

TABLE 2-2 Annual Management Plan				
Month	Action	Purpose		
April to July	Dewater area by gravity flow or portable pump	Expose and maintain mudflats to allow revegetation		
August to November	Gradually increase water levels to correspond with growth of marsh plant community	Provide access to food plants for migratory waterfowl		
December to April	Maintain water levels to maximum extent possible and then release water late during early spring	Maintain winter furbearer habitat and then prepare for aquatic plant germination through gradual water release		

3. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

a. Project Features. The Princeton HREP consists of a 2-cell wetland management unit (WMU) to enhance wetland habitat. Plate 2 in Appendix K contains the site plan for the Princeton HREP. Project data have been collected for the perimeter levee, cross dike, overflow roadway (spillway), intake structure, pump engine building, reinforced stoplog structure, CMP stoplog structures (2), and the gatewell structure. Table 3-1 presents a quantitative summary of the project features.

TABLE 3-1 Summary of Project Data						
Project	Measurement	Units of				
Feature	or Quantity	Measure				
Perimeter Levee Length Crown Width Side Slopes Level of Protection Design Top Elevation	16,400 10-12 4:1 15 581.3-582.3	Feet Feet Horizontal:Vertical Year Event Feet NGVD 1912				
Embankment Volume	100.000	Cubic Yards				
Overflow Roadway Length Crown Width Side Slopes Level of Protection Design Top Elevation Embankment Volume	2,300 24 4:1 10 580.3 5,000	Feet Feet Horizontal:Vertical Year Event Feet NGVD 1912 Cubic Yards				
Cross Dike Length Crown Width Side Slopes Level of Protection Design Top Elevation Embankment Volume	5,158 10 4:1 < 5 578 18,500	Feet Feet Horizontal:Vertical Year Event Feet NGVD 1912 Cubic Yards				
Pump Station Intake Structure Concrete Top Elevation Concrete Sill Elevation Intake Pipe Diameter Length (to centerline traverse) Invert Elevation Riprap	578 568 24 27 570 182	Feet NGVD 1912 Feet NGVD 1912 Inches Feet Feet NGVD 1912 Tons				

Summary of Project Data				
Project Feature	Measurement or Quantity	Units of Measure		
Pump Station Engine Building Length Width Concrete Floor Elevation Concrete Stoplog Structure Concrete Sill Elevation Concrete Sill Elevation Length Width Discharge Pipe Diameter Length (to centerline traverse)	28 22 583.5-583.78 578.5 574 16 5 24 90.5	Feet Feet NGVD 1912 Feet NGVD 1912 Feet NGVD 1912 Feet Feet Feet Inches Feet		
Invert Elevation Riprap	575 20	Feet NGVD 1912 Tons		
<i>CMP Stoplog Structures (2)</i> Diameter Invert Elevation West Structure Invert Elevation Middle Structure	24 571.50 572.10	Inches Feet NGVD 1912 Feet NGVD 1912		
Gatewell Structure Concrete Top Elevation Concrete Floor Elevation Slide Gate RCP Diameter	582 573 1	Feet NGVD 1912 Feet NGVD 1912 Each		
Length Landside Invert Elevation Riverside Invert Elevation Riprap	64 573.25 572.75 22	Feet Feet NGVD 1912 Feet NGVD 1912 Tons		

TABLE 3-1 (Continued)

(1) General Description. The Princeton HREP consists of wetland habitat enhancement through the construction of a levee system, pump station, water control structures, and mast tree plantings as well as other project features. Water level control is provided by construction of low levees, which are used to impound water during seasonal waterfowl migrations. River water is provided to the Princeton HREP through use of a portable pump or by gravity flow. The two wetland cells can be managed independently through operation of stoplog structures located along the cross dike. Mast tree plantings provide vegetation diversity and availability of preferred wildlife foods. The project features discussed in more detail below include the water control plan, water source, perimeter levee, overflow roadway (spillway), cross dike, pump station, water control

structures, gatewell structure, mast tree plantings, site access, and borrow areas. These features and additional project components are shown in Appendix K.

(2) <u>Water Control Plan</u>. Over 700 acres of the Princeton HREP can be impounded by the constructed earthen levees and associated water control structures to create a 357-acre forested north WMU and a 344-acre non-forested south WMU as shown on Plate 2 in Appendix K. The basic operating plan for the Princeton HREP is to maintain a lower water elevation in the spring and summer and a higher water elevation in the fall and winter, as illustrated in Table 2-2. To manage for specific vegetation needs, it is best to be able to control water levels independently within two WMUs, hereafter referred to as the North Wetland Management Unit (NWMU) and South Wetland Management Unit (SWMU).

To accomplish independent filling of the WMUs, the pump station directly discharges into a reinforced concrete structure (located at the east end of the cross dike) where flow direction can then be controlled by placement or removal of stoplogs. To facilitate independent drainage of the WMUs, a new gatewell structure was constructed to gravity drain the NWMU. The existing gatewell structure at the downstream end of the project area is used to gravity drain the SWMU. Two CMP stoplog structures were added to the cross dike to increase capacity and facilitate drainage to a lower elevation. A portable pump may also be used to increase or decrease water elevations within the WMUs.

TABLE 3-2 Wetland Management Unit Water Control Plan						
Water Elevation (Feet)	Area < 1' Deep (Acres)	Acres 1'-2' Deep (Acres)	Acres > 2' Deep (Acres)	Total Area Flooded (Acres)		
SWMU 574 575 576 577	167.1 167.0 98.0 33.0	9.8 167.1 167.0 98.0	0.0 9.9 177.0 344.0	177.0 344.0 442.0 475.0		
NWMU 574 575 576 577	36.0 181.0 140.0 97.0	0.0 36.0 181.0 140.0	0.0 0.0 36.0 217.0	36.0 217.0 357.0 454.0		

Table 3-2 shows the surface areas of incremental water depths for various flooding heights for each WMU. The optimum water surface elevations are 576 feet NGVD in the NWMU and 575 feet NGVD in the SWMU. These elevations represent those that maximize the

water surface area with water 1 to 2 feet deep. Migratory waterfowl, in particular dabbling ducks, require water depths of 12 to 18 inches for access to food plants. The optimum water surface elevations represent design levels; actual operating levels may vary.

(3) <u>Water Source</u>. The pump station intake is located in Grant Slough, which is a backwater of the Mississippi River. Water surface elevations in the slough fluctuate with those of the river, but overall a flat pool elevation of 572 feet NGVD is maintained for navigation. Therefore, the slough is considered to be a reliable water source and will accommodate the annual management plan.

TABLE 3-3 Elevation Frequency Relationships						
Storm	Storm RM 504.0 RM 505.0 RM 506.5					
Frequency	South End	Cross Dike	North End			
5-Year	578.7	579.1	579.7			
10-Year	580.3	580.7	581.3			
25-Year	582.0	582.5	583.1			
50-Year	583.3	583.8	584.4			
100-Year	584.4	584.8	585.5			
200-Year	585.6	586.0	586.7			
500-Year	587.0	587.4	588.0			

Figure 3-1. Stage-Duration Curve



Mississippi River discharge frequency relationships and corresponding water surface elevations were developed by USACE, Rock Island District, in cooperation with the St.

Paul and St. Louis Districts for the Upper Mississippi River Basin Commission. Table 3-3 illustrates the elevation frequency relationships, while Figure 3-1 presents the stage/duration curve for selected river miles adjacent to the Princeton HREP.

(4) Levee System.

(a) <u>Perimeter Levee</u>. The existing perimeter levee is approximately 3.1 miles in length. The maximum top elevation for the WMU perimeter levee is 582.3 feet NGVD (Station 0+00 to Station 56+00). To minimize damage potential, the perimeter levee profile parallel to the Mississippi River (Station 56+00 to Station 164+00) is sloped from elevation 582.3 feet NGVD at the upstream end to elevation 581.3 feet NGVD at the downstream end. This design provides for gradual overtopping during a 15-year flood event or greater. The levee top width is 12 feet in reaches having an access road and 10 feet in reaches without an access road. The levee side slopes are shaped to a minimum of 4 horizontal on 1 vertical. The plan, profile, and section drawings for the perimeter levee are located in the O&M Manual, Appendix L, Plates 7 through 10 and Plate 13.

(b) <u>Overflow Roadway</u>. To provide controlled overtopping of the levee system, a 2,300-foot overflow roadway (spillway) was constructed at elevation 580.3 feet NGVD or approximately 2 feet lower than the north end of the perimeter levee. This elevation provides for gradual overtopping during a 10-year flood event or greater. The top width is 24 feet with minimum side slopes of 4 horizontal on 1 vertical. The overflow roadway allows rapid filling of the WMU interior water surfaces prior to overtopping of the perimeter levee. An overtopping analysis is contained in Appendix H of the DPR. The plan, profile, and section drawings for the overflow roadway are presented in the O&M Manual, Appendix L, Plates 11 and 14.

To ensure proper function during flood events, it is critical that the overflow roadway maintain an elevation at or below the design grade of 580.3 feet NGVD. This elevation can be field verified through use of a benchmark located at the east end of the overflow roadway. The benchmark is a chiseled "X" on the northeast wingwall of the gatewell structure at elevation 577.28 feet NGVD.

(c) <u>Cross Dike</u>. To provide enhanced management capabilities, a 5,158-foot cross dike was constructed at elevation 578 feet NGVD. This elevation provides for gradual overtopping during a 5-year flood event or greater. The top width is 10 feet with minimum side slopes of 4 horizontal on 1 vertical. The plan, profile, and section drawings for the cross dike are presented in the O&M Manual, Appendix L, Plates 12 and 14.

(5) <u>Pump Station</u>. A pump station was constructed at the intersection of the perimeter levee and cross dike. The pump station is designed to fill the NWMU to elevation 576 feet NGVD in 7 days and the SWMU to elevation 575 feet NGVD in 5 days. The pump station consists of an intake structure and engine building. Equipment data for the pump station are contained in O&M Manual, Appendix F. Additional details for the

pump station are presented in the O&M Manual, Appendix L; Plates 17 through 19, Plates 28 through 41, and Plates 43 through 46.

(a) <u>Intake Structure</u>. The intake structure is located on the riverside slope of the levee and was constructed of reinforced concrete. The intake structure has a top elevation of 578 feet NGVD and a sill elevation of 568 feet NGVD. The intake pipe from this structure to the engine building is 24 inches in diameter and approximately 27 feet in length with an invert elevation of 570 feet NGVD. The base of the intake structure is protected with riprap.

(b) <u>Engine Building</u>. The engine building was constructed of reinforced concrete with a floor elevation of 583.5 feet NGVD. The size of the engine building is 28 feet long by 22 feet wide. This building provides weather-tight housing for the pump engine, trailer, an additional fuel tank, diesel engine generator, and miscellaneous supplies. A 16,000-gallon per minute hydraulic pump provides the necessary flow to fill the WMUs.

(6) <u>Water Control Structures</u>.

(a) <u>Concrete Stoplog Structure</u>. The stoplog structure is located at the east end of the cross dike in conjunction with the pump station discharge pipe. This pipe is 24 inches in diameter and approximately 90 feet long with an invert elevation of 575 feet NGVD. The stoplog structure was constructed of reinforced concrete with a top elevation of 578.5 feet NGVD and a sill elevation of 574 feet NGVD. The base of this structure is 16 feet long by 5 feet wide. The placement of aluminum stoplogs at either end of this structure directs the pumped water into the NWMU or SWMU as needed. Heavy-duty metal grating was provided across the top for vehicular access. Additional details are provided in O&M Manual, Appendix L, Plates 23 through 27 and Plate 42.

(b) <u>CMP Stoplog Structures</u>. In addition, two stoplog structures with 24-inch-diameter CMPs are located near the middle and west end of the cross dike. These structures provide water level control between the WMUs at lower elevations by gravity flow. The invert elevations for the middle and west structures are 572.1 and 571.5 feet NGVD, respectively. Further details are shown in the O&M Manual, Appendix L, Plates 47 through 51.

(7) <u>Gatewell Structure</u>. A gatewell structure with a 36-inch-diameter reinforced concrete pipe is located immediately upstream of the intake structure along the perimeter levee. Operation of this structure allows for filling or dewatering of the WMUs, whenever river levels will allow. Additional details are illustrated in the O&M Manual, Appendix L, Plates 20 through 22.

(8) <u>Mast Tree Plantings</u>. In the NWMU, approximately 21 acres of mast trees were planted. Two sites were selected for plantings, one near the mid-point of the north perimeter levee and one in the eastern half just south of the power line. The species selected consist of swamp white oak, pin oak, bur oak, pecan, hickory, and cedar.

(9) <u>Site Access</u>. Access to the project is by county road from U.S. Highway 67. There are three access areas to the Princeton HREP; south, middle, and north. Each area has a parking lot and security gate to control access. The IADNR operates these gates as necessary to prevent public vehicular access and minimize consequent disturbance. A crushed stone surface road, 10 feet in width, runs along the top of the perimeter levee from the south parking lot to the pump station. This road facilitates delivery of materials for the pump station. Plate 2 in Appendix K illustrates the site access areas, parking lots, and access road to the pump station.

(10) <u>Borrow Areas/Potholes</u>. Material for perimeter levee restoration came from the riverside slope and borrow areas located within the project boundaries. Material for cross dike construction came from the adjacent ditch excavation and was supplemented with the borrow areas. The excavated ditch along the south side of the cross dike serves as boat access from the west parking lot to the SWMU.

During construction, the contractor removed the material from the borrow areas in strips, rather than excavating one big hole. Therefore, if seepage of ground water occurred, it would be contained and not saturate the entire area. The strips are approximately 10 feet apart. Now these strips function as potholes, creating additional habitat benefits. The borrow areas are identified on Plate 2 in Appendix K and are shown on Photos 1 and 2 in Appendix G. The soil boring locations and logs are presented in the O&M Manual, Appendix L, Plates 3 through 6.

(11) <u>Utilities</u>. A transmission line running east-west crosses the north portion of the NWMU. The size of this line is approximately 345,000 Volts. The transmission line eventually crosses the Mississippi River. At all times, measures shall be taken to ensure electrical safety and to preserve the integrity of the transmission line foundations.

b. Project Construction. There were four construction phases for the Princeton HREP. The Stage I contract was awarded to Malco Steel Incorporated of Kansas City, Missouri, on September 13, 1996. This contract included the major project features. The existing access road was modified to work as a spillway. During high river levels, the overflow roadway (spillway) provides controlled filling, minimizing damage to the perimeter levee. The perimeter levee was reinforced and raised to provide reliable water control. The pump station was moved from the lower end of the WMU to the mid-point of the perimeter levee. This, along with the cross dike, provides independent water control to the two WMUs. Construction was essentially complete in November 1998, except for the mast tree plantings, which were conducted in the spring of 1999. A dedication ceremony was held in November 1999.

The Stage II contract was awarded to Kemp & Son Incorporated of Letts, Iowa. This contract consisted of cross dike ditch excavation and water control structure installation. Construction was complete in July 2000. The Stage III contract was awarded to Phoenix Corporation of the Quad Cities from Port Byron, Illinois. This contract consisted of

repairs to the north perimeter levee as a result of the Flood of 2001. Construction was complete in December 2001. The Stage IV contract was awarded to MPS Engineers, P.C. from West Des Moines, Iowa. This contract consisted of lowering the overflow roadway (spillway). Construction was complete in September 2002.

c. Project Operation and Maintenance. O&M of the Princeton HREP is the responsibility of the IADNR in accordance with Section 107(b) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992, Public Law 102-580. These functions are further defined in the O&M Manual. The project features were designed and constructed to minimize the O&M requirements. Project O&M generally consists of the following; (1) mowing and burning the perimeter levee and cross dike to ensure serviceability year round, (2) operating the pump station and water control structures to achieve desired water levels consistent with vegetative growth including opening the gates to minimize overtopping erosion when the river reaches elevation 582 feet NGVD with predicted stage to increase, (3) maintaining the access roads and overflow roadway (spillway), and (4) removing snags and other debris from the cross dike ditches.

4. PROJECT MONITORING

a. General. Appendix B presents the Post-Construction Evaluation Plan. This reference was developed during the design phase and serves as a guide for measuring and documenting project performance. The Post-Construction Evaluation Plan also outlines the monitoring responsibilities for each agency. Appendix C contains the Monitoring and Performance Evaluation Matrix and Monitoring and Data Collection Summary. The Monitoring and Performance Evaluation Matrix outlines the monitoring responsibilities for each agency. The Monitoring responsibilities for each agency. The Monitoring and Data Collection Summary presents the types and frequency of data needed to meet the requirements of the Post-Construction Evaluation Plan.

b. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. The success of the project relative to original project objectives shall be measured by the USACE, USFWS, and IADNR through monitoring data, inspection records, and field observations. The USACE has overall responsibility to evaluate and document project performance. The USACE is also responsible for collecting field data as outlined in the Post-Construction Evaluation Plan at the specified time intervals. The USACE shall also perform joint inspections with the USFWS and IADNR in accordance with Engineer Regulation (ER) 1130-2-339. The purpose of these inspections is to assure that adequate maintenance is being performed as presented in the O&M Manual. Joint inspections should also occur after any event that causes damage in excess of annual O&M costs.

c. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. The USFWS does not have project-specific monitoring responsibilities. However, the USFWS Savanna District Manager should be present at the joint inspections with the USACE and IADNR as described in the previous paragraph.

d. Iowa Department of Natural Resources. The IADNR is responsible for O&M, as well as monitoring the project through field observations during inspections. Project inspections should be performed on an annual basis following the guidance presented in the O&M Manual. It is recommended that the inspections be conducted in May or June, which is representative of conditions after spring floods. Joint inspections with the USACE and USFWS shall also be conducted as described above. For each inspection, the IADNR should complete the checklist form as provided in the O&M Manual. This form should also include a brief summary of the overall condition of the project and any maintenance work completed since the last inspection. Once completed, a copy of the form shall be sent to the USACE.

5. EVALUATION OF WETLAND HABITAT ENHANCEMENT

a. Provide Reliable Food Source for Migratory Birds

(1) <u>Monitoring Results</u>. One of the objectives for enhancing wetland habitat is to provide a reliable food source for migratory birds by constructing a levee system, pump station, and water control structures. As shown in Appendix B, Table B-1, the first Year 50 Target for the levee system is to maintain a top elevation at or below elevation 580.3 feet NGVD for the overflow roadway (spillway). This feature will be measured by conducting a profile of the overflow roadway (spillway), which according to Table C-2 in Appendix C, is required every five years by the USACE. Field observations by the Site Manager are to describe any erosion and/or seepage effects. Field observations of the levee system were documented in July 2003 and are discussed in Section 6.b (1) (a).

During the Flood of 2001, the perimeter levee from Station 0+00 to Station 40+00 received moderate to severe damage; including several scour areas and a large breach (see Photos 3 and 4 in Appendix G). A Stage III contract was awarded to repair this damage. Stage III drawings are presented in the O&M Manual, Appendix L, Plates 52 through 59. Several factors were identified in the Flood Damage Assessment dated November 2001 as possible causes of the flood damage to the levee. One of the factors was the grade of the overflow roadway. The design for the overflow roadway was to be 2 feet lower than the north perimeter levee to ensure proper function during flood events. The as-built construction drawings show the final grade of the north perimeter levee at elevation 582.3 feet NGVD and the overflow roadway at elevation 580.3 feet NGVD, which provides the required 2foot difference. However, 8 inches (minimum) of granular surfacing was then placed on the overflow roadway. This would place the top of the overflow roadway at approximately elevation 581 feet NGVD. A land survey performed in September 2001 verified that this was indeed the case. The average top elevation of the north perimeter levee was found to be 582.45 feet NGVD, while the overflow roadway showed an average top elevation of 581.05 feet NGVD. The result was a 1.4-foot difference between the two ends rather than the required 2-foot difference.

As a result, a Stage IV contract was awarded. This contract consisted of lowering the overflow roadway to an elevation at or below 580.3 feet NGVD (see Photos 5 through 23 in Appendix G). Construction was complete in September 2002. Stage IV drawings are illustrated in the O&M Manual, Appendix L, Plates 60 through 62. Since Stage IV completion, the overflow roadway has not been surveyed nor has a flood event occurred to test the functionality of this feature.

A second Year 50 Target is to maintain the pump station. This feature will be measured by conducting a pump station inspection, which according to Table C-2 in Appendix C, is required every five years by the USACE. Field observations by the Site Manager are to document pump and fuel usage.

The pump station inspection was performed on May 31, 2001 shortly after the Flood of 2001. The pump station consists of one hydraulic submersible M&W pump, set up for

one-way pumping from the river to the WMU with diversion to either the north or south cell. During the flood, the water elevation was 8 inches deep within the pump station building above elevation 583.6 feet NGVD. A large amount of wood debris was documented on the perimeter levee around the pump station from the flood. In addition, a low spot in the perimeter levee (approximately 100 feet long by 16 to 24 inches deep) was observed in the vicinity of the gatewell structure. The area had been sandbagged during the flood to prevent overtopping near the pump station building. This low spot was also documented by the Site Manager and is discussed in Section 6.b (1) (a).

