**Virtual Meeting** 

# Upper Mississippi River Restoration Program Coordinating Committee

**Quarterly Meeting** 

May 26, 2021

# Agenda

with Background and Supporting Materials

## UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER RESTORATION PROGRAM COORDINATING COMMITTEE

## May 26, 2021

8:00 a.m. - 1:30 p.m. CDT

## AGENDA

[Note: The states, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, and the Department of the Interior will arrange their respective pre-meetings via conference call prior to the May 26, 2021 quarterly meeting.]

Time	Attachme	nt Topic	Presenter
8:00 a.m.		Welcome and Introductions	Sabrina Chandler, USFWS
8:05	A1-15	Approval of Minutes of February 24, 2021 Meeting	
8:10	B1 B2-18	<ul> <li>Regional Management and Partnership Collaboration</li> <li>FY 2021 Fiscal Update and FY 2022 Outlook</li> <li>Draft 2021 UMRR Joint Charter Review</li> <li>2015-2025 Strategic and Operational Plan Review</li> <li>2022 Report to Congress</li> <li>Desired Future Condition</li> <li>LTRM Implementation Planning</li> </ul>	Marshall Plumley, USACE
9:10		Communications • UMRR Communications Team	<b>Jill Bathke and Rachel Perrine</b> , USACE
		<ul> <li>External Communications and Outreach Events</li> </ul>	All
9:45		Break	
10:00		<ul> <li>UMRR Showcase Presentations</li> <li>Oakwood Bottoms HREP</li> <li>Constraints on submersed vegetation distribution in a large, floodplain river: the role of water level fluctuations, water clarity, and river geomorphology</li> </ul>	<b>Brian Markert</b> , USACE <b>Alicia Carhart</b> , WI DNR
10:45	C1-15	<ul> <li>Program Reports</li> <li>Long Term Resource Monitoring and Science <ul> <li>LTRM FY 2021 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter Highlights</li> <li>Status and Trends Report 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition</li> <li>USACE LTRM Update</li> <li>A-Team Report</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<b>Jeff Houser</b> , USGS <b>Karen Hagerty</b> , USACE <b>Scott Gritters</b> , IA DNR
11:45		Lunch	
12:15 p.n	n.	<ul> <li>Program Reports (Continued)</li> <li>Habitat Restoration <ul> <li>District Reports</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	District HREP Managers
1:00	D1	Other Business <ul> <li>Future Meeting Schedule</li> </ul>	
1:30 p.m.		Adjourn	

[See Attachment D for frequently used acronyms, UMRR authorization (as amended), and UMRR (EMP) operating approach.]

Continued on next page for remote connection information

#### **Remote Connection Information:**

### May 26

UMRR Coordinating Committee Quarterly Meeting (8:00 a.m. to 1:30 p.m. CDT)

- Web and video conferencing: https://umrba.my.webex.com/umrba.my/j.php?MTID=m55a4c88bc30702ee67658e7a2a1f5696
- Phone connection:
  - Dial-in: 312-535-8110
     [Note: In the event that the call line provided is experiencing a high volume of calls, you may also connect by dialing 469-210-7159.]
  - o Access code: 182 157 3615
  - o Password: 1234

## ATTACHMENT A

## Minutes of the February 24, 2021 UMRR Coordinating Committee Quarterly Meeting (A-1 to A-15)

### DRAFT Minutes of the Upper Mississippi River Restoration Program Coordinating Committee

## February 24, 2021 Quarterly Meeting

### Virtual Meeting

Brian Chewning of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers called the meeting to order at 8:00 a.m. on February 24, 2021. UMRR Coordinating Committee representatives on the virtual meeting were Sabrina Chandler (USFWS), Mark Gaikowski (USGS), Randy Schultz (IA DNR), Dave Glover (IL DNR), Megan Moore (MN DNR), Matt Vitello (MO DoC), Jim Fischer (WI DNR), Verlon Barnes (NRCS), and Ken Westlake (USEPA). A complete list of attendees follows these minutes.

#### Minutes of the October 28, 2020 Meeting

Randy Schultz moved and Megan Moore seconded a motion to approve the draft minutes of the October 28, 2020 UMRR Coordinating Committee meeting as written. The motion carried unanimously.

#### **Regional Management and Partnership Collaboration**

Marshall Plumley said this meeting marks one year of meeting virtually. He expressed appreciation for the partnerships' efforts on the many activities underway, including preparation for the 2022 UMRR Report to Congress.

### FY 2021 Fiscal Update

Plumley noted the financial reports from the three districts are included in the meeting agenda packet on pages B-1 to B-3. UMRR has obligated over \$11.2 million, or 33.8 percent, of its \$33.17 million FY 21 funds to-date. Plumley said the FY 21 work plan is a little ahead of schedule because of LTRM advance funding but shows good progress on allocating and implementing the program.

Plumley outlined UMRR's FY 21 internal allocations are as follows:

- Regional Administration and Program Efforts \$1,250,000
  - Regional management \$1,000,000
  - Program database \$100,000
  - Program support contract \$100,000
  - Public outreach \$50,000
- Regional Science and Monitoring \$10,400,000
  - $\circ$  Long term resource monitoring \$5,000,000
  - Regional science in support of restoration \$3,800,000
  - Integration & Adaptive Management \$200,000
  - Habitat project evaluations \$1,125,000
  - Report to Congress \$275,000

- Habitat Restoration \$21,520,000
  - Rock Island District \$7,020,000
  - St. Louis District \$7,125,000
  - St. Paul District \$7,275,000
  - o Model certification \$100,000

#### FY 2022 Budget Outlook

Plumley said the President's FY 22 budget has not yet been released but is anticipated to be released in March or April. He said it is not atypical for the release of the President's budget to be delayed in a year with a change in the Administration.