It was documented in the pump station inspection report (see Appendix F) that the engine coolant system has a coolant leak on the radiator that needs repaired. The battery box for the engine had rodents living inside. The Site Manager installs the sump bulkheads when the pump is removed for winter storage. At this time, the trash rack is removed to facilitate pump removal. A large submerged stone was lodged in the vicinity and is preventing the trash rack from being reinstalled. The sump was measured for silt accumulation. Approximately 8 inches of sand was measured outside the sump in front of the bulkhead, while 1-½ inches of silt was measured inside the sump behind the bulkhead.

The IADNR provided records documenting pump and fuel usage, which are summarized in Table 5-1 and provided in Appendix D. Since project completion, the pump station has been used on average approximately 6 weeks per year. It appears that the pump has been operating at a constant efficiency as the fuel consumption has only varied from 6.6 to 7.0 gallons per hour.

TABLE 5-1 Pump and Fuel Usage Summary						
	Pump Pump Fuel Fuel Running Usage Used Usage					
Year	Year Time (hrs) (days) (gallons) (gal/hr)					
1998	456.4	23	3213	7.0		
1999	1011.3	50	6971	6.9		
2000	956.0	48	6426	6.7		
2001	684.6	35	4501	6.6		
2002	743.2	32	5060	6.8		
2003	1075	56	7100	6.6		
2004	1012.4	46	6924	6.8		
Average	848.4	41	5742	6.8		

A third Year 50 Target is to maintain a total number of 3 water control structures. This feature will be measured by evaluating the water levels in the two cells, which according to Table C-2 in Appendix C, is required every five years by the USACE using data provided by the IADNR. Field observations by the Site Manger are to estimate waterfowl use and list moist-soil vegetation present.

As discussed in Section 3.a (2), the design water surface elevations are 576 feet NGVD in the NWMU and 575 feet NGVD in the SWMU. These elevations represent those that maximize the water surface area with water 1 to 2 feet deep. Migratory waterfowl, in particular dabbling ducks, require water depths of 12 to 18 inches for access to food plants.

The design fill times are 7 days for the NWMU and 5 days for the SWMU. According to the pump station inspection report in Appendix F, the actual fill time for the NWMU is approximately 45 days to an elevation of 577 feet NGVD. (This elevation is one-foot higher than the design water surface elevation of 576 feet NGVD. It takes an additional 15 days of pumping to achieve this one-foot increase.) The actual fill time for the SWMU is approximately 30 days simultaneous with the filling of the NWMU.

The 45 days to fill the NWMU, as documented in the pump station inspection report, corresponds to the average pump usage of 41 days as seen in Table 5-1. However, this time period reflects several runs of the pump to maintain the water level at the optimum elevation. According to records provided by the IADNR and summarized in Table 5-2 (see also Appendix D), it takes approximately 6 to 7 days to fill the SWMU and 3 to 7 days to fill the NWMU to the design water surface elevations of 575 feet NGVD and 576 feet NGVD, respectively. These numbers closely resemble the design fill times of 5 days for the SWMU and 7 days for the NWMU.

TABLE 5-2 WMU Water Level Summary				
B	4000	Year	0004	
Parameter	1998	1999	2004	
Pump Start Date	09/21	09/08	09/07	
SWMU Initial Elevation (feet NGVD)	573.9	572.97	573.6	
NWMU Initial Elevation (feet NGVD)	575.0	575.0	574.7	
Time to Fill SWMU to Elevation 575 (days)	6	6	7	
Time to Fill NWMU to Elevation 576 (days)	6	3	7	
Pump End Date	11/05	11/15	11/26	
SWMU Final Elevation (feet NGVD)	575.3	575.5	575.25	
NWMU Final Elevation (feet NGVD)	576.9	576.75	576.85	

From Table 5-2, it appears that the Site Manager has been able to achieve the design water surface elevations of 575 feet NGVD and 576 feet NGVD for the SWMU and NWMU, respectively. Water levels for the SWMU at the end of pumping season have varied from 575.25 to 575.5 feet NGVD or 3 to 6 inches above the design elevation. Water levels for the NWMU have varied from 576.75 to 576.9 feet NGVD or 9 to 11 inches above the design elevation.

The Site Manager has conducted waterfowl migration surveys on several occasions throughout each season. Table 5-3 summarizes these surveys with actual data provided in Appendix D. The types of waterfowl documented were ducks, coots, geese, swans, and

eagles. Ducks and coots have been the most prevalent, while geese were only documented in three of the five seasons of record. A handful of bald eagles and trumpeter swans were commonly found during each season.

TABLE 5-3 IADNR Waterfowl Migration Surveys				
Date	Ducks	Coots	Geese	Other
09/27/2000	100	400	0	0
10/04/2000	200	400	0	0
10/11/2000	97	400	0	0
10/24/2000	407	800	0	0
11/01/2000	312	350	0	0
11/08/2000	1452	500	0	U 2 (Bold Fogle)
11/15/2000	095	150	0	
11/22/2000	0	0	0	0
12/14/2000	4	0	0	0
09/13/2001	1135	0	251	1 (Bald Eagle)
09/26/2001	55	0	0	0
10/10/2001	299	600	0	0
10/31/2001	1125	1500	0	4 (Trumpeter Swan)
11/07/2001	912	700	0	0 ` ` ` ` `
11/14/2001	317	400	0	1 (Trumpeter Swan)
11/21/2001	326	350	0	1 (Trumpeter Swan)
12/13/2001	6304	100	0	0
12/19/2001	6721	100	0	2 (Tundra Swan)
				5 (Trumpeter Swan)
				5 (Bald Eagle)
09/11/2002	255	0	0	0
09/25/2002	45	10	0	1 (Trumpeter Swan)
10/02/2002	6U 515	175	0	U 1 (Trumpotor Swap)
10/09/2002	315 470	600 150	0	
10/10/2002	470	700	0	0
10/20/2002	1085	300	0	0 0
11/06/2002	1225	250	0	0
11/20/2002	2355	40	0	0
12/04/2002	7	0	0	0
12/13/2002	0	0	0	0
09/10/2003	405	0	50	0
09/17/2003	330	10	50	0
09/24/2003	100	0	50	0
10/08/2003	330	300	50	0
10/15/2003	340	300	50	2 (Trumpeter Swan)
10/29/2003	330	500	50	2 (Trumpeter Swan)
11/05/2003	1135	/ 5U 500	5U 50	2 (Trumpeter Swan)
11/12/2003	2020 2015	500 150	50 75	2 (Trumpeter Swan)
09/23/2003	80	0	0	
10/07/2004	500	500	150	u 1 (Trumpeter Swan)
10/14/2004	1175	500	20	
10/28/2004	255	250	20	0
12/23/2004	0	0	0	0

(2) <u>Conclusions</u>. The objective of providing a reliable food source for migratory birds through construction of a levee system, pump station, and water control structures is being met. The profile survey of the overflow roadway was conducted in September 2001. According to Table C-2 in Appendix C, a profile survey of the overflow roadway is required every 5 years by the USACE. The next profile survey of the overflow roadway would be required in September 2006. Therefore, the next PER should contain new survey data for the overflow roadway.

It is critical that the overflow roadway maintain an elevation at or below the design grade of 580.3 feet NGVD. This elevation can be field verified through use of a benchmark located at the east end of the overflow roadway. The benchmark is a chiseled "X" on the northeast wingwall of the gatewell structure at elevation 577.28 feet NGVD.

It is recommended that the overflow roadway be closely monitored during the next flood event. As a minimum, it should be documented when the overflow roadway overtops, when the north perimeter levee overtops, and the interior water elevation of the WMU when the north perimeter levee begins to overtop.

The recommendations for the levee system based on field observations by the Site Manager are discussed in Section 6.b (2) (a).

The pump station inspection was conducted in May 2001. According to Table C-2 in Appendix C, an inspection of the pump station is required every 5 years by the USACE. The next inspection of the pump station would be required in May 2006. Therefore, the next PER should contain a new pump station inspection report.

Overall, the pump station appeared to be in good condition. Actual fill times closely resemble design fill times. However, several recommendations were documented in the pump station inspection report (see Appendix F). The O&M Manual should include a pump curve for the pump. In addition to the required maintenance as outlined in the O&M Manual, the Site Manager should review the equipment manufacturers' manuals biannually for routine maintenance to be identified and performed as recommended.

All pump station maintenance should be documented in the operating log. The operating log should be in a notebook, 3-ring binder, or bound logbook and should be in neat tabular form. Entries in the operating log should indicate such items as date, water elevations, periodic lubrication, pump hours or running time, maintenance/repairs, and special events that are significant in nature. The operating log should be stored and protected in the pump station building. Protection provided shall be moisture and rodent proof.

The coolant leak needs to be repaired to prevent accidental engine over heat. The engine lubricant, filters, and hydraulic fluid should be replaced as recommended by the engine maintenance schedule. The engine battery box needs to be rodent proof. The large stone in the vicinity of the trash rack needs to be removed. The accumulated silt in the sump should be cleaned out prior to pumping operations to prevent wear to the pump and deposition within the WMU.

The water control structures are functioning well by providing adequate water level control to the SWMU and NWMU. Both cells have been able to achieve the design water surface elevations. The WMU has been successful in attracting waterfowl.

b. Increase Overall Vegetation Diversity and Availability of Preferred Wildlife Foods

(1) <u>Monitoring Results</u>. The other objective for enhancing wetland habitat is to increase overall vegetation diversity and availability of preferred wildlife foods through mast tree plantings. As shown in Appendix B, Table B-1, the Year 50 Target is to maintain a greater than or equal to 20% survival rate of the mast trees. This feature will be measured by conducting a mast tree survey, which according to Table C-2 in Appendix C, is required every five years by the USACE. Field observations by the Site Manager are to describe any rodent damage and/or weed competition.

OD-MN conducted two inspections of the mast tree plantings in August 2002. The mast tree plantings on the north-south berms were inspected first. Trees on the east side of the berm are thriving despite competition from other vegetation (see Photo 25 in Appendix G). Trees that were planted on the west side were surrounded by reed canary grass and had a low survival rate. Very few trees were found alive; however, surrounding vegetation reduced the visibility of the surviving trees. Many of the trees still alive in the reed canary grass have sustained damage from deer browse and mice chewing the bark (see Photo 24 in Appendix G). These rows on the west side averaged 5 to 8 trees per row whereas the shorter rows on the east averaged over 10 trees per row.

The remaining RPM trees, located southeast of the other mast tree plantings, were inspected next. These trees were planted by the IADNR and OD-MN. The survival on these trees is about 50%. Approximately 75 trees were found. Most of the trees had been browsed or rubbed by deer and had re-sprouted several times. A few were taller than 6 feet. The majority of the trees were found along the old road back where it cuts into the forest. The areas open to full sunlight had much fewer surviving trees. The herbaceous competition is all reed canary grass. The majority of the weed barrier mats are still in place and seem to be helping to a limited extent.

(2) <u>Conclusions</u>. The objective of increasing the overall vegetation diversity and availability of preferred wildlife foods through mast tree plantings is being met. Based on the OD-MN inspection of the mast tree plantings, it is recommended that both sites be treated with herbicide. Also, it may be beneficial to place weed barrier mats at the mast tree plantings on the north-south berms, which would require mowing or weed whipping.

6. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE SUMMARY

a. Operation. Project operations are detailed in the O&M Manual and generally consist of the following; (1) mowing and burning the perimeter levee and cross dike to ensure serviceability year round, (2) operating the pump station and water control structures to achieve desired water levels consistent with vegetative growth including opening the gates to minimize overtopping erosion when the river reaches elevation 582 feet NGVD with predicted stage to increase, (3) maintaining the access roads and overflow roadway (spillway), and (4) removing snags and other debris from the cross dike ditches.

The project has been operated successfully in this manner since its completion. As described in the Annual Management Plan presented in Table 2-2, the WMUs are dewatered in April to July to allow re-vegetation. The WMUs are raised in August to November to provide access to food plants for migratory waterfowl. The WMUs are maintained at this elevation until April to control excessive plant growth if necessary.

b. Maintenance.

(1) <u>Inspections</u>. The IADNR has visited the Princeton HREP on various occasions since project completion. Inspections of the Princeton HREP are to be made by the IADNR Site Manager at least annually and follow inspection guidance presented in the O&M Manual. A copy of the completed project inspection checklist should be furnished to USACE. Other project inspections should occur as necessary after high water events or as scheduled by the IADNR Site Manager. Joint inspections of the Princeton HREP are to be conducted periodically by the IADNR, USFWS, and USACE. These inspections are necessary to determine maintenance needs.

The IADNR conducted an inspection of the Princeton HREP and documented its findings in a memo dated July 11, 2003 (see Appendix D), which are discussed below in paragraphs (a) through (e).

(a) <u>Perimeter Levee, Overflow Roadway, and Cross Dike</u>. The bed of the overflow roadway (spillway) is too low. The shoulders are higher and trap water. It appears that the bed needs to be raised approximately 8 inches.

The perimeter levee just upstream from the gatewell structure near the pump station is too low. The low section is about 150 feet long with the lowest point being approximately 2 feet below grade. Sandbags were placed along this section during the Flood of 2001 and some even remain along the shoulder.

The perimeter levee at the north end adjacent to the Wapsi River is 18 inches low. Future levee failures are inevitable due to this condition. Lowering the overflow roadway (spillway) helps equalize the water levels during flood events but excessive sedimentation from future levee failures will continue if this section of the levee is not raised.

(b) <u>Pump Station</u>. The river grating on the pump station inlet box has been a challenge. It will plug with debris and create a vortex during pumping operations. The pump impeller is deep enough in the pit to avoid plugging when the river grating is off. Debris will float on the surface and is not sucked beneath. The Site Manager would prefer to leave the river grating off.

The grating on top of the pump station inlet box is heavy to remove and replace. One hydraulic fitting in the headwall leaks and requires a catch bucket to trap oil. Daily removal and replacement of the heavy grating for maintenance is dangerous to the operator and hazardous to the public if left off.

Fishing line has been a challenge with the seals around the pump impeller head.

(c) <u>Water Control Structures</u>. The two CMP stoplog structures in the cross dike are silted in. It is believed that most of this sedimentation occurred during the Flood of 2001 when the north perimeter levee failed.

(d) <u>Gatewell Structure</u>. Nothing was reported by the IADNR Site Manager on the gatewell structure.

(e) <u>Mast Tree Plantings</u>. Nothing was reported by the IADNR Site Manager on the mast tree plantings.

(2) <u>Recommendations Based on Inspections</u>. In response to the IADNR's inspection memo dated July 11, 2003 (see Appendix D), the USACE has prepared the following recommendations.

(a) <u>Perimeter Levee, Overflow Roadway, and Cross Dike</u>. To ensure proper function during flood events, it is critical that the overflow roadway maintain an elevation at or below the design grade of 580.3 feet NGVD. This elevation can be field verified on occasion through use of a benchmark located at the east end of the overflow roadway. The benchmark is a chiseled "X" on the northeast wingwall of the gatewell structure at elevation 577.28 feet NGVD. If the bed of the overflow roadway (spillway) is low, granular surfacing may be placed to an elevation not to exceed 580.3 feet NGVD. If the shoulders of the overflow roadway (spillway) are higher than 580.3 feet NGVD, they need to be re-graded.

For the low section of perimeter levee just upstream from the gatewell structure near the pump station, it is recommended that this area be raised to the design grade as shown on Plate 9 of the O&M Manual. The sandbags should be removed and the low section should be stripped, filled in, and seeded. The topsoil should be stripped to a depth not less than 6 inches. Borrow material for embankment may come from any of the approved borrow areas as identified on Plate 2 in Appendix K and as seen on Photos 1 and 2 in Appendix G.

The as-built construction drawings show the final grade of the perimeter levee at the north end at elevation 582.3 feet NGVD. A land survey performed in September 2001 verified

that this is indeed the case. The average top elevation of the north perimeter levee was found to be 582.45 feet NGVD. Since the existing elevation is greater than the design grade, no work is recommended at this time.

(b) <u>Pump Station</u>. It is not recommended that the river grating on the pump station inlet box be left off. However, it is recommended that a secondary fence be installed between the ends of the wingwalls. This fence would then extend along the top of the wingwalls up to the top of the inlet box to keep debris out during flood events.

The grating on top of the pump station inlet box was designed to be heavy for safety reasons and to prevent vandalism. If the grating is replaced with a lighter, hinged section, a padlock should be installed. It is recommended that the hydraulic leak be fixed.

A trash rack cleaning apparatus could be utilized to help with the fishing line. This apparatus would have to be used on a regular basis and could be stored in the pump station engine building.

(c) <u>Water Control Structures</u>. It is recommended that the sediment be removed from the two CMP stoplog structures and the inlets and outlets be cleaned out.

- (d) <u>Gatewell Structure</u>. Nothing is recommended for the gatewell structure.
- (e) <u>Mast Tree Plantings</u>. See Section 5.b (2) for recommendations.

7. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

a. Project Goals, Objectives, and Management Plan. Based on data and observations collected since project completion, the goals and objectives evaluated for the Princeton HREP are being met, as illustrated in Table 7-1. Continued data collection should better define the levels to which all goals and objectives are being met.

TABLE 7-1 Project Goals and Objectives						
Goals	Objectives	Project Features	Unit	Year 7 (2005)	Target Year 50 (2048)	Status
Enhance Wetland Habitat	Provide reliable food source for migratory birds	Levee System	Feet NGVD	<u><</u> 580.3 1	580.3 1	Met Met
nushut		Water Control Structures	Each	3	3	Met
	Increase overall vegetation diversity and availability of preferred wildlife foods	Mast Tree Plantings	% Survival	50	20	Met

b. Post-Construction Evaluation and Monitoring Schedules. In general, monitoring efforts for the Princeton HREP have been performed according to the Post-Construction Evaluation Plan in Appendix B and the Monitoring and Data Collection Summary in Appendix C. The next PER will be completed no later than Fiscal Year 2010, following collection of field data for five years.

Starting with this PER, the Post-Construction Evaluation Plan and the Monitoring and Data Collection Summary were revised in order to better quantify and evaluate project objectives, as shown in Table 7-1 above. The former Post-Construction Evaluation Plan as presented in previous project documents is shown in Table 7-2.

(1) <u>Provide Reliable Food Source for Migratory Birds</u>. One of the objectives for enhancing wetland habitat is to provide a reliable food source for migratory birds. Originally, this objective was to be accomplished through levee restoration and water control improvements. As shown in Table 7-2, the Year 50 Target for levee restoration was to maintain zero lineal feet of eroded levee. This feature would be measured by conducting levee system transects at 500-foot intervals and a profile of the perimeter levee, cross dike, and overflow roadway (spillway). Levee system transects and profiles were conducted at project completion to reflect as-built conditions. Since then,

additional transects have not been completed. According to Table 13-2 of the DPR, levee system transects and profiles were required every five years by the USACE. Preliminary cost estimates have indicated that a survey of this magnitude could run as high as \$18,000. The survey alone would cost more than what is typically budgeted to prepare a complete PER. Therefore, it was decided to concentrate the survey on the overflow roadway (spillway) since its function is critical during flood events. It was also decided to eliminate the transects and to conduct a profile only.

As shown in Table 7-2, the Year 50 Target for water control improvements was to maintain greater than or equal to 300 acres of aquatic vegetation, to include areas of cropland or non-forested wetland conversion. This feature would be measured by a conducting vegetation transects as shown on the Monitoring Plan (see Plate 3 in Appendix K). Since project completion, vegetation transects have not been completed. According to Table 13-2 of the DPR, vegetation transects were required every five years by the USFWS. Vegetation transects have been identified as a way to measure feature performance for several HREPs. However, past experience has indicated that in very few cases do the sponsors have the resources, time, and money to complete these surveys. Therefore, it was decided that the USACE would monitor the functionality of the pump station and water control structures instead.

(2) <u>Increase Overall Vegetation Diversity and Availability of Preferred</u> <u>Wildlife Foods</u>. The other objective for enhancing wetland habitat is to increase overall vegetation diversity and availability of preferred wildlife foods through mast tree plantings. As shown in Table 7-2, the Year 50 Target originally was to maintain greater than or equal to 40 acres of mast trees. This feature would be measured by conducting vegetation transects as shown on the Monitoring Plan (see Plate 3 in Appendix K). Since project completion, vegetation transects have not been completed. According to Table 13-2 of the DPR, vegetation transects were required every five years by the USFWS. As discussed, vegetation transects have been identified as a way to measure feature performance for several HREPs. However, past experience has indicated that in very few cases do the sponsors have the resources, time, and money to complete these surveys. Therefore, it was decided that the USACE would conduct a mast tree survey where the percent survivability would be evaluated instead.

c. Project Operation and Maintenance. Project operation has been performed in accordance with the O&M Manual and the Annual Management Plan presented in Table 2-2. Project inspections have been conducted by the IADNR. See Section 6.b (2) for maintenance recommendations based on inspections.

d. Project Design Enhancement. Discussions with those involved in operation, maintenance, and monitoring activities at the Princeton HREP have resulted in the following lessons learned regarding project features that may affect future design of other HREPs.

(1) <u>Overflow Spillway</u>. The design for the overflow spillway was to be 2 feet lower than the north perimeter levee to allow for rapid filling of the MSMU interior
water surfaces prior to overtopping of the perimeter levee. The as-built construction drawings show the final grade of the north perimeter levee at elevation 582.3 feet NGVD and the overflow spillway at elevation 580.3 feet NGVD, which provides the required 2-foot difference. However, 8 inches (minimum) of granular surfacing was then placed on the overflow spillway. This would place the top of the overflow spillway at approximately elevation 581 feet NGVD. A land survey verified that this was indeed the case. The average top elevation of the north perimeter levee was found to be 582.45 feet NGVD, while the overflow spillway showed an average top elevation of 581.05 feet NGVD. The result was a 1.4-foot difference between the two ends rather than the required 2-foot difference. This discrepancy may have contributed to a large breach in the north perimeter levee during the Flood of 2001. During the flood event, the Site Manager observed that the north perimeter levee and overflow spillway overtopped at the same time, rather than the latter first. As a result, the overflow spillway was lowered 8 inches.

During the Flood of 2001, the granular surfacing on top of the overflow spillway was washed to the downstream slope and the geotextile fabric beneath the granular surfacing had been shifted to the downstream shoulder. It appeared that the geotextile fabric had acted as a slippage plane during the flood event for the granular surfacing to "peel" off the overflow spillway. Therefore, the geotextile fabric was not replaced when the overflow spillway was lowered during the Stage IV contract.

(2) <u>Pump Station</u>. During construction, the existing pump station was relocated from the downstream end to the middle of the perimeter levee. However, the existing pump station only consisted of a single pump. As a result, a portable pump with a diesel engine mounted on a highway trailer was supplied following construction.

The river grating on the pump station inlet box has been a challenge. It will plug with debris and create a vortex during pumping operations. It is recommended that a secondary fence be installed between the ends of the wingwalls. This fence would then extend along the top of the wingwalls up to the top of the inlet box to keep debris out during flood events.

The grating on top of the pump station inlet box is heavy to remove and replace. Removal and replacement of the heavy grating for maintenance is dangerous to the operator and hazardous to the public if left off. The grating on top of the pump station inlet box was designed to be heavy for safety reasons and to prevent vandalism. If the grating is replaced with a lighter, hinged section, a padlock should be installed.

(3) <u>Water Control Structures</u>. The concrete stoplog structure did not allow for complete drainage of the north cell into the south cell. As a result, a Stage III contract was awarded, which consisted of installing two CMP stoplog structures along the cross dike to provide water level control between the cells at lower elevations by gravity flow.

	TABLE 7-2 (FORMER) Post-Construction Evaluation Plan										
Goal	Objective	Enhancement Feature	Unit	Year 0 (1998) Without Project	Year 0 (1998) With Project	Year X (XXXX) With Project	Target Year 50 (2048) With Project	Feature Measurement	Annual Field Observations by IADNR Site Manager ^{2/}		
Enhance Wetland Habitat	Provide reliable food source for migratory birds	Levee Restoration	Lineal feet of eroded levee	16,400	0		0	Levee system transects and profiles	Describe any erosion and/or seepage effects		
		Water Control Improvements	Acres of aquatic vegetation	213	213		300 ^{1/}	Vegetation transects	Estimate effective acreage and wildlife use $\frac{3}{2}$		
	Increase overall vegetation diversity & availability of preferred wildlife foods	Mast Tree Planting	Acres of mast trees	7-10	28-31		40	Vegetation transects	Estimate area of established and/or regenerated vegetation		

^{1/} Includes areas of cropland or non-forested wetland conversion
 ^{2/} To be submitted with annual Site Manager's Project Inspection and Monitoring Results (refer to the O&M Manual, Appendix C)
 ^{3/} Includes annual waterfowl census data

APPENDIX A

ACRONYMS

ACRONYMS

CEMVR	Corps of Engineers, Mississippi Valley Division, Rock Island District
DPR	Definite Project Report
EMP	Environmental Management Program
ER	Engineer Regulation
GPM	Gallons per Minute
HREP	Habitat Rehabilitation and Enhancement Project
LTRMP	Long-Term Resource Monitoring Program
IADNR	Iowa Department of Natural Resources
NGVD	National Geodetic Vertical Datum
NWMU	North Wetland Management Unit
O&M	Operation and Maintenance
OD-MN	Operations Division – Mississippi River Project Natural Resources Management Section
PER	Performance Evaluation Report
PM-M	Programs and Project Management Division – Project Management Section
RM	River Mile
SWMU	South Wetland Management Unit
UMESC	Upper Midwest Environmental Sciences Center
UMRS	Upper Mississippi River System
USACE	United States Army Corps of Engineers
USFWS	United States Fish and Wildlife Service
USGS	United States Geological Survey
WMU	Wetland Management Unit

APPENDIX B

POST-CONSTRUCTION EVALUATION PLAN AND SEDIMENTATION TRANSECT PROJECT OBJECTIVES EVALUATION

	TABLE B-1 Post-Construction Evaluation Plan										
Goal	Objective	Enhancement Feature	Unit	Year 0 (1998) Without Project	Year 0 (1998) With Project	Year X (XXXX) With Project	Target Year 50 (2048) With Project	Feature Measurement	Annual Field Observations by IADNR Site Manager ^{1/}		
Enhance Wetland Habitat	Provide reliable food source for migratory birds	Levee System	Feet NGVD	Varies	580.3		580.3	Overflow spillway profile	Describe any erosion and/or seepage effects		
		Pump Station	Each	1	1		1	Pump station inspection	Document pump and fuel usage		
		Water Control Structures	Each	0	3		3	Water level evaluation	Estimate waterfowl use, list moist-soil vegetation present		
	Increase overall vegetation diversity & availability of preferred wildlife foods	Mast Tree Plantings	% Survival	0	100		20	Mast tree survey	Describe any rodent damage and/or weed competition		

^{1/} To be submitted with annual Site Manager's Project Inspection and Monitoring Results (refer to O&M Manual, Appendix C)

APPENDIX C

MONITORING AND PERFORMANCE EVALUATION MATRIX, RESOURCE MONITORING AND DATA COLLECTION SUMMARY, AND WATERFOWL MIGRATION SURVEYS

	TABLE C-1 Monitoring and Performance Evaluation Matrix										
Project Phase	Type of Activity	Purpose	Responsible Agency	Implementing Agency	Funding Source	Implementation Instructions					
Pre-Project	Sedimentation Problem Analysis	System-wide problem definition; Evaluate planning assumptions	USGS	USGS (UMESC)	LTRMP						
	Pre-Project Monitoring	Identify and define problems at HREP site; Establish need of proposed project features	Sponsor	Sponsor	Sponsor						
	Baseline Monitoring	Establish baselines for performance evaluation	USACE	USACE/ Sponsor	HREP	See Table C-2					
Design	Data Collection for Design	Include quantification of project objectives; Design of project; and Development of performance evaluation plan	USACE	USACE	HREP	See Table C-2					
Construction	Construction Monitoring	Assess construction impacts; Assure permit conditions are met	USACE	USACE	HREP	See State Section 401 Stipulations					
Post- Construction	Performance Evaluation Monitoring	Determine success of project, as related to objectives	USACE/ Sponsor	USACE/ Sponsor	HREP	See Table C-2					

	Eng	gineering I	neering Data		Natural Resource Data			
Type Measurement	Pre- Project Phase	Design Phase	Post- Const Phase	Pre- Project Phase	Design Phase	Post- Const Phase	Sampling Agency	Remarks
POINT MEASUREMENTS								
Geotechnical								
Soil Borings ^{2/}	1	1					USACE	
Inspection								
Pump Station ^{3/}			5Y				USACE	
Evaluation								
Water Level 4/			5Y				USACE	Data provided by IADNR
TRANSECT MEASUREMENTS								
Cross Sections / Profile								
Levee System ^{5/}		1					USACE	
Profile								
Overflow Spillway ^{6/}			5Y				USACE	
AREA MEASUREMENTS								
Mapping								
Vegetation Monitoring ^{1/}					1		USACE	
Aerial Photography ^{8/}				1		5Y	Sponsor	
Land Topographic ⁹		1					USACE	
Survey								
Mast Tree ^{10/}			5Y				USACE	

Г

TABLE C-2 (Continued)Monitoring and Data Collection Summary $\frac{1}{2}$

¹/ Monitoring and Data Collection Summary – First monitoring activity to occur the first year following project completion

²/ Soil Borings (Pre-Project Phase)

<u>Date</u>
05-22-90
05-23-90
05-24-90
05-15-90
05-24-90
05-29-90
05-30-90
05-31-90
05-05-90
05-31-90
06-01-90

Soil Borings (Design Phase)

Boring Number	<u>Date</u>
PWA-92-1 to PWA-92-4	01-29-92
PWA-92-5	02-10-92

- ^{3/} Pump Station Inspection (Post-Construction Phase) Inspection should be documented in the report format provided in Appendix F
- ⁴/ Water Level Evaluation (Post-Construction Phase) Evaluation should be conducted using information provided by the IADNR Site Manager
- ^{5/} Levee System Cross Sections / Profile (Design Phase) Cross sections at even 200-foot intervals; profile perimeter levee and cross dike

Overflow Spillway Profile (Post-Construction Phase) – Profile overflow spillway using the benchmark (chiseled "X") on the northeast wingwall of the gatewell structure at elevation 577.28 feet NGVD

¹/Vegetation Monitoring (Design Phase) – September 1990 aerial photography

⁸/ Aerial Photography (Pre-Project and Post-Construction Phases) – Scale at 1:1250

- ⁹/ Land Topographic (Design Phase) Contours at 1-foot intervals
- ^{10/} Mast Tree Survey (Post-Construction Phase) Survey of the mast tree plantings at two locations in the NWMU

Survey Date:	9/23/2004			Wildlife Unit:	Maquoketa		
Observer:	Fromm						
				AREAS			
	Green Island	Princeton					
Mallard	100	5					
Black Duck							
Gadwall							
Wigeon							
Pintail	20						
GW Teal							
BW Teal	40						
Shoveler							
Wood Duck	200	75					
Redhead							
Canvasback							
Ring-neck							
L. Scaup							
Goldeneye							
Ruddy Duck							
Merganser							
Bufflehead							
Other							
TOTAL DUCKS	360	80	0	0	0	0	0
Coots			Υ.				
Canada Geese	450						
Snow Geese							
WF Geese							
TOTAL GEESE	450	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tundra Swan							
Trumpeter Swan	1						
Bald Eagle							
Golden Eagle							
Water level	W87.4E85.5	N75.3S75.3					
(dif. from crest)	W-1.0E1						
Weather:	Sunny,80,SW	10mph					
Habitat conditions	: Good-excellen	t both areas					
Ice conditions:	NA						
Migration days:							
Hunter numbers a	and success:	Opener 120 ve	hicles, mostly \	Nood ducks			
Comments:							
Revised 08/30/94							542-0330

*..

•.,

Survey Date:	10/7/2004			Wildlife Unit:	Maquoketa		
Observer:	Fromm, Ellings	on,Robinson					
				AREAS			
	Green Island	Princeton					
Mallard	2,200	100					
Black Duck	4						
Gadwall	_						
Wigeon							
Pintail	1000						
GW Teal							
BW Teal	20						
Shoveler	35						
Wood Duck	500	400					
Redhead							
Canvasback	1						
Ring-neck							
L. Scaup							
Goldeneve							
Ruddy Duck							
Merganser							
Bufflehead							
Other							
TOTAL DUCKS	3759	500	0	C	0 0	0	0
Coots	50	500	_				
Canada Geese	3400	150					
Snow Geese							
WF Geese				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
TOTAL GEESE	3400	150	0		0 0	0	0
Tundra Swan							
Trumpeter Swan		1					
Bald Eagle	1						
Golden Eagle							
Water level	W87.69E85.7	N76.0S74.98					
(dif. from crest)	W6E+.1	N9 S .52					
Weather:	Cloudy, 70,S1	0mph			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Habitat conditions	Good to excell	ent both areas					
Ice conditions:	Na						
Migration days:							_
Hunter numbers a	and success:						
Comments:	17 Sandhills						
Revised 08/30/94							542-0330

Survey Date:	10/14/2004			Wildlife Unit:	Maquoketa						
Observer:	Fromm										
				AREAS							
	Green Island	Princeton									
Mallard	2,500	150					-				
Black Duck	5										
Gadwall	20										
Wigeon											
Pintail	600	25									
GW Teal	40	600									
BW Teal	20										
Shoveler	20										
Wood Duck	500	400									
Redhead											
Canvasback											
Ring-neck											
L. Scaup											
Goldeneye											
Ruddy Duck											
Merganser											
Bufflehead											
Other											
TOTAL DUCKS	3705	1175	. 0	0	0	0	0				
Coots	100	500									
Canada Geese	4200	20									
Snow Geese											
WF Geese											
TOTAL GEESE	4200	20	0	0	0	0	0				
Tundra Swan											
Trumpeter Swan											
Bald Eagle	3										
Golden Eagle				_							
Water level	E85.7W88.2	N76.6S75.27									
(dif. from crest)	<u>E+.1W-</u> .4	<u>N4</u> S33									
Weather:	Cloudy,55,NE ²	10mph		·							
Habitat conditions	Good to excell	ent Borh areas.	Filling DU 2								
			<u> </u>								
Ice conditions:	Na										
Migration days:											
	·										
Hunter numbers a	ind success:										
Comments:	19 Sandhills at	G.I. Looks like	e it should be a	fairly good on	ener.						
				Second Second							

• • •

•••

Survey Date:	10/28/2004			Wildlife Unit:	Maquoketa		
Observer:	Fromm						•
				AREAS			
	Green Island	Princeton			1		
Mallard	2,200	200					
Black Duck	10						
Gadwall	25	5			-		
Wigeon							
Pintail	350						
GW Teal							
BW Teal							
Shoveler	20						
Wood Duck	250	50					
Redhead							
Canvasback							
Ring-neck							
L. Scaup							
Goldeneye							
Ruddy Duck							
Merganser							
Bufflehead							
Other					-		
TOTAL DUCKS	2855	255	0	C	0	0	0
Coots	200	250					
Canada Geese	4600	20					
Snow Geese	1						
WF Geese							
TOTAL GEESE	4601	20	0	C	0	0	0
Tundra Swan							
Trumpeter Swan							
Bald Eagle	2			·			
Golden Eagle							
Water level	W88 52E85 8	S75 55N76 65					
(dif. from crest)	W- 08F+ 2	S- 05N- 25					
		0.0011.20					
Weather:	60,Cluody,Fog	gy,Drizzly,Rain	y,Dreary				
Habitat conditions	: Excellent both	areas,					
Ice conditions:	NA						
Migration days:	nope						
Hunter numbers a	nd success:	Good numbers	of hunters earl	y i <mark>n w</mark> eek, ver	y slow success		
Comments:							

Revised 08/30/94

٠, ۱

*****_,

542-0330

Ţ

Survey Date:	12/23/2004			Wildlife Unit:	Maquoketa					
Observer:	Fromm				· · · · ·		-			
	AREAS									
}	Croop Jaland									
Mallard										
Black Duck										
Gadwall										
Wigeon	+									
Pintail										
GW Teal					1	<u> </u>				
BW Teal					-					
Shoveler	<u> </u>									
Wood Duck	┼────┼									
Redhead										
Canvasback										
Ring-neck	┨─────┤				+					
L. Scaup	╉┈╶────╁			<u> </u>			<u> </u>			
Goldeneve	<u>∤−−−</u>						_			
Ruddy Duck										
Merganser	<u>†</u> ───									
Bufflehead	_									
Other							•			
TOTAL DUCKS	10	0	0			0	(
Coots						ÿ	·`			
Canada Geese	4200									
Snow Geese										
WF Geese										
TOTAL GEESE	4200	0	0	0		0				
Tundra Swan										
Trumpeter Swan	1									
Bald Eagle	5									
Golden Eagle										
Water level										
(dif. fro <u>m cr</u> est)										
Weather:										
Habitat conditions										
						_				
Ice conditions:										
Migration days:			<u></u>							
Migration days.										
					_					
Hunter numbers a	and success:									
Comments:										

* * * * * * * *

`۹

ч

Bob Sheets - migrept091003.xls WATERFOWL MIGRATION SURVEY

and the second station of	1.11.1
Page	1

Survey Date:	9/10/03	_		Wildlife Unit:	Maquoketa				
Observer:	Fromm				· · ·		-		
	AREAS								
L	Green Island	Princeton							
Mailard	50	25							
Black Duck					_				
Gadwall									
					_				
Pintail		10							
	10	/5							
BVV Teal	40	35							
Droveler	5	10							
VVOOd DUCK	500	250							
Convochack									
Ding pock									
Scaup		1							
L. Scaup									
Mergansor									
Bufflehead									
Other									
	605	405				0	0		
Coots	- 003	403	0		, <u> </u>	0	0		
Canada Geese	200	50							
Snow Geese									
WE Geese									
TOTAL GEESE	200	50	0	(0	0		
Tundra Swan					<u> </u>				
Trumpeter Swan									
Bald Eagle									
Golden Eagle									
Water level	E85.3W86.8	N-0,S74.22	_						
(dif. from crest)	E3W1.7	N-3.0S-1.78							
Weather:	Sunny,85, Win	d S 10mph							
Habitat conditions	Habitat excelle	nt both areas, ju	ist need to add	water					
Ice conditions:	NA								
Migration days:	none seen								
		Na							
Comments:	13 Sandhills at	G.I., All Canada	as are large,				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Revised 08/30/94

٠,

**

WATERFOWL MIGRATION SURVEY

Page 1

Survey Date:	9/17/03 Wildlife Unit: Maquoketa									
Observer:	Fromm									
	AREAS									
	Green Island	Princeton		-						
Mailard	500	50								
Black Duck		·								
Gadwall	20	5								
Wigeon										
Pintail	250	5								
GW Teal	200									
BW Teal	150	150								
Shoveler	30	20								
Wood Duck	700	100								
Redhead										
Canvasback										
Rina-neck					<u> </u>					
L. Scaup		<u> </u>								
Goldeneve										
Ruddy Duck						······································				
Merganser										
Bufflehead										
Dther										
TOTAL DUCKS	1850	330	0	0	0	0	0			
Coots		10								
Canada Geese	1000	50								
Snow Geese					<u> </u>					
WF Geese										
TOTAL GEESE	1000	50	0	0	0	0	0			
Tundra Swan										
Trumpeter Swan		<u>+</u>								
Bald Eagle	1									
Golden Eagle										
Water level	W 87.64 E 85.	S 75.4 N 74.2								
(dif. from crest)	W -1.0 E1	S1 N -2.7								
Weather:	Sunny 80 degr	ees S 20 mph								
Habitat conditions	Excellent both	areas, just need wa	iter							
Ice conditions:	NA									
	0/40 0/44									
Migration days:	9/13-9/14									
Hunter numbers a	ind success:	0								
Comments:	No small gees	ə								
Revised 08/30/94							542-0330			

WATERFOWL MIGRATION SURVEY Bob Sheets - migrept092403.xls

٠,

.

Pa	age	1

Survey Date:	9/24/03			Wildlife Unit:	Maquoketa		_				
Observer:	Billerbeck										
	AREAS										
	Green Island	Princeton									
Mallard	800	30				<u> </u>					
	5										
/vigeon											
	350	5									
	100	15									
	50										
Shoveler	50										
	300	50									
Redhead				······							
Janvasback											
<u>Ring-neck</u>											
Scaup	<u> </u>			=							
Goldeneye											
Ruddy Duck							+				
Merganser						_					
Bufflehead											
Other											
TOTAL DUCKS	1685	100	0	(0 (0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Coots	500										
Canada Geese	1000	50									
Snow Geese											
NF Geese											
TOTAL GEESE	1000	50	0		0 0	00	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Tundra Swan											
Trumpeter Swan	2										
Bald Eagle											
Golden Eagle			_								
Water level	W587.48,E585	47	S 575.3, N574.	6							
(dif. from crest)	<u>W -1.02,E -0.13</u>	3	<u>S -0.2, N -2.3</u>								
Weather:	cloudy 70										
Habitat conditions	very good	good									
ce conditions:											
Migration days:											
Hunter numbers a	nd success:	Hunter number	s slightly lower	than last year,	, success gener	ally poor.					
Comments:	Swan neck coll	ars at GI are 81	r3 and 5T2								
Revised 08/30/94							542-033				

Bob Sheets - migrept100803.xls WATERFOWL MIGRATION SURVEY

Survey Date:	10/8/03		,	Wildlife Unit:	Maquoketa					
Observer:	Fromm, Billerbe	- eck					-			
	AREAS									
	Green Island	Princeton								
Mallard	2,500	100								
Black Duck										
Gadwall	100	10								
Wigeon	25	10								
Pintail	1300	5								
GW Teal	200	40								
BW Teal	50	15								
Shoveler	50									
Wood Duck	300	150								
Redhead										
Canvasback										
Ring-neck			·							
L. Scaup										
Goldeneve										
Ruddy Duck										
Merganser										
Bufflehead	-									
Other										
TOTAL DUCKS	4525	330	0	0	0	0	0			
Coots	250	300								
Canada Geese	2900	50								
Snow Geese	2	_								
WF Geese										
TOTAL GEESE	2902	50	0	0	0	0	0			
Tundra Swan										
Trumpeter Swan	4									
Bald Eagle	2									
Golden Eagle										
Water level	W87.97E85.2	N75.2S75.0								
(dif. from crest)	W5,E4	N-1.7S5								
Weather:	Sunny 80 wsw	10-15								
Habitat conditions	Excellent both	areas, filling sub	2 now							
Ice conditions:										
Migration days:	Didn't notice bu	ut more ducks a	nd small deese	have shown ur	·					

Hunter numbers and success:

Comments: 13 Sandhills

Revised 08/30/94

۰,

3

542-0330

Page 1

WATERFOWL MIGRATION SURVEY Bob Sheets - migrept101503.xls

Sheets - migrept101503.xls WATERFOWL MIGRATION SURVEY								Page
Survey Date:	10/15/03		Wildlife Unit	t:	Maquoketa			
Observer:	Fromm, Billerbe	eck						
			AREAS					
		Deinsetan						
	Green Island	Princeton		_		_		
Vialiard Duok Duok	2,000	100						
	5					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	50	10						
	20	10						
	500	10						
	100	50						
	15							
Shoveler	100							
	250	150						
Canvasback		5						
Ring-песк								
	+							
		5		_				
Merganser								
Jufflehead								
Other						=		
TOTAL DUCKS		340	0	0	0		0	0
Coots	200	300						
Canada Geese	2000	50						
Snow Geese		 						
WF Geese						=		
TOTAL GEESE	2000	50	0	0	0		0	0
Tundra Swan								
Trumpeter Swan	4	2						
Baid Eagle	2							
Golden Eagle								
Water level	E85.45W88.4	S75.53N7583						
(dif. from crest)	<u>E15W14</u>	S07N-1.07		_				
Weather:	Sunny,62,wnw	5-10						
Habitat conditions	Good-Excellen	t both areas						
Ice conditions:	Na							
Migration days:	10/12 ,small m	ovement on10/15			_			

Hunter numbers and success: Poor success on opener ,good success all week

Comments:

۰.