#### National Perspective

Plumley said that, including UMRR, the Corps of Engineer's FY 21 appropriations and workplan consisted of approximately \$502 million for construction of twelve ecosystem restoration programs and projects across the nation. Since its inception, UMRR has completed 56 projects and restored 106,000 acres. From FY 12 – FY 20, UMRR restored, created, improved, or protected 31,370 acres, approximately 10 percent of the 332,000 acres restored nationally. In any given year, UMRR may account for a greater or lesser proportion of the national acres restored. There are currently 24 projects in planning, design, or construction that would restore over 65,000 acres by 2030. Plumley said high water in 2018 and 2019 delayed completion of some projects, but that two projects are anticipated to be completed in FY 21 and will account for 4,310 of those acres. In response to a question from Andrew Stephenson, Plumley said Conway Lake and Ted Shanks are anticipated for completion and that Harpers Slough is not yet considered complete. Projects are considered complete after physical construction is completed and the O&M manual is delivered to the sponsor, but monitoring still occurs after. Rachel Perrine expressed appreciation for the national perspective context.

#### UMRR Ten-Year Plan

Plumley said the 10-year outlook provides the best estimate of scheduled for projects through FY 30. He overviewed changes to UMRR's 10-year outlook since the October 28, 2020 UMRR Coordinating Committee quarterly meeting. Plumley explained that he has no concern over modifications to the estimated completion dates for projects five or six years out, but that it is helpful to understand the decisions behind changes made to project schedules in the next one to two years. Changes in St. Paul District include adjusting projects on a scale of months, adding Lower Pool 4 Big Lake to the list as well as a placeholder for a yet-to-be-determined project beginning in FY 23. Rock Island District did not have any changes. Changes in St. Louis District include extending construction timeframes for numerous projects, starting feasibility sooner on West Alton Islands and adding two undetermined projects that are contingent on sponsor availability. Megan Moore noted that Pool 4, Big Lake should be identified as Wisconsin and Minnesota, as opposed to Iowa.

#### Statements of Significance

Plumley said that multiple discussions over the last two years have culminated in the UMRR Coordinating Committee developing the Statements of Significance. This will be a living document that will be updated as necessary and serve as resource for other efforts. It will be used to inform the 2022 Report to Congress, communication and outreach materials being developed by UMRR Communications Team, and discussion on desired future condition. The Communications Team reviewed the Statements of Significance and is preparing a memo with feedback for the UMRR Coordinating Committee.

#### UMRR Joint Charter Review

Plumley said that, on February 10, 2021, the UMRR Coordinating Committee held a virtual meeting to discuss the review of the 2013 UMRR Joint Charter of Consultative Bodies. The UMRR Coordinating Committee reviewed the A-Team's suggested edits to its provisions in the Charter. The Coordinating Committee accepted the majority of the A-Team's suggested changes and provided some revised language for the A-Team to consider. The A-Team will review and respond to the comments prior to the Coordinating Committee's May 26, 2021 quarterly meeting. Plumley said that Stephenson provided some example Charters and noted there was not a clear statement about what UMRR does in the Charter. The Committee recommended that the Joint Charter include additional context regarding UMRR's purpose, vision, mission, and a reference to the 2015-2025 Strategic Plan. The Committee also discussed the role of other teams or ad hoc groups in program implementation and determined that, although no additional consultative bodies will be added to the Charter at this time, improved communication may be needed to clarify when and how various teams are used. Nick Schlesser said the comments from the UMRR Coordinating Committee back to the A-Team sparked additional debate. Plumley said next steps will be to incorporate additional feedback from the A-Team, distribute a revised draft of the Joint Charter to the Coordinating Committee, and consider signing the revised Charter at the quarterly meeting in May.

#### UMRR Strategic and Operational Plan Review

Plumley recalled that, in May 2020, an initial survey to assess progress on the objectives outlined in the 2015-2025 UMRR Strategic and Operational Plan was distributed to the UMRR Coordinating Committee, District HREP Managers, and River Team Chairs. The survey results showed areas of considerable progress and identified a number of activities and actions that may need additional focus in the second half of the planning horizon. It was determined that a modified survey be distributed to a broader audience, including those who participate in science meetings, HREP workshop, and NGO partners who engage with the program.

Plumley said that, on a February 16, 2021 call, Stephenson presented a draft survey to the 2022 Report to Congress Scoping Team for review and to identify linkages between the survey items and the Report to Congress. The survey will seek input regarding progress achieved since 2015, priorities for the next five years, and the issue areas to include in the 2022 Report to Congress. A revised survey and information outlining the purpose, audience, background of the effort will be provided to the UMRR Coordinating Committee for review prior to distribution to the broader UMRR partnership.

Moore expressed appreciation for the effort and acknowledged the importance of assessing progress and future direction, especially in light of increased authorization. She asked if another strategic planning process would occur as part or in parallel to this effort. Plumley said the implementation period of the current strategic plan extends through 2025 and that the next planning process will begin in two to three years, but acknowledged the need to address the change in authorization. He said there was time set aside later in the meeting to discuss how to modify the program to be more responsive to science and restoration needs of the river should the program receive increased appropriations. Jim Fischer expressed support for developing a brief report on the strategic and operational plan review and said it would be useful for directing program activities over the next five years and for reflecting on well into the future. Plumley expressed appreciation to Stephenson for facilitating conversations and developing a first draft of the survey for others to react to. Stephenson said he appreciated the constructive comments and feedback and noted that the overall strategic plan review effort has already proved very

beneficial as it has helped orient new Coordinating Committee members and himself to the program's long-term perspective.

#### 2022 Report to Congress

Plumley said the 2022 Report to Congress Scoping Team met on November 3, 2020, December 15, 2020, and February 16, 2021 to discuss report development and completed a draft outline for the report. The outline includes six chapters with details to guide content development:

Chapter 1 – Strategic Direction	Chapter 4 – Interagency Partnership and Recognition
Chapter 2 – Enhancing Habitat	Chapter 5 – Implementation Issues
Chapter 3 – Enhancing Knowledge	Chapter 6 – Conclusions and Recommendations

The draft outline will be sent to the UMRR Coordinating Committee to coordinate any necessary agency review and a meeting will be scheduled in late-March to early-April to discuss feedback. In response to a question from Karen Hagerty, Stephenson said that WRDA 2020 was passed following completion of the draft outline, but that it could be incorporated in the first chapter. Plumley said the Scoping Team will schedule a meeting to discuss the Coordinating Committee's feedback and determine writing assignments. Plumley overviewed some modifications to the report development schedule including some additional steps for MVD review and a touch point with USACE HQ in June 2022. In response to a question from Plumley, Brian Chewning said the schedule is good and shows due diligence to ensure HQ is fully aware of this report process.