,

Revised 08/30/94

542-0330

Survey Date: Observer:	10/29/2003			Wildlife Unit:	Maquokeat		
				AREAS			
	=						
	Green Island	Princeton					
Mallard	3,800	100		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Black Duck	20						
Gadwall	100						
Wigeon	100	20					
Pintail	500						
GW Teal	200	50					
BW Teal		10					
Shoveler	100				_		
Wood Duck	200	150			l		
Redhead							
Canvasback							
Ring-neck	15						
L. Scaup							
Goldeneye							
Ruddy Duck	_						
Merganser							
Bufflehead							
Other							
TOTAL DUCKS	5035	330	0	0	0 0	0	0
Coots	1000	500		_			
Canada Geese	2500	50					
Snow Geese	8						
WF Geese							
TOTAL GEESE	2508	50	0	C	0	0	0
Tundra Swan	1					_	
Trumpeter Swan		2					
Bald Eagle	2						
Golden Eagle							
Water level	E85.63W88.4	S75.25N76.2					
(dif. from crest)	<u> E+0.03W-0.05</u>	S-0.25N-0.7					
Weather:	cloudy, 45 deg	, Se wind 15 m	ph,				
Habitat conditions	excellent both	areas					
Ice conditions:	na						
Migration days:	na						
<u> </u>		<u> </u>					
Hunter numbers a	nd success:	GI avg 16 vehi	cles per AM, po	or to OK succ	ess		
		Prin avg 5 vehi	cles per AM, su	iccess unk			
Comments:	GI small gees	e 1000					
· · ·							

Revised 08/30/94

l

3

* '

542-0330

•

, '

Survey Date:	11/5/2003	•		Wildlife Unit:	Maquoketa				
Observer:	Billerbeck	Billerbeck							
			=	AREAS					
	Green Island	Princeton							
Mallard	7.000	700			_				
Black Duck	25	15							
Gadwall	300	150							
Wigeon	150	20							
Pintail	500	30							
GW Teal	300	75		······					
BW Teal	35	10							
Shoveler	150								
Wood Duck	150	100							
Redhead									
Canvasback									
Ring-neck	30	30							
L. Scaup									
Goldeneye				,					
Ruddy Duck		5							
Merganser	5								
Bufflehead				_					
Other									
TOTAL DUCKS	8645	1135	0	0	0	0	0		
Coots	1500	750							
Canada Geese	3600	50							
Snow Geese	65	_							
WF Geese									
TOTAL GEESE	3665	50	0	0	0	0	0		
Tundra Swan	1								
Trumpeter Swan	1	2							
Bald Eagle	2								
Golden Eagle					-				
Water level	W88.5,E86.0	N76.6,S75.3							
(dif. from crest)	both crest	N-0.3,S-0.2							
Weather:	cloudy 38 deg	rees, NW 5-10							
Habitat Conditions	Bour excellent	 =	<u>=</u>		·				
Ice conditions:	na								
Migration days:	Oct. 31 and No	ov. 1 seemed to	be the most m	ovement					
Hunter numbers a	and success:	average, hunte	r numbers up a	a bit today					
Comments:									
Revised 08/30/94							542-0330		

Observer: Billerbeck AREAS Green Island Princeton Black Duck 25 15 Gadwall 300 200 Black Duck 25 15 Gadwall 300 200 Wigeon 150 35 Pintal 500 75 GW Teal 300 100 BW Teal 35 1 Shoreler 150 60 Wood Duck 150 100 Redhead 1 1 Carwasback 1 1 Ring-neck 50 35 L Scaup 1 1 Goldeneye 1 1 Ruddy Duck 1 1 Merganser 1 1 Buffehead 1 1 Other 1 1 Other 1 1 Other 1 1 Other 1 1	Survey Date:	11/12/2003			Wildlife Unit:	Maquoketa		_
AREAS Green Island Princeton Mallard 5,000 2000 Black Duck 25 15	Observer:	Billerbeck						_
Green Island Princeton Mallard 5,000 2000 Black Duck 25 15 Gadwall 300 200 Wigen 150 36 Pintall 500 75 GW Teal 300 100 BW Teal 35 1 Shoveler 150 60 Watal 35 1 Shoveler 150 60 Watal 35 1 Shoveler 150 60 Watal 35 1 Shoveler 150 100 Redhead 1 1 Carvasback 1 1 Ring-neck 50 35 1 Scaup 1 1 1 Buffehead 1 1 1 Other 6660 2620 0 0 0 Coots 800 500 1 1 TorAt Swan					AREAS			
Mailard 5,000 2000 Image: Constraint of the state of the stat		Green Island	Princeton					
Black Duck 25 15	Mallard	5,000	2000					
Gadwall 300 200	Black Duck	25	15					
Wigeon 150 35 Image: Constraint of the state of	Gadwall	300	200					
Pintali 500 75	Wigeon	150	35					
GW Teal 300 100 BW Teal 35	Pintail	500	75					
BW Teal 35 60 60 Shoveler 150 60 60 Redhead 150 100 100 Redhead 150 100 100 Canvasback 100 100 100 Redhead 100 100 100 Canvasback 100 100 100 Souther 100 100 100 Coldeneye 100 100 100 Coldeneye 100 100 100 Merganser 100 100 100 Bufflehead 100 100 100 Conts 800 500 100 100 Consts 800 500 100 100 Show Geese 5 100 100 100 TurdraterSwan 2 100 100 100 Turdrate Sagle 400 100 100 100 100 Baid Eagle 400 100	GW Teal	300	100					
Shoveler 150 60 Image: Constraint of the state o	BW Teal	35						
Wood Duck 150 100 Image: Convestion of the second se	Shoveler	150	60					
Redhead	Wood Duck	150	100					
Canvasback 50 35	Redhead							
Ring-neck 50 35 Image: Status of the s	Canvasback							
L. Scaup Goldeneye Goldeneye Ruddy Duck Merganser Bufflehead Dther COTAL DUCKS 6660 2620 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Ring-neck	50	35					
Goldeneye	L. Scaup							
Ruddy Duck Merganser Image: Construct of the state of the sta	Goldeneye							
Merganser Image: Second State St	Ruddy Duck							
Bufflehead Other Other Other Other Other TOTAL DUCKS 6660 2620 0 0 0 O TOTAL DUCKS 6660 2620 0 0 0 O Coots 800 500 Image: Coots State of the coordination of t	Merganser					1		
Other Context	Bufflehead							
TOTAL DUCKS 6660 2620 0 0 0 0 0 Coots 800 500	Other							
Coots 800 500 Image: Coots of the second seco	TOTAL DUCKS	6660	2620	0		0	0	(
Canada Geese 3700 50 Image: Canada Geese Image: Canada Geesee Image: Canad	Coots	800	500					
Snow Geese 5 Image: State of Sta	Canada Geese	3700	50					
WF Geese 3705 50 0 0 0 0 TOTAL GEESE 3705 50 0 0 0 0 Tundra Swan 1 2 1 1 1 1 Trumpeter Swan 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 Bald Eagle 4 1	Snow Geese	5						
TOTAL GEESE 3705 50 0 0 0 0 Tundra Swan 1 2 1	WF Geese							
Tundra Swan 1 2 1 1 Trumpeter Swan 2 1 1 1 1 Bald Eagle 4 1 1 1 1 1 Bald Eagle 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 Golden Eagle 4 1	TOTAL GEESE	3705	50	0	(0 0	0	(
Trumpeter Swan 2 1 1 Bald Eagle 4 1 1 1 Golden Eagle 4 1 1 1 1 Water level W88.28,E86.0 N76.4,S75.25 1 1 1 1 Water level W88.28,E86.0 N76.4,S75.25 1	Tundra Swan	1						
Bald Eagle 4	Trumpeter Swan		2					
Golden Eagle Water level W88.28,E86.0 N76.4,S75.25 (dif. from crest) W-0.35,E+0.4 N-0.5,S0.25 Weather: Started sunny and nice. Wind picked up during day gusting to 40 to 60 mph from NW, clouded up an Habitat conditions: excellent Ice conditions: na Migration days: none observed Hunter numbers and success: Many hunters showed up during day expecting a good day but not much success. Du Comments: Green Island had all time high of 32 sandhill cranes.	Bald Eagle	4						
Water level W88.28,E86.0 N76.4,S75.25 (dif. from crest) W-0.35,E+0.4 N-0.5,S0.25 Weather: Started sunny and nice. Wind picked up during day gusting to 40 to 60 mph from NW, clouded up an Habitat conditions: excellent Ice conditions: na Migration days: none observed Hunter numbers and success: Many hunters showed up during day expecting a good day but not much success. Du Comments: Green Island had all time high of 32 sandhill cranes.	Golden Eagle							
(dif. from crest) W-0.35,E+0.4 N-0.5,S0.25 Weather: Started sunny and nice. Wind picked up during day gusting to 40 to 60 mph from NW, clouded up an Habitat conditions: excellent Interview Ice conditions: na Migration days: none observed Hunter numbers and success: Many hunters showed up during day expecting a good day but not much success. Du Comments: Green Island had all time high of 32 sandhill cranes.	Water level	W88.28,E86.0	N76.4,S75.25					
Weather: Started sunny and nice. Wind picked up during day gusting to 40 to 60 mph from NW, clouded up an Habitat conditions: excellent Ice conditions: excellent Ice conditions: na Migration days: none observed Hunter numbers and success: Many hunters showed up during day expecting a good day but not much success. Du Comments: Green Island had all time high of 32 sandhill cranes.	(dif. from crest)	W-0.35,E+0.4	N-0.5,S0.25					
Habitat conditions: excellent Ice conditions: na Migration days: none observed Hunter numbers and success: Many hunters showed up during day expecting a good day but not much success. Du Comments: Green Island had all time high of 32 sandhill cranes.	Weather:	Started sunny	and nice. Wind	picked up durir	ng day gusting	to 40 to 60 mpl	from NW, clou	uded up and te
Ice conditions: na Migration days: none observed Hunter numbers and success: Many hunters showed up during day expecting a good day but not much success. Du Comments: Green Island had all time high of 32 sandhill cranes.	Habitat conditions	excellent						
Ice conditions: na Migration days: none observed Hunter numbers and success: Many hunters showed up during day expecting a good day but not much success. Comments: Green Island had all time high of 32 sandhill cranes.								
Migration days: none observed Hunter numbers and success: Many hunters showed up during day expecting a good day but not much success. Du Comments: Green Island had all time high of 32 sandhill cranes.	Ice conditions:	na						
Hunter numbers and success: Many hunters showed up during day expecting a good day but not much success. Du Comments: Green Island had all time high of 32 sandhill cranes.	Migration days:	none observed	t					
Comments: Green Island had all time high of 32 sandhill cranes.	Hunter numbers a	and success:	Many hunters	showed up duri	ng day expect	ing a good day t	out not much su	iccess. Ducks
	Comments:	Green Island h	ad all time high	of 32 sandhill o	cranes.			

Revised 08/30/94

• *****

Survey Date:	11/26/2003			Wildlife Unit:	Maquoketa		_	
Observer:	Fromm,Billerbeck							
				AREAS				
NA_11 1	Green Island	Princeton						
Mallard	3,000	1700						
Black Duck	5							
Gadwall	50	100						
Wigeon		25						
Pintail	25	50						
GW Teal	10	50						
BW Teal								
Shoveler	100	30						
Wood Duck	20	10						
Redhead								
Canvasback		5						
Ring-neck	25	35						
L. Scaup		10						
Goldeneye								
Ruddy Duck								
Merganser								
Bufflehead								
Other								
TOTAL DUCKS	3235		0	C		0	0	
Coots	100	150						
Canada Geese	5500	75						
Snow Geese								
WF Geese								
TOTAL GEESE	5500	75	0	C	0	0	0	
Tundra Swan	1			-				
Trumpeter Swan		2						
Bald Eagle	11							
Golden Eagle		····						
Water level	W88.0E86.0	N76.0S75.35						
(dif. from crest)	W6E+.4	N9S25						
Weather:	Partly cloudy,	SE5-10,40Degre	es					
Habitat conditions	Good							
Ice conditions:	90 percent but	opening back u	- qu					
Migration days:	11/23/2024							
Hunter numbers a	and success:	Good numbers	both areas suc	ccess spotty				
Comments:	31 Sandhills							

Revised 08/30/94

1

r

Survey Date:	9/11/02			Wildlife Unit:	Maquoketa		
Observer:	Fromm, Billerbe	eck					1
				AREAS			
	Green Island	Princeton					
Mallard	200	15					
Black Duck	200						
Gadwall							
Wigeon							
Pintail							
GW Teal				,			
BW Teal	250	40					
Shoveler							
Wood Duck	650	200					
Redhead	000	200					
Canvasback							
Ring-neck							
L Scaup							
Goldeneve							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Ruddy Duck			. <u> </u>				
Merganser							
Bufflehead							
Other							
TOTAL DUCKS	1100	255	0	0	0	0	0
Coots	250	200		0			
Canada Geese	175						
Snow Geese							
WF Geese							
TOTAL GEESE	175	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tundra Swan				-			
Trumpeter Swan		i i					
Bald Eagle	4						
Golden Eagle							
Water level	W86.8E86.3	S74.0N74.0					
(dif. from crest)							
Weather:	sunny, 70, NE	5-10					
Habitat conditions	Looking pretty	good					
Ice conditions:							<u> </u>
Migration days:	Blue-wings, sc	ome Green-wing	s, Shovellers, a	and 2 Pintails	moved in and o	ut about 2 weel	ks ago
Hunter numbers a	ind success:						
Comments:			_				
Revised 08/30/94							542-0330

Survey Date:	9/25/02			Wildlife Unit:	Maquoketa				
Observer:	Fromm						•		
	AREAS								
	Creen Joland		D						
Mollard			Princeton						
Riack Duck	400		15						
Migoon									
Dintail	50								
	50								
	100		10						
Shoveler	30		10						
Shovelei	10								
	100		20						
Regnead					4				
Canvasback									
Ring-neck									
Goldeneye									
Ruday Duck									
Merganser									
Bufflehead					_				
Other									
TOTAL DUCKS	690	0	45	0	0	0	0		
Coots	250		10						
Canada Geese	8/5								
Show Geese									
WF Geese									
IOTAL GEESE	875	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Tundra Swan									
Trumpeter Swan			1						
Bald Eagle	2								
Golden Eagle									
Water level	E86.32W87.52		S75.3N74.3						
(dif. from crest)	E+.72W-1.0		S+-0,N-1.1						
Weather:	Sunny,65, SW	10-15mph,							
Habitat conditions	GI good-exc, P	rinceton good							
Ice conditions:									
Migration days:	Possibly 09/21	-22							
Hunter numbers a	nd success:	Car count on C	9/21 at green Is	land 150 . 5-1	0 vehicles each	morning rest o	f season. Succ		
Comments:									

Revised 08/30/94

•

'n

Observer: Fromm,Billerbeck Mailard S00 10 Mailard S00 10 AREAS Green Island Princeton Image: Comparison of the state of the stat								
AREAS Green Island Princeton Mallard 500 10 Black Duck 2								
Green Island Princeton Image: Second	AREAS							
Mailard 500 10 Image: constraint of the system of th								
Black Duck 2 Image: Constraint of the system of the syste								
Gadwall Image: Solution of the soluticex of the solution of the soluticex of the solution of the solut								
Wigeon 10 Image: second secon								
Pintail 100 Image: second sec								
GW Teal 50								
BW Teal 25 10								
Shoveler 10 Image: style st								
Wood Duck 200 40 Image: constraint of the system Image: constraind of the system								
Redhead Image: conversion of the system								
Canvasback Image: Canvasback <tht< td=""><td></td></tht<>								
Ring-neck Image: Constraint of the system								
L. Scaup Image: constraint of the system								
Goldeneye Image: Constraint of the system of t								
Ruddy Duck 2 Image: Constraint of the system Image: Consthe system Image: Constraint of the s								
Merganser Image: Constraint of the second seco								
Bufflehead Image: Second								
Other Image: Constant of the second sec								
TOTAL DUCKS 899 60 0 0 0 0 Coots 250 175 <								
Coots 250 175 Image: Coots Image: C	0							
Canada Geese900Image: Constraint of the sector of th								
Snow Geese Image: Constraint of the system Image: Constand of the system								
WF Geese Image: Second se								
TOTAL GEESE 900 0 0 0 0 0 0 Tundra Swan								
Tundra Swan	0							
Trumpeter Swan Image: Constraint of the system Image: Constrated of the system Image: Constand of the system </td <td></td>								
Bald Eagle 9								
Golden Eagle								
Water level E86.2W87.8 S75.1N75.3								
(dif. from crest) E+.6W7 S4N-1.5								
Weather: Cloudy,Rain 65 Habitat conditions G.I. Good-Exc. Princeton Good								
Ice conditions: NA								
Migration days:								
Hunter numbers and success: Good success field hunting for Canadas								
Comments:								
Revised 08/30/94	542-0330							

Survey Date:	10/9/02			Wildlife Unit:	Maquoketa				
Observer:	Fromm, Billerbe	eck					•		
	AREAS								
	Green Island	Princeton							
Mallard	4,500	75							
Black Duck	8								
Gadwall	50								
Wigeon	60	25							
Pintail	900	10							
GW Teal	500	300							
BW Teal	40				4				
Shoveler	10	10							
Wood Duck	150	75							
Redhead									
Canvasback									
Ring-neck					-				
L. Scaup									
Goldeneye									
Ruddy Duck									
Merganser									
Bufflehead									
Other									
TOTAL DUCKS	6218	515	0	0	0	0	0		
Coots	3500	600							
Canada Geese	1350								
Snow Geese									
WF Geese									
TOTAL GEESE	1350	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Tundra Swan									
Trumpeter Swan	4	1							
Bald Eagle	3								
Golden Eagle									
Water level	E86.4W88.52	S75.15N76.35							
(dif. from crest)	E+.8 W +-0	S4 N5							
Weather: Sunny 65, calm									
Habitat conditions	G.I. Both sides	good to exc. F	Princeton North	pool starting to	o look really goo	od, South pool j	ust fair		
Ice conditions:									
Migration days:	Small Canada	s moved on 10/	04 at G.I <i>.</i>						
Hunter numbers a	ind success:								
Comments:	9 Sandhills at	G.I. 4 at Prince	eton. Of the 13	350 Canadas a	at G.I. About 50) are small.			
Deviced 09/20/04							E 40 0000		

•

Survey Date:	10/16/02			Wildlife Unit:	Maquoketa			
Observer:	Fromm							
	AREAS							
	Green Island	Princeton						
Mallard	5 500	75						
Black Duck	20	/3						
Gadwall	<u> </u>							
Wigeon	40	25						
Pintail	006							
GW Teal	350	250						
BW Teal	25	10						
Shoveler		20			-			
Wood Duck	150	75						
Redhead								
Canvasback								
Ring-neck								
L. Scaup		5						
Goldeneye								
Ruddy Duck								
Merganser								
Bufflehead		1						
Other								
TOTAL DUCKS	6745	470	0	C	0 0	0	0	
Coots	1000	150						
Canada Geese	1125							
Snow Geese	1							
WF_Geese								
TOTAL GEESE	1126	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	
Tundra Swan								
Trumpeter Swan	7							
Bald Eagle	1							
Golden Eagle								
Water level	W88.42E86.6	S75.35N76.05						
(dif. from crest)	W08 E+1.05	S15 N95						
Weather:	Sunnv.55 .W 1	0 mph						
		<u> </u>						
Habitat conditions G.I. Good to excellent both sides, ducks are loving subs 2 and 3								
		<u> </u>						

Migration days:

.

 Hunter numbers and success:
 Opener was spotty for success, 75 vehicles at G.I. Averaging
 12 vehicles/morning.

 Princeton has been averaging 6/morning with good action this week-lots of shooting.
 Of the 1125 canadas at G.I. About 400 are small.

Revised 08/30/94

Survey Date:	10/23/02			Wildlife Unit:	Maquoketa			
Observer:	Fromm, Billerb	eck					•	
	AREAS							
	Green Island	Princeton						
Mallard	5,000							
Black Duck	20	5						
Gadwall	100	35		. <u></u>				
Wigeon	50	20						
Pintail	450	50						
GW Teal	350	150		<u> </u>				
BW Teal	25	25						
Shoveler	50	20						
Wood Duck	200	200						
Redhead				_				
Canvasback			-		1			
Ring-neck	10	5						
L. Scaup	10							
Goldeneye							······································	
Ruddy Duck					-			
Merganser								
Bufflehead								
Other								
TOTAL DUCKS	6265	1210	0	0	0	0	0	
Coots	4000	700						
Canada Geese	6500		-					
Snow Geese	1				_			
WF Geese								
TOTAL GEESE	6501	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Tundra Swan								
Trumpeter Swan	5							
Bald Eagle	2				· · · · · ·			
Golden Eagle								
Water level	W88.36E86.9	N76.65S75.4						
(dif. from <u>c</u> rest)	W14E+1.4	N25S1						
Weather:	Cloudy 45,NE	5-10						
Habitat conditions	GI good-exc w	est pool, Ducks	love D.U. subs	s 1,2,3, No. 4 r	not filled yet			
Ice conditions:	Na			<u></u> .				
Migration days:	Approx. 4000 s	small Canadas I	moved in some	time this week				
Hunter numbers a	and success:	Spotty succ. A	ve about 15 ve	hicles/morning	at G.I.			
Comments:	14 sandhills at	G.I						
Revised 08/30/94							542-0330	

•

Survey Date:	10/30/02			Wildlife Unit:	Maquoketa		
Observer:	Fromm, Billerbe	eck			•		
	<u>`</u>			AREAS			
	Green Island	Princeton	·				
Mallard	10.000	700					
Black Duck	20	5					
Gadwall	75	20					
Wigeon	40						
Pintail	2000	40					
GW Teal	3000	200					
BW Teal							
Shoveler	50						
Wood Duck	150	100					
Redhead							
Canvasback						· · · ·	
Ring-neck	40	20			1		1
L. Scaup					<u> </u>		
Goldeneye							
Ruddy Duck							
Merganser							
Bufflehead							
Other							
TOTAL DUCKS	15375	1085	0		0 0	0	0
Coots	2000	300					
Canada Geese	5300						
Snow Geese	1						
WF Geese							
TOTAL GEESE	5301	0,	0	C	0	0	0
Tundra Swan							
Trumpeter Swan							
Bald Eagle	2						
Golden Eagle							
Water level	W88.4E87.04	N76.8S75.5					
(dif. from crest)	W1E+1.56	N-+0S-+0			ļ		
Weather:	Mostly sunny,	45, NE5-10					
Habitat conditions	G.I. Sub impou	undments look e	excellent, Rest,	good-exc. Pri	nceton, corn foo	d plots cleaned	,WUSA sub lo
Ice conditions:	Na						
Migration days:	None noticed t	his week					
Hunter numbers a	ind success:	GI Ave. 12-15	parties in the Al	M, 6-10 in the	PM, success sp	otty at best.	
Comments:							
Revised 08/30/94							542-0330
•

Survey Date:	11/6/02			Wildlife Unit:	Maquoketa		
Observer:	Fromm						
				AREAS			
	Green Island	Princeton					
Mallard	8,500	750					
Black Duck	20	5					
Gadwall	100	40					
Wigeon	20	75	_				
Pintail	500	20				<u> </u>	
GW Teal	1000	250		,			
BW Teal							
Shoveler	150	10					
Wood Duck	50	50					
Redhead							
Canvasback	5		,				
Ring-neck	40	25					
L. Scaup	10						
Goldeneye							
Ruddy Duck	5						
Merganser							
Bufflehead	10						
Other							
TOTAL DUCKS	10410	1225	0	C		0	0
Coots	1500	250					
Canada Geese	5500						
Snow Geese							
WF Geese							
TOTAL GEESE	5500	0	0	C	0	0	0
Tundra Swan				-			
Trumpeter Swan							
Bald Eagle	2		-				
Golden Eagle							
Water level	W88.26E86.9	N76.5S75.4					
(dif. from crest)	W34E+1.4	N3 S1					
Weather:	Mostly cloudy,	45, W10-15					
Habitat conditions	Good-exc G.I.,	Good at prince	ton in public ar	ea, exc. In refi	uge.	_	
Ice conditions:	Skim ice each	morning 10/31-	11/05				
Migration days:	Minor moveme	ent on 10/31					
Hunter numbers a	ind success:	Numbers up ur	ntil today for the	week, succes	ss good on 10/3	1, spotty rest of	week
Comments:							
			<u> </u>				
Revised 08/30/94							542-0330

•

Survey Date:	11/20/02		,	Wildlife Unit:	Maquoketa		
Observer:	Billerbeck.Fror	nm					
				AREAS			
	Green Island	Princeton					
Mallard	4,000	2000					
Black Duck	10	5					
Gadwall	25	150					
	10	25					
Pintail	100	10					
GW Teal	200	100					
BW Teal						_	
Shoveler	50				<u> </u>		
Wood Duck	25	40					
Redhead							
Canvasback							
Ring-neck				<u> </u>			
L. Scaup		25					
Goldeneye							
Ruddy Duck	5						
Merganser							
Bufflehead							
Other							
TOTAL DUCKS	4425	2355	0) 0	0	0
Coots	400	40					
Canada Geese	3000						
Snow Geese							
WF Geese							
TOTAL GEESE	3000	0	0	0) 0	0	0
Tundra Swan							
Trumpeter Swan	2			_			
Bald Eagle	3						
Golden Eagle							
Water level	W88.0E86.76	N76.1S75.25					
(dif. from crest)	W6E+1.16	N5S35					
Weather:	Sunny,50,W0-	5mph					
Habitat conditions	Good both are	as					
Ice conditions:	Na						
Migration days:	Na	·					
Hunter numbers a	nd success:	Low numbers, le	ow success, so	me field hunti	ng opportunities	<u> </u>	
Comments:							
Revised 08/30/94							542-0330

Survey Date:	12/4/02			Wildlife Unit:	Maquoketa		
Observer:	Fromm, Billerbe	eck					•
				AREAS		_	
Molland	Green Island	Princeton					
Ivialiaro	2,500	5		-			
	10						
		2					
Vvigeon							
Bvv Teal	<u> </u>			·			
Snoveler	5						
Rednead							
Ring-neck							
Goldeneye							
Ruddy Duck				_			
Merganser							
Bufflehead	<u> </u>						
Other				·			
TOTAL DUCKS	2515	7	0	0	0	0	0
Coots							
Canada Geese	1800						
Show Geese	<u> </u>						
WF Geese							
TOTAL GEESE	1800	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tundra Swan							
Trumpeter Swan							
Baid Eagle	12						
Golden Eagle	<u> </u>						
Water level							
(dif. from crest)					l		
Weather:	cloudy,20,NE	5 mph					
Habitat conditions	:						
Ice conditions:	1 hole at Princ	eton, 4 holes at	G.I.				
Migration days:	none seen						
Hunter numbers a	and success:	20 boats on 11	/30, little or no	success. Some	e success field	hunting Mallard	s and Canadas
Comments:	8 Sandhills stil	I here					

Revised 08/30/94

٧

• `

Survey Date:	12/13/02			Wildlife Unit:	Maquoketa		
Observer:	Fromm						
				AREAS			
						_	
Mallard	Green Island						
Black Duck							
			<u> </u>				
Migeon							
Dintail							
BW/Teal							
Shoveler							
Wood Duck							
Redhead							
Canvashack							
Ring-neck							
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Goldeneve			·				
Buddy Duck							
Merganser							
Bufflehead							
Other							
TOTAL DUCKS	300		<u>0</u>			0	0
Coots							
Canada Geese	3250				_		
Snow Geese							
WF Geese							
TOTAL GEESE	3250	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tundra Swan							
Trumpeter Swan	1						
Bald Eagle							
Golden Eagle							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Water level			-				
(dif. from crest)							
Weather:	Sunny, 40,sw 5	ōmph					
				_			
Habitat conditions							
			_				
Ice conditions:	2 holes left ope	n,			=		
Migration days:							
·····							
		<u> </u>	,				
Hunter numbers a	and success:						
Comments [.]	2 Sandhills Ca	inadas all Jarce					
		inadas an large	<u> </u>				
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Revised 08/30/94

, **•**

•

2001

٩

۱

WATERFOWL MIGRATION SURVEY

Survey Date:	9/13/01			Wildlife Unit:	Maquoketa				
Observer:	Fromm, Billerb	eck					-		
	AREAS								
	Drin coton	Concernation of							
Mallard	Princeton 65	Green Island							
Black Duck				-					
Gadwall									
Pintail									
GW Teal									
BW Teal	120	650							
Shoveler	120	000							
Wood Duck									
Redbead									
Canvashack									
Ring-neck							······		
Goldenave									
Buddy Duck									
Merganser	<u> </u>								
Bufflehead									
Other					· · ·				
	235	1135							
Conts	233			0					
Canada Geese		250							
Show Geese		250							
WE Geese		1							
TOTAL GEESE		251							
Tundra Swan									
Trumpeter Swan	5								
Bald Fagle		1							
Golden Fagle									
Water level	S-574 5	W-585 4							
(dif from crest)	N	E-585 4							
		L-303.4		-			1		
Weather:	Cloudy, 65, NE	5-10							
Habitat conditions	Just started pu	Imping at Prince	ton on 09/12 s	o N pool is alm	ost drv.				
	G.I. Not pumpi	ng yet, vegetati	on is variable a	and changed fr	om previous ve	ars due to flood			
Ice conditions:									
Migration days:	Appears that s	ome Blue-wings	s moved in som	etime early thi	s week.				
Hunter numbers a	ind success:								
Comments									
	<u> </u>								

Survey Date:	9/26/01			Wildlife Unit:	Maquoketa		
Observer:	Fromm,Billerbe	eck					
				AREAS			
	Green Island	Princeton					
Mallard	150	5					
Black Duck							
Gadwall							
Wigeon							
Pintail							
GW Teal							
BW Teal	40	15					
Shoveler							
Wood Duck	100	35		-			
Redhead							
Canvasback							
Ring-neck							
L. Scaup							
Goldeneye							
Ruddy Duck							
Merganser							
Bufflehead							
Other							
TOTAL DUCKS	290	55	0	0	0	0	0
Coots	150						
Canada Geese	825						
Snow Geese							
WF Geese							
TOTAL GEESE	825	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tundra Swan							
Trumpeter Swan							
Bald Eagle	2						
Golden Eagle							
Water level	W-87.48E85.9	N74.6S75.38					
(dif. from crest)	W-1.1,E-+.38	N1.4S-,12					
Weather:	Sunny,60 ,NE	10 mph					
Habitat conditions	Both good						
Thabitat conditions	Bottingood						
Ice conditions:	NA						
Migration days:	09/25 a few m	ore small Canad	das moved in				
Hunter numbers a	and success:	153 vehicles a	t G. I. On Satur	day, average 5	-10 during wee	k. Mostly Wood	d Ducks and a
Comments:	Our 13 local S	Sandhills are stil	ll here.				

Revised 08/30/94

۲

4

Survey Date:	10/10/01			Wildlife Unit:	Maquoketa			
Observer:	Billerbeck, Fro	mm			<u>·</u>		•	
	AREAS							
	·							
	Green Island	Princeton						
Mallard	500	10					·	
Black Duck	1							
Gadwall								
Wigeon	10	15						
Pintail	20	15						
GW Teal	100	25						
BW Tea	50	20						
Shoveler	20	10						
Wood Duck	400	200						
Redhead								
Canvasback								
Ring-neck					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
L. Scaup		4						
Goldeneye								
Ruddy Duck								
Merganser								
Bufflehead								
Other								
TOTAL DUCKS	1101	299	0	0	0	0	0	
Coots	250	600						
Canada Geese	1200							
Snow Geese								
WF Geese								
TOTAL GEESE	1200	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Tundra Swan			_					
Trumpeter Swan	1							
Bald Eagle	1							
Golden Eagle					_			
Water level	W87.54E85.9	S75.14N75.88						
(dif. from crest)	W8E+.3	S36N-1.12						
Weather	62, SW10-15m	nph,Cloudy perio	ods of showers					
Habitat conditions	Good Both are	as, not great ve	getation wise,					
Ice conditions:								
Migration days:	None noticed	but more Wood	d ducks are sho	wing up				
Hunter numbers a	and success:							
Comments:	18 Sandhills							

Revised 08/30/94

٩

Survey Date:	10/31/01			Wildlife Unit:	Maquoketa		
Observer:	Billerbeck						
				AREAS			
					1		
	Green Island	Princeton					
Mallard	3,500	600					
Black Duck	15						
Gadwall	50	75					
Wigeon	10	20					
Pintail	50	15					
GW Teal	600	250					
BW Teal	25	20			4		
Shoveler	40	35					
Wood Duck	150	100					
Redhead							
Canvasback							
Ring-neck		10					
L. Scaup							
Goldeneye							
Ruddy Duck							
Merganser							
Bufflehead							
Other						-	
TOTAL DUCKS	4440	1125	0	0	0	0	0
Coots	2500	1500					
Canada Geese	2500						
Snow Geese	12						
WF Geese							
TOTAL GEESE	2512	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tundra Swan							
Trumpeter Swan	1	4					
Bald Eagle	1						
Golden Eagle							
Water level							
(dif. from crest)							
Weather:	60 degrees, pa	artly cloudy _sw	wind 15-25				
	<u></u>	, end and the second		· ·			
Habitat conditions	both good						
		<u> </u>					
Ice conditions:	na						
			26				
Migration days:	very good mov	vement Oct. 18	and 19.				
Hunter numbers a	nd success:						
Comments:							

Revised 08/30/94

•

•

Survey Date:	11/7/01			Wildlife Unit:	Maquoketa		_
Observer:	Billerbeck, Fror	nm					-
				AREAS			
	Green Island	Princeton					
Mallard	3,000	500					
Black Duck	5						
Gadwall	20	10			1		
Wigeon	10						
Pintail	20	10					
GW Teal	600	200					
BW Teal							
Shoveler	75	20					
Wood Duck	50	150					
Redhead							
Canvasback				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Ring-neck	20	20					
L. Scaup			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Goldeneve							
Ruddy Duck		2					
Merganser			_				
Bufflehead							
Other			_				
TOTAL DUCKS	3800	912	0		0	0	0
Coots	3500	700			<u> </u>		
Canada Geese	3100						
Snow Geese	10				<u> </u>		
WF Geese	36						
TOTAL GEESE	3146	0				0	0
Tundra Swan	0140					0	
Trumpeter Swan							
Bald Eagle	1						
Golden Fagle	· · ·				1		
Water level	W87 92 F86 0						·
(dif. from crest)	W48E+.4						
Weather:	Sunny, 68,S 1	0-15					
Habitat conditions	Green Island g	ood, Princeton	good,habitat in	refuge at prin	ceton is just fair	due to to low w	vater for levee r
Ice conditions:	Na						
Migration days:	toooooo nice						
Hunter numbers a	and success:	Slightly below a	average numbe	rs, slow succé	ess		
Comments:	we need new o	lucks					
							.

Revised 08/30/94

•

,

Survey Date:	11/14/01			Wildlife Unit:	Maquoketa		
Observer:	Fromm	•					
				AREAS			
					-		
	Green Island	Princeton					
Mallard	2,500	200					
Black Duck	5						
Gadwall	10						
Wigeon	5						
Pintail	10						
GW Teal	500	35		_			
BW Teal	10						
Shoveler	100	10					
Wood Duck	25	50					
Redhead							
Canvasback	5						
Ring-neck	15	20				-	
L. Scaup							
Goldeneye							
Ruddy Duck	5	2		_			
Merganser							
Bufflehead							
Other							
TOTAL DUCKS	3190	317	0	0	0	0	0
Coots	2500	400					
Canada Geese	2400						
Snow Geese	13				_		
WF Geese	53						
TOTAL GEESE	2466	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tundra Swan							
Trumpeter Swan	1	1					
Bald Eagle	1						
Golden Eagle							
Water level	W87.83E86.0	S75.5N76.0		_			
(dif. from crest)	W67E+.4 <u>0</u>	S5N5					
Weather:	Partly cloudy,S	S-SW 10-15 mpt	n, 70 Degrees,	Balmy,			
Habitat conditions	Just good both	areas					
Ice conditions:	na		· .				
Migration days:	<u>Na</u>						
Hunter numbers a	ind success:	Below average	hunters , poor	to no success			
Comments:	Al, We need so	ome of your duc	ks, Ours have a	all been educa	ted. Please sen	d us the ones	you haven't sh
<u> </u>							

٠

.

Survey Date:	11/21/01			Wildlife Unit:	Maquoketa					
Observer:	Fromm									
		AREAS								
		Dringela								
Mollard	Green Island	Princeton			<u> </u>					
Riack Duck	2,000	250								
Migoon	25	5								
	25									
	200									
	300	50								
Shoveler	150	10								
Mood Duck	150	10								
Podbood	23	10								
Canvashaak	<u> </u>			<u></u>						
Ding nock										
Coldonovo					•					
Buddy Duck	E									
Morgansor				<u> </u>						
Buffleboad										
Other	<u> </u>									
	3135	326								
Coots	<u> </u>	350	0		0	0	0			
Canada Geose	3000									
Snow Geese	3000	———								
WF Geese	10									
TOTAL GEESE	3012					0	0			
Tundra Swan	0012									
Trumpeter Swan	2	1								
Bald Fagle	2									
Golden Fagle										
Water level	W87 92E86 0	N75 8875 2								
(dif. from crest)	W68E+.4	N8S- 8								
Weather:	Sunny, 55, S-9	Sw 5-10mph, Ni	ce		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Lehitet conditions	lust and hoth									
Habitat conditions	Just good both									
Ice conditions:	Na									
Migration days:	11/19 first 2 h	rs of the seasor	looked like a g	ood flight day,	but then it abru	ptly stopped.				
Hunter numbers a	ind success:	Above average	e number of hun	ters since Mor	nday with slow s	success at G.I.				
Comments:	Al, I read 2 of	4 orange collars	on some small	geese, they a	re J6U6, J8K1					

Revised 08/30/94

,

Survey Date:	12/13/01			Wildlife Unit:	Maquoketa		
Observer:	Fromm, Billerbe	eck					-
				AREAS			
	Green Island	Princeton	Wapsi St.Pk.	Monticello	Gomberts Por	id	Pleasant Ck.
Mallard	19,320	6000	75				250
Black Duck	10	4		<u> </u>	+		5
Gadwall	1100	75			1		900
Wigeon	85	25		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
Pintail	20			<u> </u>	1		
GW Teal	460	100			1		
BW Teal				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Shoveler	1380	40					
Wood Duck							
Redhead		10			1		
Canvasback	20						
Ring-neck	20	25					
L. Scaup	20	25					75
Goldeneye							
Ruddy Duck							
Merganser							
Bufflehead							
Other							
TOTAL DUCKS	22435	6304	75	0	0	0	1230
Coots	600	100					
Canada Geese	5600		45 Large	8 Large	450L,50S		75L
Snow Geese							
WF Geese	14	, 					
TOTAL GEESE	5614	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tundra Swan	i 				<u> </u>		
Trumpeter Swan	2				·		
Bald Eagle	5			 	ļ		
Golden Lagle	10/07 70 500 00						
vvater level	W87.72E86.32					}	
(dir. from crest)							L
Weather:	Cloudy 30, WN	IW 10-15 mph					
Habitat conditions							
Ice conditions:	No Ice anywhe	ere, Only 1 day	of ice this fall				
Migration days:	No major move	ements of Cana	ida geese here	since last wee	k in October.		
Hunter numbers a	nd success:						
Comments:	Of the 5600 g There are betw	eese at G. I. 30 veen 10-20,000	0 are small C ducks coming	Canadas. 11 in too roost at	Sand hills still h dusk.	ere.	