#### Desired Future Condition

Plumley said he will ask the UMRR Coordinating Committee to initiate a process to develop a desired future condition for the UMR ecosystem. He acknowledged the diversity of missions and perspectives across the partnership and said a qualitative narrative approach is anticipated. Plumley said HREPs provide a desired future condition for a specific area of the river, the Statements of Significance include threats and factors that may contribute to degradation of the resource, and the Strategic Plan review provides perspectives on where we want to go as a partnership. The discussion will also include reflection on other previous efforts including the Habitat Needs Assessment-II and the 2011 NESP Report, among others.

Hagerty said, and Dave Glover agreed, that identifying the desired future conditions of a dynamic system presents a challenge. Glover suggested focusing on limiting measurable impacts. Hagerty suggested revisiting the desired future condition on a regular basis as more information is gained, more restoration is completed, and as new threats come on line or existing threats change. Tim Yager said the National Wildlife Refuges involved with UMRR have all developed Comprehensive Conservation Plans and stepped down Habitat Management Plans that will guide the habitat goals on NWRS lands. Plumley expressed appreciation for the discussion and said the next step is to assemble a small ad hoc group to further outline the process for this discussion. Stephenson said the strategic plan identifies a need to aggregate relevant agency restoration documents and noted that Steve Winter began this with state wildlife action plans to inform development of the Upper Mississippi Refuge habitat management plans. Kirsten Wallace said a NESP group was also going to review the 2011 NESP Report and it may be a useful place to consider a joint UMRR-NESP team, as separate efforts would have many of the same participants. In response to a comment from Plumley, Jim Fischer said he agreed that the small group approach would be helpful and suggested creating a list of potential members for comment and consideration. Plumley agreed and said that with the upcoming Report to Congress, the moment seems right for tackling this conversation.

#### WRDA 2020

Plumley reported that, on December 9, 2020, Congress passed the 2020 Water Resources Development Act, increasing the UMRR HREP annual authorized appropriation limit to \$40,000,000 and LTRM to \$15,000,000. Plumley said that increased authorization does not mean increased appropriations. However, the program should think about what additional value it can bring to the nation and the region if additional dollars were to be available. Plumley said there was time set aside later in the meeting for LTRM-specific discussion and overviewed that short-term opportunities for utilizing additional HREP funds can be through the 24 projects in planning, design, and construction. In response to a question from Stephenson, Plumley said that efficiency can be gained by creating larger construction contracts that reduce needs to demobilize and remobilize for separate contracts. In response to a question from Chewning, Plumley said he will compare UMRR's appropriations to acres restored over the 2012-2020 timeframe to better understand the program's return on investment relative to other ecosystem programs and projects. Chewning said it could be a useful message to include in the Report to Congress. Stephenson noted that there were 100,000 acres captured nationally from 2017-2019 and that UMRR would be a greater percentage in some other years than others. Plumley agreed and noted that increase may represent a completed project in the Everglades. Stephenson echoed Perrine's earlier sentiment on the value of adding the national perspective to the program update. Plumley expressed appreciation for all the partners who voiced support for LTRM receiving additional authorization in addition to the HREP element. He said the UMRR Coordinating Committee will convene a meeting in the future to discuss how additional dollars would benefit habitat and the state of science in the UMR.

#### Communications

#### UMRR Communications Team

Rachel Perrine said she and Jill Bathke are co-leading the UMRR Communications Team. The team developed a goal statement to guide their work: "Develop, organize, and implement clear and updated communication materials to support the success of the UMRR program." Perrine said the team is finalizing a draft UMRR flyer, with a goal of seeking the UMRR Coordinating Committee's approval in summer 2021. The flyer is geared toward a general audience with limited knowledge of UMRR and will highlight the value of the UMRS and benefits of UMRR in the context of water, wildlife, and way of life. Anticipated updates to the flyer include a new cover photo due to copyright issues, adding the Illinois River HREPs to the map on the second page, and modifying some of the language. The team also reviewed and discussed the UMRR draft storyline and will provide written comments to the Coordinating Committee. At the next meeting, the Communications Team will discuss development of an inventory of existing outreach materials and how UMRR can recognize and celebrate its 35<sup>th</sup> anniversary and Earth Day. Potential future activities include refining the Lower Illinois River Communications Pilot project or revising the UMRR Communication and Outreach Plan.

In response to a question from Perrine, Anthony Heddlesten suggested recording a video explaining the program with different partners saying a couple words each of "the message" from each of the different restoration sites. Andrew Stephenson expressed appreciation to Perrine and Bathke for their work and said the flyer is a good example of an outreach product that was informed by other programmatic efforts including the Statements of Significance. Jim Fischer said the flyer looked great and Brian Chewning agreed. In response to a question from Ken Westlake, Perrine said red dots on map show projects in-progress, gray dots indicate completed projects, and that the map will need to be updated from time to time with new projects, info, and priorities. JC Nelson said the map graphic should be reviewed for Section 508 compliance, because the symbols were the same size and shape and included red over green coloring. Bathke said they will work with the visual design expert to modify the colors and shapes. In response to a question from Chewning, Perrine said the target audience is people with limited familiarity with UMRR and that the flyer will be available at sponsor sites, festivals, conference booths, and

different public outreach opportunities. Karen Hagerty said programmatic flyers have been included in information packets for Congressional visits. In response to a question from Hagerty, Perrine said the team is still determining the best way to share the flyer with partners, such as PDF for printed copies. Jill Bathke said the flyer could also be added to social media or agency websites. Jennie Sauer said a print-ready PDF with bleed marks would be appreciated and could be used at local printers. In response to a question from Stephenson, Perrine said she is looking at other photos the Corps has to replace the front banner photo. Tim Yager said the image is credited to Robert Hurt and USFWS has permission to use it, but could not say if the Corps has rights. Sabrina Chandler said she would follow-up with Perrine and Bathke regarding whether the USFWS' rights to use the photo would apply to the flyer.

#### External Communications and Outreach

Communication and outreach activities in the first quarter of FY 2021 include the following:

- Marshall Plumley said that on Monday, February 22, the University of Minnesota held a symposium on stream restoration during which he provided an overview of UMRR to 170 attendees. It was a particularly good opportunity to connect with many new people who are currently working in the streams in the UMR and they discussed how to identify opportunities to connect with other groups.
- Jim Fischer said he will attend an upcoming meeting of the Wisconsin Conservation Congress Mississippi River Study Committee on March 30. He said the Conservation Congress is statutory body of elected delegates to guide management of natural resources in WI and this represents a good opportunity to get information out about UMRR.
- Lauren Salvato said that on March 8, she will present at the University of Wisconsin Extension's Wisconsin Water Week on nutrients, sediments, and UMRR's role in restoration and monitoring. Kirsten Wallace mentioned that UMRBA's Water Quality Executive Committee is considering if LTRM protocols can and should be used for Clean Water Act purposes.
- Megan Moore said she will present at the Upper Mississippi River Conservation Committee's (UMRCC) annual meeting on LTRM data from Pool 4 and the implications of climate change.
- Jennie Sauer overviewed upcoming events to learn about the status and trends report including a presentation by Jeff Houser at the UMRCC's annual meeting and a session at the Mississippi River Research Consortium's (MRRC) annual meeting featuring presentations by the report chapter leads.
- Brian Chewning said the Mississippi River Commission is tentatively planning a visit to the lower Missouri the week of March 29 and an inspection trip for the Lower Mississippi a couple weeks after.
- Kara Mitvalsky said that she, Steve Gustafson, and Dillan Laaker are presenting at the ASCE/SAME conference on Friday February 26, and will be discussing "Engineering Habitats" with a focus on UMRR and development of habitat features for aquatic vegetation.
- Aaron McFarlane will present at the MRRC annual meeting on comparisons of constructed soils at two UMRR projects (Pool 8 Islands and Capoli) to surrounding natural floodplain forest soils.

#### **UMRR Showcase Presentations**

#### UMRR Pool 12 Forestry HREP

Rachel Hawes provided an update on the Pool 12 Forestry HREP. It is the first UMRR HREP to focus specifically on forestry and will encompass 4,000 acres. Project objectives include:

- Enhance and promote continued forest health and growth in existing quality floodplain forests.
- Increase topographic diversity and elevation where significant forest loss and decline occurs from increased flooding.
- Enhance and increase the pool coverage extent, patch size, and successional diversity of floodplain forest communities.
- Restore and maintain large contiguous patches of forest communities by reduction in canopy gaps converted to invasive species.
- Enhance and increase habitat corridors and connectivity (focus is on forest-dependent and migratory species).

The PDT is refining project objectives into SMART objectives and reviewing relevant information in the UMR Systemic Forest Stewardship Plan and USFWS Upper Mississippi Refuge habitat management plan. Foresters and partner agencies completed timber inventory data collection. Data was then entered into an interactive ArcGIS web map geodatabase, which will be used to inform the feasibility efforts and drive project success. The geodatabase includes plot and site level health and age characteristics and other existing data layers, such as inundation duration, can be overlayed to inform data analysis and decision-making.

### Wild Celery Winter Bud Dynamics

Jennie Sauer and Sabrina Chandler provided brief introductions for Kirsten Schmidt. Sauer said Schmidt's project was part of the first UMRR Science meeting proposal process that identifies existing science needs and how to address them and shows how funds from different agencies can be leveraged to get meet our science needs. Chandler said the project ties management needs into LTRM work and sets the standard for how program elements can be further integrated in the future. Chandler said Schmidt will be joining the USFWS as a wildlife biologist at the Two Rivers National Wildlife Refuge.

Kirsten Schmidt summarized her work on wild celery winter bud dynamics in Pools 4, 8, and 13 of the UMR. This work was undertaken as one of the projects from the 2018 UMRR Science meeting. The Upper Mississippi River Great Lakes Region (UMRGLR) Joint Venture is an important area for canvasback ducks and mainly serves as stopover sites and wintering areas. Canvasbacks are a specialist feeder and utilize their sloped bill when diving underwater to reach the below ground structures of wild celery. Previous large-scale losses of wild celery are associated with declines in canvasback populations. Habitat objectives for the UMRGLR are based on the food limitation hypothesis that suggests food availability can affect body condition, timing of migration, distribution of birds and subsequently productivity and survival. Daily ration models (DRMs) are used to estimate the population of birds an area can support by incorporating food energy density and the energetic demands of a target duck or guild. LTRM vegetation monitoring collects data annually on presence/absence and relative abundance in pools 4, 8, and 13, but rake sampling methods do not sample underground vegetation structures on which canvasbacks like to feed. To estimate underground bud availability based on rake scores, substrate cores were taken in autumn and spring from LTRM vegetation sites where above ground biomass information was collected in the summer. Using a weighted logistic regression, Schmidt found that there is approximately 90 percent chance of finding wild celery winter buds at sites with an average rake score of 1 and 100 percent change at sites with an average rake score

of 1.7. A weighted linear regression showed a positive linear relationship between average rake score and bud counts up to rake scores of two. At a rake score of two, managers can estimate about 490 buds per meter squared. Closed areas to waterfowl hunting had higher winter bud counts in autumn and spring. By using LTRM rake sampling and other factors to estimate underground structures, organizations that base management decisions on waterfowl food availability now have a more accessible and affordable means of estimating wild celery buds on an annual basis. Schmidt expressed appreciation to staff at the multiple agency partners, volunteer data collectors, and student technicians.

In response to a question from Sauer, Schmidt said is finalizing her thesis, but believes it will be available on the University of Wisconsin - Stevens Point website when completed and she is hoping the data can be uploaded to ServCat for anyone to access. In response to a question from Kirk Hansen, Schmidt said they are hoping to apply her regression equation to estimate food availability in past years. In response to a question from Andrew Stephenson, Chandler said closed areas on the refuge are closed to hunting, not all recreators and that birds may use closed areas more by default of hunting pressure. Schmidt said the closed area had significantly more buds in the autumn than open area, but similar levels in the spring. The closed area was the only one that met the criteria at the highest estimated foraging threshold where it would be energetically efficient for birds to feed. Sauer, Karen Hagerty, Jeff Houser expressed appreciation for the work. Houser said the project is a great example of work that makes use of and complements LTRM data and improves the utility of both the project and LTRM data.