Revised 08/30/94

•

Survey Date:	12/19/01			Wildlife Unit:	Maquoketa		
Observer:	Fromm,Billerbe	eck					
	· ·			AREAS			
							······································
54 - U - u d	Green Island	Princeton					
	20,000	6000					
	5	6					
	/50	250					· · ·
vvigeon		20					
	050						
	250	50					
Showeler	1000						
Shoveler Wood Duck	1900	300					
Podbood		ວ 5					
Convesback		ວ วร					
		20					
Coldeneve							
Buddy Duck							
Merganser							
Bufflehead							
Other							
TOTAL DUCKS	22990	6721	0	0	0	0	Ö
Coots	500	100		<u>×</u>			<u> </u>
Canada Geese	5600						
Snow Geese							
WF Geese	50						
TOTAL GEESE	5650	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tundra Swan	1	2					
Trumpeter Swan	1	5					
Bald Eagle	25	5					
Golden Eagle							
Water level							
(dif. from crest)							
Weather:	Suppy 37 NW	10-20					
	Outiny, 57,1444	10-20					
Habitat conditions	Ok Letting wa	ter drop at both	areas				
	en, zoning na						
Ice conditions:	None						
Migration days:	None so far thi	is season					
Hunter numbers a	ind success:	na					
Comments:	11 Sandhills, 1	5,000 ducks co	ming in to roos	t at night at G.I			
							
	<u> </u>	_					

Revised 08/30/94

. . . .

2000

1

•

WATERFOWL MIGRATION SURVEY

Survey Date:	9/27/00			Wildlife Unit:	Maquoketa		
Observer:	Fromm						-
				AREAS			
	Green Island	Princeton					
Mallard	400						
Black Duck	1						
Gadwall							
Wigeon							
Pintail	25		t				
GW Teal							
BW Teal	200						
Shoveler							
Wood Duck	500	100					
Redhead							
Canvasback							
Ring-neck							
L. Scaup							
Goldeneve							
Ruddy Duck							
Merganser							
Bufflehead							
Other						- <u> </u>	
TOTAL DUCKS	1126	100	0	0	0	0	0
Coots	3000	400		ū			
Canada Geese	745						
Snow Geese							
WF Geese							
TOTAL GEESE	745	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tundra Swan							
Trumpeter Swan							
Bald Eagle							
Golden Eagle							
Water level	W87.65E85.9	S75.4-N75.9					
(dif. from crest)	W-1.0E+.3	S- N-1,1					
Weather:	Sunny, N5-10.	68	L. L.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Habitat conditions	Good to excell	ent both areas					
Ice conditions:							
Migration days:	09/21 the Pinta	alls moved out,					
		176 vohieles er st	t Doc abor	k of 20 months	abound 0 77 d		
				k or so parties			·,
Comments:	15 sandhills,						
Revised 08/30/94							542-0330

,

Survey Date:	10/4/00		٧	Vildlife Unit:	Maquoketa		
Observer:	Fromm						
		T		AREAS			
	Green Island	Princeton					
Mallard	800	40]	
Black Duck	1						
Gadwall	<u> '</u>	┽────┤				*	
Wigeon	10	<u> </u>			ł		
Pintail	20	┢───────			+		
GW Teal	20	<u>├──</u>			<u> </u>		
BW Teal	50	10					
Shoveler					<u>∤</u>		
Wood Duck	350	150			<u>├──</u> ───		
Redbead	000						
Canvasback	<u> </u>	<u> </u>					
Ring pock	{ <u>-</u>				<u>├</u>		
	<u> </u>	┟────┟				<u> </u>	
Coldenove	∲──── ────────────────────────────────	┡─────╆			╁━━━━━		f
Buddy Duck	<u> </u>	┝━━━━━┝					
Mergansor	<u> </u>	┝╌╼───┟			<u> </u>		└───
Bufflohood	<u> </u>						
Othor		<u>├</u> ────					
TOTAL DUCKS	1251	200	d		<u></u>		
Cooto	1201	200		0			
Cools	2500	400			<u> </u>		
Callaua Geese	2100	┢━━━━━━┣			<u> </u>		————
Show Geese	<u> </u>	┥──────┤	 -	<u> </u>			
TOTAL CEESE	2100				<u> </u>		
Tundro Swon	2100	<u> </u>	U	0			0
Tunula Swall	<u> </u>				<u> </u>		
Bald Eagle							
Coldon Ecolo	4	<u>├───</u> ──┤			<u> </u>		·
Water lovel		875 ONIZO 45					
(dif from croat)	E 214/ 0	5/5.2N/6.15					
(un. nom crest)	<u>L</u> 7.2VV0	53N00		_ <u></u> ·			
Weather:	Sunny 60,N5-	10					
Hobitat conditions	Cood	at both areas		<u>-</u>		·	<u> </u>
	Good - excelle	nt both areas	<u> </u>				
lce conditions:							
			<u> </u>				
Migration days:	10/02 some sr	nall Canadas st	nowed up		- <u></u>		
					`		
Hunter numbers a	nd success:	Pretty good field	d hunting for Ca	nadas for tho	se who tried op	ening weekend	
Comments:	17 sandhills						
			<u> </u>				
Revised 08/30/94			- <u></u> я			- <u></u>	542-0330

Survey Date:	10/11/00			Wildlife Unit:	Maquoketa		
Observer:	Fromm						-
				AREAS			
	Green Island	Princeton					
Mallard	1 200	20			<u> </u>		
Black Duck	1	0					
Gadwall	40	0			<u> </u>		
Wigeon	20	0					
Pintail	15	0					
GW Teal	50	5					
BW Teal	20	20					
Shoveler	0	0					
Wood Duck	300	50					
Redhead	1	0					
Canvasback	5	0					
Ring-neck	0	2			1		
L. Scaup	2	0				·	
Goldeneye	0	0					
Ruddy Duck	0	0					
Merganser	0	0					
Bufflehead	0	0					
Other	0	0					
TOTAL DUCKS	1654	97	0	0	0 0	0	0
Coots	2500	400					
Canada Geese	2400	0					
Snow Geese	1	0					-
WF Geese	0	0					
TOTAL GEESE	2401	0	0	(0 0	0	0
Tundra Swan	0	0					
Trumpeter Swan	0	0					
Bald Eagle	5	0					
Golden Eagle	0						
Water level	W-88.13 E-85.	78	S 75.3 N 75.95	5			
(dif. from crest)	W3 E+.18		S +-0 N -1.0				
Weather:	65 Degrees, S	outh 5-10 Sunr	ıy				
Habitat conditions	Good to Exce	llent Both areas	3				
Ice conditions:					-		
Migration days:	A few small geese showed up during the week						
Hunter numbers a	and success	NA					
					_		
Comments:	15 Sand Hills	at Green Island	, Princeton Pum	np guy said the	ere was about 3	000 ducks roos	ting on
	area at night		_				
D							E 40.0000

Revised 08/30/94

۰,

1

Survey Date:	10/24/00		,	Wildlife Unit:	Maquoketa		
Observer:	Haupert						
				AREAS			
	Green Island	Princeton					
Mallard	1,000	200					
	2						
Gadwall	20	5					
Wigeon	15	2					
	25	5			 		
GW Teal	25	20					
Bvv Teal	25	25					
Shoveler Mond Duals	20	450					
VVOOD DUCK	150	150					
Rednead	r						
King-neck							
L. Scaup							
Goldeneye				-			
Ruddy Duck						·	
Merganser							
Bufflehead							
Other	4000						
TOTAL DUCKS	1282	407	0	0	0 0	0	0
Coots	3200	800					
Canada Geese	3000				-		
Show Geese	2				<u> </u>		
WF Geese							
TOTAL GEESE		0	0	0	0	0	0
Tundra Swan							
Trumpeter Swan	1						
Baid Eagle	2						
Golden Eagle							
VVater level	W88.36E85.6	N76.6S75.06					
(dif. from crest)	W04E+ -	N4S44					
Weather:	Cloudy 65, sw	5-10, Kind of Fo	oggy				
Habitat conditions	Excellent G.I.,	Good Princeton		<u> </u>			
Ice conditions:	NA						
Migration days:	None noted						
Hunter numbers a	nd success:	Still very slow,	a few ducks be	ing harvested	by those with th	e robo ducks.	
Comments:	16 Sandhills,						

Revised 08/30/94

``

.

Survey Date:	11/1/00			Wildlife Unit:	Maquoketa		
Observer:	Haupert, From	m					-
				AREAS			
	Green Island	Princeton					
Mallard	1.800	200					
Black Duck	2	2					
Gadwall	30	5					
Wigeon	20						
Pintail	25	10					
GW Teal	25	75					
BW Teal	25	0			-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Shoveler	10						
Wood Duck	125	50					
Redhead							
Canvasback							
Ring-neck							
L. Scaup							
Goldeneye							
Ruddy Duck							
Merganser			-				
Bufflehead							
Other							
TOTAL DUCKS	2062	372	0	0	0	0	0
Coots	4000	350					
Canada Geese	3700						
Snow Geese	13				-		
WF Geese	4	· ·		· · · · ·			
TOTAL GEESE	3717	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tundra Swan							
Trumpeter Swan	1						
Bald Eagle							
Golden Eagle							
Water level	W88.10E85.6	N77.05S75.0					
(dif. from crest)	W3 E+ -	N + -0S-:5					
Weather:	Sunny 75, s-se	e 10-15,					
Habitat conditions	Excellent at G	I. Good to Exce	ellent at Princel	ton			
Ice conditions:	NA	_					
Migration days:	10/28/00 just a	few new birds	not very many				
Hunter numbers a	ind success:	Pretty Slow stil					
Comments:	16 Sandhills h	ere					

Revised 08/30/94

.

٠.

Survey Date:	11/8/00			Wildlife Unit:	Maquoketa		
Observer:	Haupert						-
				AREAS			
	Creen Jaland	eo. 1					
Mallard	Green Island	PRINGEDON ADD					
Nallalu Plaak Duak	6,500	400					
	2	2					
Gauwan Wigoon	250	150					
Dinteil	200	/5					
	50	25					
	25						
BVV Teal	25						
Shoveler	125						
	25	50					
Rednead							
Canvasback							
Ring-neck	225						
	/5				· · · · ·		
Goldeneye							
Ruddy Duck							
Merganser							
Bufflehead							
Other							
TOTAL DUCKS	7502	1452	0	0	0	0	0
Coots	4000	500					
Canada Geese	5500						
Snow Geese	16						
WF Geese	4						
TOTAL GEESE	5520	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tundra Swan							
Trumpeter Swan	1						
Bald Eagle							
Golden Eagle							
Water level	W88.13E85.6	N76.7S75.48					
(dif. from crest)	W27 E + -	N3 S02					
Weather:	Partly Cloudy,	w - 5-15 45 deg	jrees				
Habitat conditions	Excelent at bo	th areas			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Ice conditions:	NA						
Migration days:	11/2, 11/3, 11/	6,11/7 These fo	our days, seeme	ed to move a d	ecent number c	of birds in	
Hunter numbers a	and success:	There has been	n quit a few hur	iters at GI, the	re success dep	ends on what s	pot there hunti
Comments:	only seen 6 sa	nd hills at G.I. 1	his week				

Revised 08/30/94

•

Survey Date:	11/22/00			Wildlife Unit:	Maquoketa		
Observer:	Fromm, Robir	Ison					
				AREAS			
<u> </u>	Green Island	Princeton					
Mallard	3,500						
Black Duck	10						
Gadwall	100						
Wigeon	20						
Pintail							
GW Teal							
BW Teal							
Shoveler	20						
Wood Duck							
Redhead							
Canvasback	2						
Ring-neck			_				
L. Scaup	30						
Goldeneye							
Ruddy Duck							
Merganser							
Bufflehead							
Other							
TOTAL DUCKS	3682	0	0	0	0	0	0
Coots	40						
Canada Geese	5000						
Snow Geese	2						
WF Geese							
TOTAL GEESE	5002	0	0	0	· 0	0	0
Tundra Swan							
Trumpeter Swan	1						
Bald Eagle	11						
Golden Eagle							
Water level	E86.0W88.2						
(dif. from crest)	E+.4W4						
Weather:	sunny 25.W5-	10					
Habitat conditions	s good-ex						
Ice conditions:	99.9% frozen,	2 open holes in	the refuge at	G.I. Princetor	n all frozen		
Migration days:	8/23 some mo	vement					
ingration days.	0/20 30/110 1110	venient					
Hunter numbers a	and success:	Good numbers	s of hunters unt	II 11/20 succes	s spotty		
Comments:	16 sandhills st	ill here					
			_				

Revised 08/30/94

•

٠

Observer: Fromm AREAS Green Island Princeton Mailard 12,000 650 Black Duck 10 Common State Wigson 100 5 Common State Wigson 100 Common State Common State Wigson 100 Common State Common State Wigson 100 Common State Common State State 200 Common State Common State Common State 200 Common State Common State State 200 Common State Common State Common State State 200 Common State Common State Common State State 200 Common State Common State Common State State	Survey Date:	11/15/00			Wildlife Unit:	Maquoketa		
AREAS Green Island Princeton Black Duck 12,000 650 Black Duck 10	Observer:	Fromm	-					-
Green Island Princeton Mailard 12,000 660 Black Duck 10					AREAS			
Order is and Princeton Image: Second Se								
Malaido 12,000 650 Image: Constraint of the second sec	Mallard	Green Island	Princeton					
Back Dock 10 10 Wigeon 100 5		12,000	650					
Gadwain 200 20 Wigeon 100 5 Pintali 10 20 GW Teal 10 20 BW Teal 5 1 Shoveler 50 1 Shoveler 20 1 Scaup 20 1 Scaup 20 1 Scaup 20 1 Oddeneye 1 1 Buffehead 10 1 Other 1 1 TOTAL UDCKS 12516 695 0 0 0 Snow Geese 2 0 0 0 0 0 ToTAL GEESE 5002 <		10						
wigeon 100 5		200	20					
Pintal 10 20 BW Teal 5		100	5					
GW Teal 10 20 BW Teal 5 Shoveler 50 Canvasback 1 Canvasback 1 L. Scaup 20 Soldeneye 1 Rudy Duck 30 Merganser 1 Suffehead 10 Other 1 TOTAL DUCKS 12516 G95 0 0 Cots 450 150 Canada Geese 5000 1 Snow Geese 2 1 ToTAL OUCKS 5002 0 0 TotAl GEESE 5002 0 0 0 Tumbers Swan 1 1 1 1 Bald Eagle 9 3 1 </td <td></td> <td>10</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		10						
BW real 5 Image: state of the state of		10	20					
Shoveler 50 Shoveler 50 Redhead		5						
Wood Duck 50 Image: Solar and	Snoveler	50						
Rednead		50						
Canvasback	Redhead							
Ring-neck 20	Canvasback							
L. Scaup 20	Ring-neck	20						
Goldeneye	L. Scaup	20						
Ruddy Duck 30 Image in the set of the	Goldeneye							
Merganser 1	Ruddy Duck							
Bufflehead 10	Merganser	1						
Other Image: Content of the second seco	Bufflehead	10						
TOTAL DUCKS 12516 695 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Coots 450 150	Other							
Coots 450 150 Image: constraint of the set of	TOTAL DUCKS	12516	695	0	C	0	0	0
Canada Geese 5000 Image: Constraint of the second sec	Coots	450	150					
Snow Geese 2 1 1 1 WF Geese 0 0 0 0 0 0 TOTAL GEESE 5002 0 0 0 0 0 0 Tumpeter Swan	Canada Geese	5000						
WF Geese TOTAL GEESE 5002 0	Snow Geese	2						
TOTAL GEESE 5002 0	WF_Geese							
Tundra Swan Image: Swan state of the system of the sys	TOTAL GEESE	5002	0	0		0	0	0
Trumpeter Swan	Tundra Swan							
Bald Eagle 9 3	Trumpeter Swan					_		
Golden Eagle Water level W88.33E85.8 S75.6N76.55 (dif. from crest) W27E+.2 S4N45 Weather: Partly Sunny SW 5-10 30 degrees Habitat conditions Good-Excellent both areas Ice conditions: 50% both areas Migration days: 11/14/00, 11/09/00 Hunter numbers and success: 30-50 vehicals a day at green Island, success pretty good, lots of mallards in the bag	Bald Eagle	9	3					
Water level W88.33E85.8 S75.6N76.55 (dif. from crest) W27E+.2 S4N45 Weather: Partly Sunny SW 5-10 30 degrees Habitat conditions Good-Excellent both areas Ice conditions: 50% both areas Migration days: 11/14/00, 11/09/00 Hunter numbers and success: 30-50 vehicals a day at green Island, success pretty good, lots of mallards in the bag	Golden Eagle							
(dif. from crest) W27E+.2 S4N45 Weather: Partly Sunny SW 5-10 30 degrees Habitat conditions Good-Excellent both areas Ice conditions: 50% both areas Migration days: 11/14/00, 11/09/00 Hunter numbers and success: 30-50 vehicals a day at green Island, success pretty good, lots of mallards in the bag	Water level	W88.33E85.8	S75.6N76.55				-	
Weather: Partly Sunny SW 5-10 30 degrees Habitat conditions Good-Excellent both areas Ice conditions: 50% both areas Migration days: 11/14/00, 11/09/00 Hunter numbers and success: 30-50 vehicals a day at green Island, success pretty good, lots of mallards in the bag Comments: 16 Sandhills at Green Island	(dif. from crest)	W27E+.2	S4N45					
Weather: Partly Sunny SW 5-10 30 degrees Habitat conditions Good-Excellent both areas Ice conditions: 50% both areas Migration days: 11/14/00, 11/09/00 Hunter numbers and success: 30-50 vehicals a day at green Island, success pretty good, lots of mallards in the bag Comments: 16 Sandbills at Green Island						·		
Habitat conditions Good-Excellent both areas Ice conditions: 50% both areas Migration days: 11/14/00, 11/09/00 Hunter numbers and success: 30-50 vehicals a day at green Island, success pretty good, lots of mallards in the bag Comments: 16 Sandhills at Green Island	vveatner:	Partiy Sunny S	500 5-10 30 degi	rees				
Habital conditions Good-Excellent both areas Ice conditions: 50% both areas Migration days: 11/14/00, 11/09/00 Hunter numbers and success: 30-50 vehicals a day at green Island, success pretty good, lots of mallards in the bag Comments: 16 Sandbills at Green Island	Uphitot conditions	Cood Evention						
Ice conditions: 50% both areas Migration days: 11/14/00, 11/09/00 Hunter numbers and success: 30-50 vehicals a day at green Island, success pretty good, lots of mallards in the bag Comments: 16 Sandhills at Green Island			it both areas					
Migration days: 11/14/00, 11/09/00 Hunter numbers and success: 30-50 vehicals a day at green Island, success pretty good, lots of mallards in the bag Comments: 16 Sandhills at Green Island		500/ both area		<u></u> .				
Migration days: 11/14/00, 11/09/00 Hunter numbers and success: 30-50 vehicals a day at green Island, success pretty good, lots of mallards in the bag		50% both area	IS					
Hunter numbers and success: 30-50 vehicals a day at green Island, success pretty good, lots of mallards in the bag	Migration days	11/14/00 44/0						
Hunter numbers and success: 30-50 vehicals a day at green Island, success pretty good, lots of mallards in the bag		11/14/00, 11/0						
Comments: 16 Sandhills at Green Island	Hunter numbers a	and success:	30-50 vehicals	a day at green	Island, succes	ss pretty good, I	ots of mallards	in the bag
	Comments:	16 Sandhills o	t Green Island					

. . .

Survey Date:	11/29/00			Wildlife Unit:	Maquoketa		
Observer:	Haupert						
				AREAS			
	Green Joland	Dringston					
Mollard		Princeton					
	4,500						
	<u> </u>						
Gauwan	60						
Dintoil	150			-			
Shoveler	50						
Shoveler Wood Duck	50						
Reuneau Converback							
Canvasback							
Ring-neck	50						
L. Scaup Goldonovo		┝─────┧					
		<u> </u>					
						-	
Rufflohood							
Othor							
	4910						0
Contra DUCKS	4012	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cools	7700						
	//00						
Show Geese					1		
TOTAL CEESE	7701		0				0
TUTAL GEESE	7701		0	0	0	0	
Tunura Swan	1			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	5						
Goldon Eggle	<u> </u>						
Water level	14/96 27595 7						
(dif. from crest)	W-2.13E+-		_				
Weather:	Cloudy, NW w	ind 5-15, snow	off an on				_
Habitat conditions	Good but mos	tly frozen					
Ice conditions:	90% frozen at	green Island P	rinceton is 100	% Frozen			
	<u></u>	<u>g.com ieiziiz</u> , i					
Migration days:							
Hunter numbers a	and success:	Low due to eve	erything being f	rozen, those w	ho are getting a	re having little	success
Comments:				·			
			_				
Revised 08/30/94							542-0330

Observer: Fromm, Billerbeck AREAS Green Island Princeton Stamps Pond Waps St. Pk. J.Deere Pond Mallard 20 60 150	Survey Date:	12/14/00	_		Wildlife Unit:	Maquoketa		_
AREAS Green Island Princeton Stamps Pond Wapis St. Pk. J. Deere Pond Mallard 20 60 150	Observer:	Fromm, Billerbe	eck					
Green Island Princeton Stamps Pond Wapsi St. Pk. J. Deere Pond Mallard 20 60 150					AREAS			
Mailard 20 60 150 Black Duck 1		Green Island	Princeton	Stamps Pond	Wapsi St. Pk.	J.Deere Pond		
Black Duck 1 1 Gadwall 3	Mallard	20		60	150			
Gadwall 3 Wigeon	Black Duck				1			
Wigeon	Gadwall				3			
Pintail	Wigeon							
GW Teal	Pintail							
BW Teal 1 2 Shoveler 1 2 Wood Duck 2 2 Redhead 2 2 Canvasback 2 2 Ring-neck 2 2 L. Scaup 2 2 Goldeneye 2 2 Ruddy Duck 2 2 Bufflehead 2 2 Other 2 2 TOTAL DUCKS 21 4 60 156 0 0 0 Canada Geese 144 50 2100 100 0 0 0 Snow Geese 2 2100 100 0 0 0 0 ToTAL DUCKS 21 4 4 60 156 0 0 0 Snow Geese 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 VF Geese 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 <td>GW Teal</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	GW Teal							
Shoveler 1 2 Wood Duck 2 2 Redhead 2 2 Canvasback 2 2 Ring-neck 2 2 L. Scaup 2 2 Goldeneye 2 2 Ruddy Duck 2 2 Merganser 4 2 Bufflehead 2 2 Other 2 2 TOTAL DUCKS 21 4 60 156 0 0 0 Conse 1 2 </td <td>BW Teal</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	BW Teal							
Wood Duck 2 2 Redhead 2 2 Canvasback 2 2 Ring-neck 2 2 L. Scaup 2 2 Goldeneye 2 2 Rudy Duck 2 2 Merganser 4 2 Bufflehead 2 2 Other 2 2 TOTAL DUCKS 21 4 60 156 0 0 0 Consta 1 2	Shoveler	1	-					
Redhead	Wood Duck				2			
Canvasback	Redhead	-						
Ring-neck	Canvasback							
L. Scaup	Ring-neck			· · · · · ·			-	
Goldeneye	L. Scaup							
Ruddy Duck 4 1 1 Merganser 4 1 1 Bufflehead 1 1 1 Other 1 1 1 TOTAL DUCKS 21 4 60 156 0 0 0 Coots 1<	Goldeneye	:						
Merganser 4	Ruddy Duck							
Bufflehead	Merganser		4					
Other Image: Constraint of the second s	Bufflehead							
TOTAL DUCKS 21 4 60 156 0 0 0 Coots 1	Other							
Coots 1	TOTAL DUCKS	21	4	60	156	0	0	0
Canada Geese 144 50 2100 100 Snow Geese	Coots	1				1		
Snow Geese Image: Snow Geese	Canada Geese	144		50	2100	100		
WF Geese 144 0 50 2100 100 0 0 ToTAL GEESE 144 0 50 2100 100 0 0 Tundra Swan	Snow Geese			-				
TOTAL GEESE 144 0 50 2100 100 0 0 Tundra Swan	WF Geese							
Tundra Swan Image: Swan in the system of	TOTAL GEESE	144	0	50	2100	100	0	0
Trumpeter Swan	Tundra Swan							
Bald Eagle 3 Golden Eagle Water level (dif. from crest) Weather: Partly Sunny 15, sw5-10 Habitat conditions: Ice conditions: frozen frozen	Trumpeter Swan							
Golden Eagle Image: Construction of the second	Bald Eagle	3						
Water level (dif. from crest) Partly Sunny 15, sw5-10 Weather: Partly Sunny 15, sw5-10 Habitat conditions: Image: Condition days; Ice conditions: frozen Migration days; 1500 Concides were at C I. On 12/12/00 plus our 4 Swon	Golden Eagle							
(dif. from crest) Weather: Partly Sunny 15, sw5-10 Habitat conditions: Image: Condition conditio	Water level							
Weather: Partly Sunny 15, sw5-10 Habitat conditions:	(dif. from crest)							
Habitat conditions: Ice conditions: frozen	Weather:	Partly Sunny 1	5, sw5-10					
Ice conditions: frozen	Habitat conditions	:						
Migration days: 1500 Canadas ware at C.L. On 12/12/00 plus aut 1 Swan	Ice conditions:	frozen						
	Migration days:	1500 Canadas	were at G.I. O	n 12/12/00 plus	our 1 Swan			
Hunter numbers and success:	Hunter numbers a	and success:						
Comments: 725 mediums,1669 Large	Comments:	725 mediums,	1669 Large					

، ۴

APPENDIX D

COOPERATING AGENCY CORRESPONDENCE

PRINCETON FIX LIST 7-11-03

- 1. South access road bed is too low. Road shoulders remain higher and trap water. Entire road grade between shoulders needs to be raised 8".
- 2. River grating on pump station inlet box creates more trouble than it is worth. It will plug with debris and create vortex during pumping operation. Pump impeller is deep enough in pit to avoid plugging when river grate is off. Debris floats on surface and is not sucked beneath. Prefer to leave river grating off.
- 3. Grating on top of pump station inlet box is heavy to remove. One hydraulic fitting in headwall leaks and requires a catch bucket to trap oil. Daily removal and replacement of one heavy grating section is dangerous to the operator and dangerous to the public if left off. Suggest repair of fixture and replacement of grating section with lighter hinged section.
- 4. Fishing line has been found to be a problem with seals around pump impeller head. No remedy on horizon for this. We will live with it.
- 5. A 150' long low section of levee remains just above the gravity flow structure immediately above the pump station. The low section grades down approximately 2'. Old sandbags remain along the levee shoulder at this point.
- 6. Two drop outlet structures in the cross dike are silted in. No permanent fix for this problem is known. Most of the siltation occurred during the 2001 North levee break. The structures need to be hydraulically flushed out.
- 7. The North Wapsi river levee segment remains 18" low. Future north levee breaks are inevitable due to this condition. Lowering the South access road will help equalize water levels during flood stage but excessive siltation from North levee breaks will continue if this levee is not raised. Two solutions are proposed:
 - A. Use earthen fill from adjacent corn field on IDNR property to raise levee 18"
 - B. Use contractor to place dredge spoil on levee. Material would be piped from chronic main channel dredging site near Princeton beach 1-2miles Southeast of levee.

BOB SHEETS WILDLIFE BIOLOGIST lowa Department of Natural Resources Courthouse 201 W. Platt St. Maguoketa, IA 52060

APPENDIX E

TECHNICAL COMPUTATIONS

No technical computations were performed for this PER.

APPENDIX F

PUMP STATION INSPECTION REPORT AND PUMPING HISTORY

PUMP STATION INSPECTION REPORT

Name of Project and Program (EMP, 1135, Etc.):
Princeton Wildlife Management Area, EMP
Pool 14, River Miles 504.0-506.5, Scott County, Iowa
Date/Hour Inspection Began/Ended:
Date: 5/31/01 Time: 1030
Inspectors:
Corps Representatives: Mark Clark, Rachel Fellman, John Behrens
Local Sponsor Officials: Bob Sheets, Randy Robinson, Mike Griffin, IADNR
River/Forebay Elevations:
River El.: <u>575.0</u> Stage El.: <u>N/A</u> Zero Gage El.: <u>N/A</u>
North Management Unit El.: <u>576.25</u> Stage El.: <u>N/A</u> Zero Gage El.: <u>N/A</u>
South Management Unit El.: <u>576.25</u> Stage El.: <u>N/A</u> Zero Gage El.: <u>N/A</u>
Note: The North Management Unit was flowing into the South Management Unit and both cells were
flowing into the river through the gravity outlets.
Project Data:
Pumping Arrangement and Configuration: One (1) hydraulic submersible M&W pump set up for one-way
pumping with diversion to either management unit.
Ci-r of Maint Call Hait(a) (A grap). North Management Unit - 257 A grap at water surface elevation 576 (
Size of Moist Cerr Unit(s) (Acres). North Management Unit $= 337$ Acres at water surface elevation 575.0
50000 Management Onit – 544 Acres at water surface devation 575.0
Fill Time (Days): Actual: North Management Unit = 45 days fill time to elevation 577.0. (1' above
Corps design) It takes an additional 15 days of pumping to achieve the 1'
increase.
South Management Unit = Approximately 30 days simultaneous with the
filling of the North Management Unit.
Design: The design was to take 7 days for the North Unit and 5 days for the
South Unit.
Empty Time (Days): Actual: Depends on the fluctuating river. IADNR tries to lower the management
units as low as possible.
Design: Approximately elevation 574.0
General Comments:
1. The inspection was performed shortly after the 2001 Flood.
2. The river reached a level of 8" water depth within the pump station building above elevation 583.6.
3. A large amount of wood debris was on the levee around the pump station from the flood.
4. A low spot in the levee (approx. 100 long by 16 -24 deep) was observed in the vicinity of the gravity
station structure
station subclute.

5. Overall the pump station appeared to be in good condition.

PUMP STATION MAINTENANCE INSPECTION GUIDE

RATED ITEM	Α	Μ	U	EVALUATION	REMARKS
SECTION I				FOR INTERNAL USE AND EVALUATION	
1. Pump Station Size	A			Pump station has adequate capacity (considering pumping capacity, ponding areas, Compare Fill/Empty times with Design, etc.). (A or U.)	An 8" portable Godwin pump (2800 GPM @ 20' TDH) was provided to the IADNR in October 1999 to supplement pumping between the WMUs. The actual filling time for the WMUs is greater than the design filling times.
SECTION II				FOR LOCAL SPONSOR USE	
2. O&M Manual	A			O&M Manual is present and adequately covers all pertinent areas. (A or U.)	A draft Corps Operations and Maintenance Manual is dated April 1999 and was used internally to assist with this inspection. All equipment O&M manuals are kept at the Green Island project office. Recommendation: The O&M information should include a pump curve for the pump. The pump station operators and maintenance personnel should review the manuals biannually for routine maintenance to be identified and performed as recommended by the equipment manufacturers. Identify such review and maintenance in the operation logbook. Maintain good record keeping and perform the required maintenance as outlined in the operation and maintenance manuals.
3. Operating Log	A			Pump Station Operating Log is present and being used. (A or U.)	A daily log is maintained during pumping periods. The operating hours, filter/oil changes, problems, and quantity of fuel used is recorded. Recommendation: The logbook should be in a notebook, 3-ring binder or bound logbook and should be in neat tabular form. Entries in the logbook should indicate such items as date, water elevations, and periodic lubrication, pump hours or running time, maintenance/repairs, and special events that are significant in nature. The logbook should be stored and protected in the same location and manner as operation and maintenance manuals. Protection provided shall be moisture and rodent proof. The logbook should also include sections for pump performance testing, pump overhaul or service work performed, sump maintenance, pump discharge outlet work, and forebay cleaning (dredging), etc. Include in the logbook brief descriptions of any service work or maintenance. These descriptions could possibly be located in their own section that could be separate from the daily entries if space does not allow for it.

RATED ITEM	Α	Μ	U	EVALUATION	REMARKS
4. Annual Inspection	A			Annual inspection is being performed by the local sponsor. (A or U.)	The pump is removed annually to prevent winter ice damage and is stored in the pump station building. The pump is inspected at that time. Recommendation: The local sponsor should perform routine maintenance in accordance with the operation and maintenance manuals for the equipment. Annual inspection dates, discrepancies that are found and actions taken should be entered into the logbook. Recommend that a written checklist be developed for the annual inspection to ensure it is performed in accordance with manufacturer's recommendations as described in the
					operation and maintenance data.
5. Plant Building	A			 A Plant building is in good structural condition. No apparent major cracks in concrete, no subsidence, roof is not leaking, etc. Intake louvers clean, clear of debris. Exhaust fans operational and maintained. Safe working environment. M Spalling and cracking are present, or minimal subsidence is evident, or roof leaks, or other conditions are present that need repair but do not threaten the structural integrity or stability of the building. U Any condition that does not meet at least Minimum Acceptable standard. 	The electric generator for the pump station building electrical is stored off site at the Green Island project office. The building is made of concrete and is in good condition.
6. Pumps	A			 A All pumps are operational. Preventive maintenance and lubrication are being performed. System is periodically subjected to performance testing. No evidence of unusual sounds, cavitation, or vibration. M All pumps are operational and deficiencies/minor discrepancies are such that pumps could be expected to perform through the next period of usage. U One or more primary pumps are not operational, or noted discrepancies have not been corrected. 	The pump and impeller were visually inspected and appeared to be in good condition. The IADNR recently replaced a hydraulic seal on the pump. Recommendation: Continue annual maintenance and inspection of the pump.

PUMP STATION MAINTENANCE INSPECTION GUIDE

RATED ITEM	Α	Μ	U	EVALUATION	REMARKS
 Motors, Engines and Gear Reducers 	А			A All items are operational. Preventive maintenance and lubrication being performed. Systems are periodically subjected to performance testing. Instrumentation, alarms, and auto shutdowns operational.	A oil-sending unit was replaced on the engine driver in 2000. The engine coolant system has a coolant leak on the radiator that needs repaired.
				M All systems are operational and deficiencies/minor discrepancies are such that pumps could be expected to perform through the next expected period of usage.	Recommendation: Repair the coolant leak to prevent accidental engine over heat. Perform operation and maintenance to the engine driver and hydraulic system in accordance with the operation and maintenance manuals. Paplace angine
				U One or more primary motors are not operational, or noted discrepancies have period of usage.	lubricant, filters and hydraulic fluid as recommended by the engine maintenance schedule.
8. Sumps/Trash Racks		М		 SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: Measure silt accumulation in sumps and trash racks. Measure water depth at inlet and outlet. A Sumps/Trash Racks are free of concrete deterioration, protected from Permanent damage by corrosion and free of floating and sunken debris. Sumps are clear of Accumulated silt. Passing debris is minimized by spacing of trash rack bars. Periodic maintenance performed on trash racks and removal of accumulated silt in sumps is performed. M Trash racks and sumps have some accumulated silt or debris but are not currently inhibiting the pump(s) performance. No periodic maintenance has been performed. Present condition could be expected to perform through the next expected period of usage provided removal of floating debris is accomplished. U Proper operation can not be ensured through the next period of usage. Possible damage could result to the pumping equipment with continued operation. 	The IADNR installs the sump bulkheads when the pump is removed for winter storage. The trash rack is also removed to facilitate pump removal. A large submerged stone is currently lodged in the vicinity of the trash rack and is preventing the trash rack from being reinstalled. The sump was measured for silt accumulation. 8" of sand was measured outside the bulkhead and 1 1/2" of silt was measured inside the sump behind the bulkhead. Recommendation: Remove the large stone to reinstall the trash rack when the pump is reinstalled. Clean the sump of accumulated silt and sand prior to pumping operations to prevent wear to the pump and deposition within the WMUs. Dates of any maintenance or cleaning performed should be logged into the operation logbook.

PUMP STATION MAINTENANCE INSPECTION GUIDE
RATED ITEM	Α	Μ	U	EVALUATION	REMARKS
9. Other Metallic Items	А			 A All metal parts in plant/building are protected from permanent damage by corrosion. Equipment anchors and grout pads show no rust or deterioration. M Corrosion on metallic parts (except equipment anchors) and deterioration period of usage. U Any condition that does not meet at least Minimum Acceptable stocked. 	Engine ductwork and louvers were in good condition.
10. Ancillary Equipment i.e. Compressed Air Siphon Breakers Fuel Supply Vacuum Priming Pump Lubrication Heating/Ventilation Engine Cooling Engine Oil Filtering		М		 A All equipment operational. Preventive and annual maintenance being performed. Equipment operation understood and followed by pump station operators. M Ancillary equipment is operational and deficiencies/minor discrepancies are such that equipment could be expected to perform through the next period of usage. U One or more of the equipment systems is inoperable. The present condition of the inoperable equipment could reduce the efficiency of the pump station or jeopardize the pump station's role in flood protection. 	Bulk fuel tank and trailer-mounted day tank were in good condition. Engine cooling system has a small leak as previously noted. The pump station operators should be reminded to perform pumping with the valve, next to the door of the pump station building, open for increased pumping capacity. The battery box for the engine had rodents living in it. Recommendation: Repair coolant leak in radiator. Rodent proof the engine battery box.
11. Backup Ancillary Equipment	A			 A Adequate, reliable, and enough capacity to meet demands. Backup units/equipment are properly sized, operational, periodically exercised, and in an overall well maintained condition. M Backup ancillary equipment is operational and deficiencies/minor discrepancies are such that equipment could be expected to perform through the next period of usage. U Backup ancillary equipment not considered reliable to sustain operations during flooding conditions. 	Not Applicable
12. Pump Control System	A			 A Operational and maintained free of damage, corrosion, or other debris. M Operational with minor discrepancies. U Not operational, or uncorrected discrepancies noted from previous inspections. 	Pump station is operated manually.

PUMP STATION MAINTENANCE INSPECTION GUIDE

RATED ITEM	Α	Μ	U	EVALUATION	REMARKS	
13. Intake and Discharge Outlets	A	_		Functional. No damaging erosion evident. Opening/closing devices for vertical gates, flap gates, etc. are functional in a well-maintained condition. (A or U.)	Gaskets for the aluminum stoplogs were glued and screwed to the aluminum. The flap gate was in good condition. The gravity outlet gatewell appeared to be in good condition.	
 14. Insulation Megger Testing (For pump stations with Electric pumps only) 15. Final Remarks 				 A Megger test has been performed within the last 36 months. Results of megger test show that insulation of primary conductors and electric motor meet manufacturer's or industry standard. M Results of megger test show that insulation resistance is lower than manufacturer's or industry's standard, but can be expected to perform satisfactorily until next testing or can be corrected. U Insulation resistance is low enough to cause the equipment to not be able to meet its design standard of operation. 	Not Applicable.	
GENERAL 1. All items on this guide must be addressed and a rating given. INSTRUCTIONS 2. The lowest single rating given will determine the overall rating for the pump station. 3. Additional areas for inspection will be incorporated by the inspector into this guide if the layout or physical characteristics of the pump station warrant this. Appropriate entries will be made in the REMARKS block. 4. Rating Codes: A - Acceptable M - Minimally Acceptable U - Unacceptable U - Unacceptable U - Unacceptable						
SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS	S	ECTI	ON I	. Actual fill and emptying times for the project shall be compared with do unit to assess adequacy of design.	esign data and size of management	

PUMP STATION MAINTENANCE INSPECTION GUIDE

			Princeton Pu	mping for 2004				
date _	hours	S water level	N water level	oil/fuel	rain/other			
7-Sep	129.9	573.6	574.7					
8-Sep	159.3	573.9	574.7		try repair exhaust			
9-Sep	177.4	574.19	574.7	298 gal				
10-Sep	202.5	574.45	574.7	191 gal				
11-Sep	230	574.64	574.7					
12-Sep	253.7	574.78	574.7					
13-Sep	274.2	574.9	574.7	463 gal; oil change				
14-Sep	298.3	575	574.7					
15-Sep	323.1	575.2	574.75	371 gal				
16-Sep	347.1	575.32	574.8					
17-Sep	377.6	575.4	574.8	403 gal	shut down for 1st season			
21-Sep	403.5	575.3	575.25		filling N pool, small amt to S pool			
22-Sep	427.6	575.28	575.42	410 gal; oil, fuel filter, lubrifiner o	change			
23-Sep	452.6	575.25	575.6					
24-Sep	476.8	575.28	575.8	364 gal				
25-Sep	495.6	575.28	575.9		shut down			
4-Oct	501.1	575.05	575.62	0.4 in rain				
5-Oct	522.2	575	575.85					
6-Oct	550.2	575	576	464 gal; oil change				
7-Oct	570.1	574.98	576.05					
8-Oct	602.7	575.05	576.15	0.5 in rain; 335 gal				
9-Oct	620.6	575	576.3	1 				
10-Oct	645.4	575	576.4					
11-Oct	664.5	574.98	576.45	431 gal				
<u>12-Oct</u>	688.7	574.98	576.5	oil, fuel filter, lubrifiner change	fill S pool only			
<u>13-Oct</u>	711.9	575.1	576.55	359 gal				
14-Oct	735.3	575.25	576.5					
15-Oct	759.7	575.38	576.52	374 gal	fill N pool only			
16-Oct	780.6	575.38	576.55					
17-Oct	808.6	575.32	576.6					
<u>18-Oct</u>	831.1	575.31	576.68	431 gal				
<u>19-Oct</u>	854.6	575.3	576.7					
20-Oct	879.8	575.28	576.75	388 gal				
21-Oct	904.4	575.24	576.8	oil change	fill S pool only			
22-Oct	905.8	575.25	576.8	381 gal				
23-Oct	924	575.48	576.82	0.75 in rain				
24-Oct	948.1	575.54	576.82		shut down			
<u>19-Nov</u>	9/1.8	575.32	576.3	770 gal	fill N pool only			
20-Nov	998.8	575.3	576.35					
21-NOV	1023.7	575.3	576.4					
22-NOV	1045.2	575.28	576.55	414 gal				
23-NOV	1070.6	575.28	576.62					
24-NOV	1094.6	575.28	576.65	346 gal				
25-Nov	1118.6	575.25	576.75					
26-Nov	1142.3	575.25	576.85	oil change and pull pump head	shut down			
<u></u>								
เอเลเ run no	purs - 1012.	4		oiis cost - \$196.90	cost/nour operation - \$11.93			
iuei purcha	15eg - 6924	gai		other service cost - \$480.00	pumped water on 46 days			
iuei cost -\$	10987.71	7 70		1 otal cost - \$12072.39	raise south pool 2.35 feet in 3 runs			
parts, labo	r cost - \$40	1.78		gal tuel/hour operation - 6.84	raise north pool 2.26 feet in 4 runs			
wn electri	city for ALC	OA marsh ac	iditions - 3734	9	sub impoundment pump fuel - 239 gal			
cost electri	city for ALC	OA marsh ad	ditions - \$3066.	15	sub impoundment pump fuel cost - \$394.1			
cost repair	s ALCOA m	arsh well pum	1p - \$3600					