#### **NESP Update**

Andrew Goodall said that, in FY 20, NESP was allocated \$4.5 million that was used to advance designs on three navigation projects and five ecosystem projects. The Corps allocated \$5 million in FY 21 that will be used to prepare all three navigation projects and four ecosystem projects to be construction ready by the end of FY 21. The navigation projects include Lock 25 lockwall modifications to prepare the existing lockwalls for the future 1,200-foot lock and Lock 14 mooring cell installed downstream of Lock and Dam 14 to reduce locking times and erosion. Goodall said the navigation side of NESP is also required to do systemic mitigation to mitigate for any potential increase in degradation due to incremental increases in navigation traffic. Moore's Towhead on the Illinois Waterway is a navigation project that has notable habitat benefits by protecting the island from erosion.

The four ecosystem projects include Twin Islands, Alton Pool Islands, Pool 2 wingdam notching, and Starved Rock habitat restoration and enhancement. Twin Islands and Alton Pool Islands are in close proximity and are designed to prevent loss of islands and associated side channels and may be awarded as one construction contract. In response to a question from Karen Hagerty, Shane Simmons said Alton Pool Islands alternating hardpoints inside the channel will create sinuosity in the area and concentrate the flow to expel sediment from Apple Creek out of the side channel. In response to another question from Hagerty, Simmons said the increased velocity in the side channel could disrupt overwintering habitat but would have been considered in the design of size and spacing of the hardpoints. In response to a question from Stephenson, Goodall said the NESP authorization does specify the floodplain area that can be affected by projects, but it probably did not extend up into the watershed of Apple Creek. Hagerty indicated that may provide a good opportunity to partner with other organizations, such as NRCS. Pool 2 wingdam notches would create channel border habitat for fish and is anticipated to be constructed with inhouse crews, pending a construction new start. Starved Rock HREP includes construction of a riprap breakwater to help restore submerged aquatic vegetation, improve spawning and nursery habitat for native fish, and improve the habitat quality of the area for resting and feeding migratory waterfowl.

Feasibility for Lock 22 fish passage was advanced to the TSP milestone in December 2020 with design nearly 35 percent complete. This will be the first fish passage project on the Upper Mississippi River and will increase the opportunity for fish passage through the dam to access upstream habitats. Goodall

said Corps staff have discussed with the UMRBA Board utilizing some FY 21 funds to set up a quasi-Navigation and Ecosystem Coordinating Committee (NECC) to facilitate partner coordination for NESP. He and UMRBA staff will work to develop a scope of work and objectives for that group for discussion and consideration at the UMRBA Board's May quarterly meeting. Goodall said he hopes to develop a project pipeline similar to UMRR's with projects in planning, design, and construction. Jim Fischer expressed support for establishing the NECC and asked whether there was greater urgency to line up additional projects or complete design on the aforementioned projects. Goodall said that future funding was not yet certain, but that are still working to determine how much of the allocated \$5 million will be needed to advance projects to construction readiness.

#### **Habitat Restoration**

Angela Deen said MVP's planning priorities include Reno Bottoms and Lower Pool 10. Reno Bottoms used the forest succession model to evaluate alternatives. Virtual public outreach is underway and includes a YouTube video and flyer and TSP selection is anticipated in August 2021. A TSP was selected for Lower Pool 10 in fall 2020 and a draft report is anticipated for review in summer 2021. Lower Pool 10 is a large project with conceptual designs approximating \$25-\$30 million and presents another opportunity for beneficial use of dredged material. The district's design priority is addressing repairs on three islands and backwater areas at Harpers Slough. The project's design was approved in January 2021 and a construction contract is ready to advertise. The District requested use of existing funds to advertise this bid. Brian Chewning expressed appreciation to Deen for the coordination on Harpers Slough and said MVD is tracking the change form. Construction at Conway Lake is complete and final grading, seeding, and tree planting are scheduled for spring 2021. A virtual ground breaking ceremony for Bass Ponds was held November 6, 2020 and construction is approximately 40 percent complete and ahead of schedule. Construction at McGregor lake is approximately 5 percent complete and additional construction zone signs will be placed at boat ramps in the area. All five of the recently selected HREP fact sheets have been approved. The first project, Lower Pool 4 - Big Lake is anticipated to begin in fall 2021.

Julie Millhollin said MVR work is heavy on planning this year and that priorities include Steamboat Island, Lower Pool 13, Green Island, and Pool 12 Forestry. Steamboat Island was approved by MVD on January 22, 2021 and will enter design following a signed MOA. PDTs for Lower Pool 13 and Green Island completed chapters 1-3 reviews in January and are working to refine features and dependency relationships. The Pool 12 Forestry PDT held a kickoff meeting in December 2020 and is identifying project goals and objectives. MVR's design priorities include Keithsburg Island and Steamboat Island Stage I. The 100 percent review was completed for Keithsburg Division Stage II plans and specs and the PDT sent the dam/floodplain permit letter to the IL DNR in February 2021. A construction contract can be advertised following permit issuance and acquisition of real estate. The 35 percent review for Steamboat Island Stage I started on January 29, 2021. Tree planting was completed at Pool 12 Overwintering Stages II and III and Huron Island Stage II. ERDC's aquatic vegetation for Huron Island Stage III may have been affected by the recent extreme cold winter weather. MVD approved the fact sheets for the Lower Pool 11 and Pool 18 forestry habitat projects. In response to a question from Andrew Stephenson, Millhollin said that island height may be considered for the Pool 12 Forestry HREP and beneficial use of dredge material could be a possibility, but would be contingent upon dredging needs and locations at the time.

Brian Markert said MVS's planning priorities include West Alton Islands, Oakwood Bottoms, and Yorkinut Slough. The feasibility study for West Alton Islands is scheduled to start in spring FY 21. The Oakwood Bottoms feasibility report is anticipated to be approved in spring FY 21. Hydrology and hydraulic modeling for Yorkinut Slough is nearly complete. Plans and specs for Piasa and Eagles Nest Phase II and Crains Island Phase II are both anticipated to be completed in fall 2021. A construction contract was awarded for the Piasa and Eagles Nest rock structure. The sediment deflection berm is nearly complete at Crains Island. Reforestation and pump station warranty work continue at Ted Shanks. The pump station at Clarence Cannon is expected to be operational by late summery 2021. The District is preparing maps for discussions with IDNR and USFWS to prioritize newly identified HREP fact sheets for each sponsor. In response to a question from Chewning, Markert said that fact sheets with MDC and USFS as sponsors will be sent to MVD for approval later this year.

Ken Westlake asked if any District HREP Managers anticipated having any projects in planning ready for public NEPA review this fiscal year. He said that a hardcopy letter about Twin Islands was sent to his office, but, due to teleworking requirements, he did not see it until the comment period had passed. He encouraged email distributions regarding public comment periods for the near future. Deen said that Lower Pool 10 HREP will go into review this summer and will include email notification of the comment period. Millhollin and Markert said they do not anticipate any public review of projects in the coming months.

Stephenson said that USACE staff have shared after action review results at river team meetings and encouraged that lessons learned be shared across districts as well, possibly as part of a webinar series. Marshall Plumley agreed and said a program-wide reoccurring webinar series was discussed at the 2019 HREP Planning and Design Workshop and can be implemented in the future with topics such as these.

#### Long Term Resource Monitoring and Science

#### FY 2021 1st Quarter Report

Jeff Houser said Accomplishments of the first quarter of FY 21 include publication of the following manuscript and completion reports:

- Species specific wet-dry mass calibrations for common submersed macrophytes in the Upper Mississippi River
- Upper Mississippi River System weighted wind fetch analysis
- Backwater net sedimentation rates
- Four-band aerial imagery testing and acquisition for 2020 Land Cover/Land Use mission

#### Status and Trends 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition

Houser expressed appreciation for the partnership feedback on the draft Status and Trends Report 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition and said the report is being revised to address comments. The final version of the report is anticipated to be released in summer 2021. Jeff Houser will present a summary of the report at the Upper Mississippi River Conservation Committee's annual conference on March 18. Chapter leads will present on their respective chapters at the annual meeting of the Mississippi River Research Consortium to be held virtually on April 22-23, 2021. Marshall Plumley expressed appreciation for the various efforts to publicize release of the report and said the report will help inform development of the 2022 Report to Congress. In response to a question from Plumley, Jennie Sauer said that, following report finalization, a summary brochure will be created for use in outreach and communication activities.

Kirsten Wallace said the partnership has a powerful story to tell with the data and the report answers important questions about the river ecosystem and represents a significant benefit UMRR provides. Houser agreed and said that communications experts from the partner agencies could help identify how best to promote awareness of the report and information therein. Megan Moore said she was impressed with how comprehensive the draft report was and that she was in contact with a reporter who is eager to share the information. Jim Fischer said the Long Term Resource Monitoring is incredibly important and that, during his involvement with UMRR, it has drastically increased our understanding of the river and

ability to explain that ongoing changes in the river warrant continued monitoring. Fischer expressed appreciation to those who overcame challenges to science funding in past years.

Andrew Stephenson said it is important to keep in mind how the information in the report relates to other information being shared by agencies in the basin and that preparation for the report release should include anticipating and preparing answers to questions that may arise. Houser agreed and said perceived differences may be from substantial differences in level of detail, noting that AWI's report card indicated water quality declined everywhere. Marshall Plumley suggested convening a small group to discuss developing a strategic rollout for the UMRR Status and Trends Report. Houser agreed and asked UMRBA to help identify points of comparison. Stephenson said nutrients and invasive carp issues may be highly relevant to a broader audience than UMRR typically reaches and confirmed that UMRBA will convene a small group to continue the discussion.

#### USACE LTRM Report

Karen Hagerty said that UMRR's FY 21 LTRM allocation is \$6.3 million (\$5.0 million for base monitoring and \$1.3 million for analysis under base) with an additional \$2.5 million available for Science in Support of Restoration and Management. Previously funded science activities for FY 21 totaled \$6,668,028 and include LTRM base monitoring overage, IWW monitoring, COVID-related safety expenditures, graphical assistance on the Status and Trends report, and adjustments to FY 20 proposals. Hagerty noted that the LTRM management team's recommended high priority areas for funding under FY 21 Science in Support of Restoration and Management are included on pages C15-C17 of the meeting agenda packet. Hagerty requested the UMRR Coordinating Committee endorse the following projects:

—	FY 20 stable states proposal (remainder)	\$77, 573
	Landscape patterns (FY 22-24)	\$390,733
	Resilience (FY 22-24)	\$671,066
	Ecohydrology (FY 23)	\$212,685
	Land Cover / Land Use Processing (FY 24)	\$638,029

Jim Fischer moved and Matt Vitello seconded a motion to endorse using \$1.99 million to fund the five recommended FY 21 Science in Support of Restoration and Management projects. The motion passed unanimously.

#### A-Team Report

Nick Schlesser said the A-Team met via webinar on January 25, 2021. Topics discussed included macroinvertebrate sampling and research needs, continued impacts of COVID-19 on agency policies and potential impacts to the 2021 field/work season, possible processes for LTRM implementation planning in response to increased UMRR authorization, and revisions to the roles and responsibilities of the A-Team outlined in the 2013 UMRR joint Charter of consultative bodies. Schlesser said that Shawn Giblin recommended reinstating the macroinvertebrate component of LTRM for three- to five-years and create a macroinvertebrate focal area for upcoming science meetings. Jeff Houser had indicated the focal area could be added, but that additional discussion would be needed to reinstate the monitoring component. It was determined that the macroinvertebrate subgroup will develop a proposal including methods and budgets in a format that allows for comparison and prioritization by the A-Team relative to other science needs at the next science meeting.

Schlesser explained that the A-Team agreed unanimously on revisions to the A-Team's charter language and submitted a revised charter to the UMRR Coordinating Committee. The A-Team received comments from the Coordinating Committee that sparked additional discussion that will be addressed at the A-Team's next meeting. The A-Team's next meeting will be held via webinar in the second half of April, not to coincide with the MRRC annual meeting. In response to a question from Schlesser, Andrew Stephenson said and Marshall Plumley agreed, that receiving revised Charter language from the A-Team in late-April would be appropriate for the Coordinating Committee's May meeting. Stephenson offered to provide additional context to the A-Team on Charter discussions to date, if needed.