,

From:	randy robinson
To:	Dolan, Robert
Date:	1/24/03 4:24PM
Subject:	water pump costs

you asked for a report on water pump costs we had been paying for through R. Bishops cost center that we paid through ag lease deductions this year. this is it

- \$6715.79 fuel for G.I.pumps
- \$5588.50 fuel for Princeton pumps
- \$395.41 parts for CAT engine at G.I.
- \$211.10 parts, filters for G.I. and Princeton engines
- \$423.90 oil for G.I. and Princeton engines
- \$935.00 excavator to set Princeton pump and clean out delivery channels
- \$340.00 excavator to remove Princeton pump at end of season

\$14609.70

in addition to the above we spent \$176.06 on CAT parts paid through our cost center in addition to the above we spent \$1109.09 on electricity for the ALCOA marsh water pump at Princeton paid through our cost center

CC: Sheets, Bob

			Princeton F	Pumping for 1999	
date	hours	S water le	N water le	oil/fuel	fill south end only
8-Sep	1789.1	72.97	75		
9-Sep	1810.4	73.4			
10-Sep	1833.9	74.02		308 gal fuel	
	1856.9	74.3			
12-Sen	1880.6	7:4 54			
13-Sen	1905.5	74.72		121 gal fuel oil change	
14-Sep	1035.8	74.72		421 gar ider, on change	
15 Son	1955.0	75	1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
16 Sep	1959.5	75.1			
10-Sep	1963.2	75.25			
17-Sep	2008.5	/5.35		329 gal fuel	
27-Sep	2010.7	/5.1		oil change	start fill north end
28-Sep	2034.9	75.31			2" rain, south pool full, filling north pool only
29-Sep	2059.5	75.33		322 gal fuel	
30-Sep	2082.3	75.34	76.3		
1-Oct	2108.5	75.31	76.35	351 gal fuel	0.5" rain
4-Oct	2117.2	75.3	76.3	17 gal fuel, oil change	
5-Oct	2138.4	75.3	76.31		
6-Oct	2165.1	75.3	76.31	328 galk fuel	
7-Oct	2186.5	75.29	76.31		
8-Oct	2209.7	75.28	76.32	342 gal fuel	
11-Oct	2215	75 17	76.2	oil change	
12-Oct	2237	75.16	76.22		
13-Oct	2263	75.12	76.35		
14-Oct	2287.1	75.12	76.33		
14-00L	2207.1	75.1	70.37	228 and final	
19 Oct	2310.0	75.06	70.40		
10-00	2315.1	75	76.4		Intake trash rack plugging with leaves
19-Oct	2338.0		/6.4		·
20-Oct	2356		76.5	384 gal fuel	
21-0ct	2357	75	76.48	fuel filters plugged, changed	
23-Oct	2404.5	75	76.5	174 gal fuel	
25-Oct	2411.7	75	76.5		
26-Oct	2433.4	75	76.57	oil change	
27-Oct	2442.3			461 gal fuel	Murphy switch shutdown
28-Oct	2442.3				restart
29-Oct	2471.5	74.9	76.6	208 gal fuel	Murphy switch shutdown
1-Nov	2475.2	74.9	76.5	fuel filters plugged, changed	restart, trash rack taken off intake
2-Nov	2499.3	75	76.42		filling south and north pools
3-Nov	2522.2	75.13	76.4	339 gal fuel, oil change	
4-Nov	2546.7	75.28	76.5	<u> </u>	
5-Nov	2569.2	75.35	76.35	329 gal fuel	filling north pool only
6-Nov	2592.6	75 32	76.52	138 gal fuel	
7-Nov	2618 1	75.2	76.52		
8-Nov	26/1 2	75.0	76.00	359 gal fuel	
0-NOV	2041.3	70.0	70.03		
10 Nev	2004.2	15.29	10.10		
	2001.0	/ 0.25	70.04		
10.00	2/12.3	/ 5.21	/6.91		
12-Nov	2737.1	75.21	77	356 gal tuel	switched to fill south pool only
13-Nov	2760.8	75.35	76.9		
14-Nov	2783.5	75.48	76.8		
15-Nov	2800.4	75.5	76.75	425 gal fuel, oil change	trash rack replaced
				tank full end pump year	pump head removed from intake
total run ho	ours - 1011.3	3			
fuel purcha	sed - 6971	gal		raised water 3.08 ft south poo	ol in 3 runs
fuel cost - \$	5778.96			raised water 2.0 ft north pool	
parts cost -	\$181.72			gal fuel/hour operation - 6.89	
oils cost - \$	5174.9			pumped water on 50 days	
other service	ce cost - \$4	90 00		cost/hour of operation - \$6.55	

			Princeton Pu	mping for 1998	
date	hours	S water leve	N water leve	oil/fuel	other
21-Sep	1328.5	573.9	575		
22-Sep	1348.8	574.04		374 gal	
23-Sep	1373.4	574.2	575.2		0.5" rain, hydraulic oil leak
24-Sep	1397	574.43			shut down, massive Brevini unit failure
12-Oct	1398.4	574.45			rebuilt Brevini unit, restart
13-Oct	1423.9	574.46	575.5	engine oil change	small hydraulic oil leak
14-Oct	1445	574.46	575.64	452 gai	3" rain, shut down, lower seal failure
21-Oct		574.9	575.9		restart, pumping water to N end only
22-Oct	1467.8	574.8			
23-Oct	1493.3	574.9	576.6	318 gal	
24-Oct	1518	574.9	576.5		
25-Oct	1543.2	574.9	576.6		
26-Oct	1560.1	574.9	576.4	472 gal, engine oil change	
27-Oct	1588	575	576.6		
28-Oct	1613.3	575	576.6	305 gal	
29-Oct	1631.8	575	576.7		
30-Oct	1652	575	576.6	360 gal, engine oil change	
31-Oct	1679	575	576.7		
1-Nov	1707.4	575	576.8		
2-Nov	1730.2	575	576.8	466 gal	small hydraulic oil leak
3-Nov	1749.5	575	576.9		pumping water to S end only
4-Nov	1776.1	575.1	576.9	366 gal, engine oil change	
5-Nov	1784.9	575.3	576.9		quit
12-Nov	<u> </u>	•		100 gal	pull pump, another hydraulic leak to fix
total run h	ours =456.	4		raised water 1.4 ft south pool	
fuel purchased = 3 213 gal				raised water 1.9 ft north pool	
fuel cost = \$2165.56				gal fuel/ hour operation = 7.04	
parts cost = \$2422.26				pumped water on 23 days	
repair ser	vice cost =	\$1821.1		cost/ hour of operation = \$15.58	
other serv	rice cost = S	\$700			
total costs	5 = \$7108.9	2			

Princeton Pump History

Year	Total Hours Run	Days	Gal Fuel	Cost Fuel	Other Cost	Total Cost
1990	306	12	1905	\$2079.84	\$1288.85	\$3368.69
1991	541	26	3282	2403.31	251.25	2654.56
1992	228	20	2161	1705.03	655.63	2360.66
1993	Flood					
1994	841	37	5538	3915.37	1472.89	5688.26
1995	692	32	4715	3234.03	3315.64	6549.67
1996-97	Construction					
1998	456	23	3213	2165.56	4943.36	7108.92
1999	1011.3	50	6971	5778.96	846.62	6625.58
2000	956	48	6426	8540.17	1700.41	10240.58
2001	684.6	35	4501	5221.16	893.79	6114.95
2002	743.2	32	5060	5206.74	1501.98	6708.72
2003	1075	56	7100	7505.27	1981.42	9486.69
2004	1012.4	46	6924	10987.71	1084.68	12072.39

. . .

			019 01		•		1	ĺ :	1	
Year	Water	Total	Days	Gal	Cost	Total	Total	\$/hour	Hrs/ft	<u>Gal fue</u>
	Level Rise	Hours		Fuel	Fuel	Other	Cost		Water	Hour
	Ending				1	COSES	· ,	· · ·	Taise	
	Level									
	adjusted									
<u> </u>	survey									
1990	0.32 ft	306	12	1905	2079.84	1288.85	3368.69	11.00	956	6.23
	75.56	·					:			
1991	<u>1.78 ft</u>	541	26	3282	2403.31	251.25	2654.56	4.91	304	6.06
1992	0.44 ft	228	20	2161	1705 03	655 63	2360 66	10.35	510	0 47
~ > > -	75.46	220.	20		1705.05	0,05	2,00.00	10.55	510	
1994	$\frac{2.50 \text{ ft}}{75.9}$	841	37	5538	3915.37	1472.89	5388.26	6.41	336	6.58
1995	<u>1.63 ft</u> 75.93	692	32	4715	3234.03	3315.64	6549.67	9.47	425	6.81
1998	1.4 ft §	456	23	3213	2165.56	4943.36	7108.92	15.58	138	7.04
	1.9 ft 1 75.3 S						n Basil Urang Kabupatèn Kabup			
	76.9-N-	1011 0		(071	E778 06	8/6 62	6625 58	6 55	199	6.89
1999	3.08ft S	1011.3	50	0971	5770,90	040.02	002 5. 50	0.55		
	75.5 S									
	//.U N	a s - en treptigis particulari				a geographic financial de la segur de la segur de la segur	anne ine agrinerenter er er og			n an i an
									. 2 4	
									ţ	
									20 4	
				-				<u>.</u>		
Notes:	 1990 - re	 placed hv	draulic	oil		·	•	:		,
	1992 - fu	el oil sp	ill, va	ndálism	L ·					
	1993 - Gr 1994 - un	eat Flood derwater	, no p	umping	look rop		hudroul:	o of1		
	1995 - re	build hyd	raulic	numn r	enlace by	tace some	- IIYULAULL	C UII		

• •

APPENDIX G

PHOTOGRAPHS OF PROJECT FEATURES



Photo 1



Photo 2



Photo 3

Photo 4

- Photo 1 October 2, 2001 NWMU borrow area south of transmission line
- Photo 2 October 2, 2001 NWMU borrow area in northwest corner
- Photo 3 October 2, 2001 Northeast corner NWMU before Stage III construction
- Photo 4 December 19, 2001 Northeast corner NWMU after Stage III construction



Photo 5



Photo 6



Photo 7

Photos 5, 6, & 7 – Stage IV initiation, geotextile fabric removal and roadway excavation



Photo 8

Photo 9



Photo 10

Photo 11



Photo 12

Photos 8 & 9 – Stage IV, placement of excavated material along interior slope and shaping **Photos 10 & 11** – Stage IV, placement of granular surfacing along roadway & compacting **Photo 12** – Stage IV, entrance to parking lot near west end of overflow roadway



Photo 13

Photo 14



Photo 15

Photo 16



Photo 17

Photo 18

Photos 13 through 18 – Stage IV seeding, fertilizing, and mulching



Photo 19

Photo 29



Photo 21

Photo 22



Photo 23

Photos 19 through 23 – Stage IV completion



Photo 24 – Mast trees, rodent damage



Photo 25 – Mast trees, weed competition

APPENDIX H

PROJECT TEAM MEMBERS

TABLE H-1 Princeton HREP PDT Members									
РОС	Position	Agency	Address	City, State Zip Code	Telephone Number	FAX Number	Email Address		
Roger Perk	Program Manager	USACE	Clock Tower Bldg P.O. Box 2004	Rock Island, IL 61204	309-794-5475	309-794-5710	Roger.A.Perk@usace.army.mil		
Darron Niles	Technical Coordinator	USACE	Clock Tower Bldg P.O. Box 2004	Rock Island, IL 61204	309-794-5400	309-794-5710	Darron.L.Niles@usace.army.mil		
Troy Hythecker	Project Engineer	USACE	Clock Tower Bldg P.O. Box 2004	Rock Island, IL 61204	309-794-5693	309-794-5698	Troy.N.Hythecker@usace.army.mil		
John Behrens	Mechanical Engineer	USACE	Clock Tower Bldg P.O. Box 2004	Rock Island, IL 61204	309-794-5620	309-794-5698	John.T.Behrens@usace.army.mil		
Charlene Carmack	Project Biologist	USACE	Clock Tower Bldg P.O. Box 2004	Rock Island, IL 61204	309-794-5570	309-794-5157	Charlene.Carmack@usace.army.mil		
Tom Kirkeeng	Hydraulic Engineer	USACE	Clock Tower Bldg P.O. Box 2004	Rock Island, IL 61204	309-794-4348	309-794-5584	Thomas.A.Kirkeeng@usace.army.mil		
Gary Swenson	District Forester	USACE	Clock Tower Bldg P.O. Box 2004	Rock Island, IL 61204	309-794-4489	309-794-4347	Gary.V.Swenson@usace.army.mil		
Mary Damewood	Report Preparer	USACE	Clock Tower Bldg P.O. Box 2004	Rock Island, IL 61204	309-794-5499	309-794-5710	Mary.E.Damewood@usace.army.mil		
Sharonne Baylor	EMP Coordinator	USFWS	51 East Fourth St Room 101	Winona, MN 55987	507-452-4232	507-452-0851	Sharonne Baylor@fws.gov		
Ed Britton	District Manager	USFWS	7071 Riverview Rd	Thomson, IL 61285	815-273-2732	815-273-2960	Ed_Britton@fws.gov		
Bob Sheets	Area Wildlife Biologist	IADNR	18670 63 rd Street	Maquoketa, IA 52060	319-652-3132		Bob.Sheets@dnr.state.ia.us		
Randy Robinson	Site Manager	IADNR	51576 Green Island Road	Miles, IA 52064	319-682-7392		Randy.Robinson@dnr.state.ia.us		
Mike Griffin	Wildlife Biologist	IADNR	206 Rose Street	Bellevue, IA 52031	563-872-5700	563-872-5456	Michael.Griffin@dnr.state,ia.us		
John Pitlo	Fisheries Biologist	IADNR	24143 Highway 52	Bellevue, IA 52031	563-872-4976		John.Pitlo@dnr.state.ia.us		

APPENDIX I

REFERENCES

REFERENCES

Published reports relating to the Princeton HREP or which were used as references in the production of this document are presented below.

(1) Definite Project Report (R-10F) with Integrated Environmental Assessment, Princeton Wildlife Management Area, Upper Mississippi River System Environmental Management Program, Pool 14, Mississippi River Miles 504.0 – 506.5, Scott County, Iowa, February 1995. The report marks the conclusion of the planning process and serves as a basis for approval of the preparation of final plans and specifications and subsequent project construction.

(2) Shop Drawings, October 1999. These documents were submitted to provide detailed O&M instructions for specific pieces of equipment as recommended by the manufacturer.

(3) Plans and Specifications, *Upper Mississippi River System, Environmental Management Program, Pool 14, River Miles 504.0 thru 506.4, Princeton Wildlife Management Area, Stage II, November 2000*, Solicitation No. DACW25-00-T-0003. These documents were prepared to provide sufficient detail for construction of the cross dike ditch and water control structures.

(4) Draft Operation and Maintenance Manual, Princeton Wildlife Management Area, Upper Mississippi River Environmental Management Program, Pool 14, River Miles 504.0 - 506.4, Scott County, Iowa, March 2001. This manual was prepared to serve as a guide for the O&M of the Princeton HREP. O&M instructions for major features of the project are presented.

(5) Plans and Specifications, *Upper Mississippi River System, Environmental Management Program, Pool 14, River Miles 504.0 thru 506.4, Princeton Wildlife Management Area, May 2001*, Solicitation No. DACW25-95-R-0024. These documents were prepared to provide sufficient detail for construction of the wetland management unit, which consisted of levee restoration, water control improvements, and mast tree planting.

(6) Post-Construction Performance Evaluation Report – Year 3 (2001) and Flood Damage Assessment (2001), Upper Mississippi River System, Pool 14, Mississippi River Miles 504.0-506.4R, Scott County, Iowa, November 2001. This report provides a summary of the monitoring data, field observations, and O&M, as well as an assessment of the spring 2001 flood damages.

(7) Plans and Specifications, Upper Mississippi River System, Environmental Management Program, Pool 14, River Miles 504.0 - 506.4, Scott County, Iowa, Princeton Wildlife Management Area, Stage III, Emergency Levee Repairs, Lease of Equipment, January 2002. These documents were prepared to provide sufficient detail for construction of a setback levee and repair of scour areas along the north perimeter levee from the flood of 2001.

(8) Plans and Specifications, *Upper Mississippi River System, Environmental Management Program, Pool 14, River Miles 504.0 - 506.4, Scott County, Iowa, Princeton Wildlife Management Area, Stage IV, Repair Overflow Roadway.* These documents were prepared to provide sufficient detail for the construction of the setback levee and repair of the scour areas along the overflow roadway (spillway) from the flood of 2001.

APPENDIX J

DISTRIBUTION LIST

DISTRIBUTION LIST

Mr. Robert Sheets Refuge Manager Iowa Department of Natural Resources 18670 63rd St Maquoketa, IA 52060

Mr. Randy Robinson Site Manager Iowa Department of Natural Resources 51576 Green Island Road Miles, IA 52064

Mr. Mike Steuck Natural Resources Biologist Iowa Department of Natural Resources 24143 Highway 52 Rural Route 3 Box 160 Bellevue, IA 52031

Mr. Ed Britton Savanna District Manager U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service UMR National Fish and Wildlife Refuge 7071 Riverview Road Thomson, IL 61285

Ms. Sharonne Baylor EMP Coordinator U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service UMR National Fish and Wildlife Refuge 51 East Fourth Street #101 Winona, MN 55987

Ms. Doris Bautch Great Lakes Region Director U.S. Department of Transportation Maritime Administration 2860 South River Road, Suite 185 Des Plaines, IL 60018-2413

Ms. Janet Sternburg Missouri Department of Conservation 2401 West Truman Boulevard P.O. Box 180 Jefferson City, MO 65102-0180 Mr. Al Fenedick U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Environmental Analysis Section, ME-19J 77 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago, IL 60604

Mr. George Garklavs District Chief U.S. Geological Survey Water Resources Division 2280 Wooddale Drive Mounds View, MN 55112

Ms. Linda Leake Center Director U.S. Geological Survey Upper Midwest Environmental Sciences Center 2630 Fanta Reed Road La Crosse, WI 54601

Mr. Tim Schlagenhaft Minnesota Department of Natural Resources 2300 Silver Creek Road NE Rochester, MN 55906

Ms. Gretchen Benjamin Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources 3550 Mormon Coulee Road La Crosse, WI 54601

Ms. Holly Stoerker Executive Director Upper Mississippi River Basin Association 415 Hamm Building 408 Saint Peter Street St. Paul, MN 55102

Mr. Rick Mollahan Office of Resource Conservation Illinois Department of Natural Resources One Natural Resources Way Springfield, IL 62702-1271 Mr. Mike McGhee Iowa Department of Natural Resources Wallace State Office Building Des Moines, IA 50319

Mr. Charles Wooley Assistant Regional Director Ecological Services U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Bishop Henry Whipple Federal Building 1 Federal Drive Fort Snelling, MN 55111

Mr. Charles Barton U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Mississippi Valley Division ATTN: CEMVD-PD-SP 1400 Walnut P.O. Box 80 Vicksburg, MI 39181-0080

Mr. Owen Dutt U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Saint Louis District ATTN: CEMVS-PM-N 1222 Spruce Street St. Louis, MO 63103-2833

Mr. Donald Powell U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Saint Paul District ATTN: CEMVP-PM-A 190 Fifth Street East St. Paul, MN 55101-1638

Mr. Mike Griffin Iowa Department of Natural Resources 206 Rose St. Bellevue, IA 52031 Ms. Susan Smith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Mississippi Valley Division ATTN: CEMVD-PM-E 1400 Walnut P.O. Box 80 Vicksburg, MS 39181-0080

Mr. Mike Thompson U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Saint Louis District ATTN: CEMVS-PM-N 1222 Spruce Street St. Louis, MO 63103-2833

INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION: CEMVR-PM-M (2) CEMVR-PM-F (Niles) CEMVR-PM-M (Perk) **CEMVR-PM-A** CEMVR-PM-A (Carmack) **CEMVR-CD** CEMVR-CD-C CEMVR-ED **CEMVR-ED-D** CEMVR-ED-DN (2) CEMVR-ED-DG (Fellman) CEMVR-ED-H **CEMVR-ED-HO** CEMVR-ED-HQ (Bierl) **CEMVR-ED-G CEMVR-OD-M CEMVR-OD-MN** CEMVR-OD-MN (Swenson) CEMVR-IM-CL (2)

APPENDIX K

PLATES