#### LTRM Implementation Planning

Plumley said that, on February 17, 2021, he sent an email to the UMRR Coordinating Committee indicating that planning activities were needed to address UMRR's increased authorization in WRDA 2020 for the purposes of enhancing the program's capabilities to better meet science and restoration needs and effectively execute dollars in outyears should the opportunity arise. An informal discussion on February 16, 2021 between the LTRM management team and UMRBA staff regarding past strategic planning processes preceded the email. The email solicited input from Coordinating Committee members regarding the scope of planning and whether a small group should be assembled to layout a process or implementation planning. Planning objectives would be to address currently unmet information needs for the UMRS and promote further integration of the UMRR program elements.

In response to a question regarding timeline for the planning effort from Brian Chewning, Plumley said he hopes to initiate LTRM implementation planning this calendar year and noted that there are sufficient science needs identified through FY 22 and the focus is on FY 23 and beyond. Matt Vitello expressed appreciation for the questions and said there is a need to review ongoing research to look at how we implement and use that research. Vitello also suggested including the A-Team and field station leads in the planning conversation. Megan Moore agreed and said scoping could be done with a larger group for broad perspectives and a follow-on series of facilitated discussions would be a good approach with a smaller group to flesh out ideas. Jim Fischer supported the facilitated discussion approach and noted that development of the 2015-2025 Strategic Plan included a limited number of people from all levels of the program and could be used again. He said the Strategic Plan review may help identify some topics to consider in the discussion as well. Hagerty agreed and said it is important to be strategic in our thinking and to identify critical information needs. She added that the conversation should not be just about adding monitoring components, but should consider data analysis and structured research. Brian Chewning said other programs under MVD have had opportunities to address scientific uncertainty through pilot projects. Plumley expressed support for reaching out to others in MVD as part of the process. Stephenson said pilot projects are useful for effectively and efficiently testing processes. He added that an impediment to increased implementation of adaptive management is whether funding should come from the HREP or LTRM element. Increased authorization for both elements provides an opportunity to revisit issues such as adaptive management or integration of the two elements. Chewning suggested reviewing UMRR's authorization to ensure pilot projects would eligible. Houser said it is important to start at a high level with determining the river monitoring and science needs to best achieve the program vision. Plumley and Ken Westlake agreed. Westlake added that there is a need to understand climate change impacts to river system and what that means for resiliency. Stephenson said that the discussion of desired future condition may help identify fundamental information needs. In response to a question from Stephenson, the Coordinating Committee agreed that a small group should be convened to discuss and layout a process for implementation planning for consideration by the

Coordinating Committee. Issues to be discussed include using a facilitated planning approach with neutral facilitator, identifying participants to ensure vertical representation of the program, and the timeline for implementation planning.

### **Other Business**

Jennie Sauer said the LTRM components biennial meeting will be held virtually March 30-31, 2021.

Kirsten Wallace expressed appreciation to Marshall Plumley for supporting UMRR's partial funding of a UMRBA and Sustainable River Program workshop to utilize structured decision making related to the implementation of water level management for ecological purposes. Wallace said funding will help secure a neutral facilitator for the workshop. Plumley said there is overlap in UMRR's priorities, particularly the Pool 13 HREP, and the interests of many program partners on water level management. [Note: Subsequent to the meeting, on March 1, 2021, the UMRR Coordinating Committee indicated their support via email for UMRR to partially fund the workshop.]

Upcoming quarterly meetings are as follows:

- May 2021 Remote
  - UMRBA quarterly meeting May 25
  - UMRR Coordinating Committee quarterly meeting May 26
- August 2021 Remote
  - UMRBA quarterly meeting August 10
  - UMRR Coordinating Committee quarterly meeting August 11
- November 2021 TBD
  - UMRBA quarterly meeting November 16
  - UMRR Coordinating Committee quarterly meeting November 17

With no further business, Megan Moore moved and Jim Fischer seconded a motion to adjourn the meeting. The motion carried unanimously and the meeting adjourned at 1:35 p.m.

#### UMRR Coordinating Committee Virtual Attendance List February 24, 2021

#### **UMRR** Coordinating Committee Members

Brian Chewning	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, MVD
Sabrina Chandler	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, UMR Refuges
Mark Gaikowski	U.S. Geological Survey, UMESC
Dave Glover	Illinois Department of Natural Resources
Randy Schultz	Iowa Department of Natural Resources
Megan Moore	Minnesota Department of Natural Resources
Matt Vitello	Missouri Department of Conservation
Jim Fischer	Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources
Verlon Barnes	Natural Resources Conservation Service
Ken Westlake	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5

#### **Others In Attendance**

Jim Cole U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, MVD Thatch Shepard U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, MVD Leann Riggs U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, MVD Bryan Taylor U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, MVD U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, MVP Angela Deen Jill Bathke U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, MVP Jon Hendrickson U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, MVP U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, MVP Aaron McFarlane Terry Zien U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, MVP Eric Hanson U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, MVP Dillan Laaker U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, MVP Ann Banitt U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, MVP Marshall Plumley U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, MVR Andy Barnes U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, MVR Andrew Goodall U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, MVR U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, MVR Karen Hagerty Jodi Creswell U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, MVR Julie Millhollin U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, MVR Davi Michl U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, MVR Jesse Ray U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, MVR **Rachel Perrine** U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, MVR **Rachel Hawes** U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, MVR Kara Mitvalsky U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, MVR Jason Appel U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, MVR Anthony Heddlesten U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, MVR Marisa Lack U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, MVR Indigo Rockmore U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, MVR Tara Gambon U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, MVR U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, MVS Brian Markert Jasen Brown U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, MVS Brandon Schneider U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, MVS Ben McGuire U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, MVS Brian Johnson U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, MVS Shane Simmons U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, MVS Bryan Taylor U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Tulsa District Kraig McPeek U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, IIFO

Sara Schmuecker U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, IIFO Tyler Porter U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, IIFO Matt Mangan U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, IIFO Tim Yager U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, UMR Refuges Mary Stefanski U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, UMR Refuges Neal Jackson U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, UMRCC Jeff Houser U.S. Geological Survey, UMESC U.S. Geological Survey, UMESC Jennie Sauer Jayme Strange U.S. Geological Survey, UMESC Danelle Larson U.S. Geological Survey, UMESC U.S. Geological Survey, UMESC Jennifer Dieck Kristen Bouska U.S. Geological Survey, UMESC U.S. Geological Survey, UMESC John Delaney U.S. Geological Survey, UMESC JC Nelson Illinois Department of Natural Resources Chad Craycraft Kristopher Maxson Illinois Natural History Survey Kirk Hansen Iowa Department of Natural Resources Tom Boland Iowa Department of Natural Resources Minnesota Department of Natural Resources Nick Schlesser Jess Fulgoni Missouri Department of Conservation Mike Finlay Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources Christine Favilla Illinois Sierra Club Doug Daigle Lower Mississippi River Sub-basin Committee Kara Knuffman **Ouincy Bay Area Restoration and Enhancement Association Rick Stoff** Stoff Communications Doug Blodgett The Nature Conservancy Gretchen Benjamin The Nature Conservancy Kirsten Schmidt University of Wisconsin - Stevens Point Rachel Curry University of Illinois Extension Upper Mississippi River Basin Association Kirsten Wallace Andrew Stephenson Upper Mississippi River Basin Association Mark Ellis Upper Mississippi River Basin Association Lauren Salvato Upper Mississippi River Basin Association

## ATTACHMENT B

## **Regional Management and Partnership Collaboration**

- UMRR Ten Year Outlook FY 20 FY 30 (5/17/2021) (B-1)
- Draft 2021 UMRR Joint Charter Review (5/14/2021) (B-2 to B-18)

	FY 19	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY 24	FY 25	FY 26	FY 27	FY 28	FY 29	FY 30
Habitat Rehabilitation and Enhancement Projects	October 2018 - September 2019	October 2019 - September 2020	October 2020 - September 2021	October 2021 - September 2022	October 2022 - September 2023	October 2023 - September 2024	October 2024 - September 2025	October 2025 - September 2026	October 2026 - September 2027	October 2027 - September 2028	October 2028 - September 2029	October 2029 - September 2030
St. Paul District												
Conway Lake, IA												
McGregor Lake, WI												
Harpers Slough Flood Damage Repair												
Lower Pool 10 Islands, IA												
Reno Bottoms, MN/IA												
Lower Pool 4, Big Lake, MN/WI												
TBD, MVP												
Rock Island District												
Rice Lake Stage I												
Pool 12 Stage II & III												
Huron Island Stage II & III												
Keithsburg												
Steamboat Island, IA												
Deal 12 Lewer Islands												
Pool 13 Lower Islands												
Deel 12 Forestry												
Ouincy Bay II												
St. Louis District												
Ted Shanks, MO												
Clarence Cannon NWR, MO												
Piasa and Eagles Nest, IL												
Crains Islands, IL												
Harlow, MO												
Oakwood Bottoms, IL												
Yorkinut Slough, IL												
West Alton, MO Islands												
IDNR TBD, IL												
TBD, IL or MO												
HREP Feasibility Phase		Feasibility Completion = 1	Feasibility Completion = 1	Feasibility Completion = 3	Feasibility Completion = 2	Feasibility Completion = 3	Feasibility Completion = 2	Feasibility Completion = 1	Feasibility Completion = 0			
HREP P&S Phase		Design Completion = 4	Design Completion = 1	Design Completion = 1	Design Completion = 5	Design Completion = 1	Design Completion = 2	Design Completion = 3	Design Completion = 2	Design Completion = 1	Design Completion = 0	Design Completion = 0
HREP Construction Phase		Construction Completion = 0	Construction Completion = 3	Construction Completion = 2	Construction Completion = 2	Construction Completion = 1	Construction Completion = 2	Construction Completion = 2	Construction Completion = 2	Construction Completion = 3	Construction Completion = 5	Construction Completion = 1
HREP M&AM/Sponsor O&M Phase(2)												
(2) Physical features are turned over to the sponsor at construction												
completion for Operation & Maintenance. Monitoring & Adaptive Management activities will begin (WRDA 2039; as amended) and per the												
Feasibility Report.												
	October 2018 -	October 2019 -	October 2020 -	October 2021 -	October 2022 -	October 2023 -	October 2024 -	October 2025 -	October 2026 -	October 2027 -	October 2028 -	October 2029 -
Regional Program Elements	September 2019	September 2020	September 2021	September 2022	September 2023	September 2024	September 2025	September 2026	September 2027	September 2028	September 2029	September 2030
Adaptive Management												
Habitat Evaluation & Monitoring												
Long Term Resource Monitoring												
Model Certification/Regional HREP												
Public Outreach												
Regional Program Management												
Regional Project Sequencing												
Science in Support of Restoration/Mgmt.												

## **UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER RESTORATION**

## Joint Charter of the Upper Mississippi River Restoration Coordinating Committee, Analysis Team, and Habitat Rehabilitation and Enhancement Projects Selection Process Teams

## Introduction

The Upper Mississippi River Restoration (UMRR) program is authorized under Section 1103 of the Water Resources Development Act\* of 1986, and as amended in 1990, 1992, 1999, 2007, and 2020, to ensure the coordinated development and enhancement of the Upper Mississippi River system. Congress recognized the system as a nationally significant ecosystem and a nationally significant commercial navigation system that provides a diversity of opportunities and experiences and should be administered and regulated in recognition of its several purposes. The program was established for the planning, construction, and evaluation of measures for fish and wildlife habitat rehabilitation and enhancement and implementation of a long-term resource monitoring, computerized data inventory and analysis, and applied research program, including research on water quality issues affecting the Mississippi River (including elevated nutrient levels) and the development of remediation strategies.

The mission of the UMRR program is to work within a partnership among federal and state agencies and other organizations; to construct high-performing habitat restoration, rehabilitation, and enhancement projects; to produce state-of-the-art knowledge through monitoring, research, and assessment; to engage other organizations to accomplish the Upper Mississippi River Restoration program's vision for a healthier and more resilient Upper Mississippi River ecosystem that sustains the river's multiple uses. UMRR's <u>2015-2025 Strategic Plan</u> outlines the program's key approaches in support of this vision.

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) is charged with implementing the UMRR program in consultation with the Department of the Interior and the states of Illinois, Iowa, Minnesota, Missouri, and Wisconsin. Three major interagency initiatives, the Upper Mississippi River Restoration Coordinating Committee (UMRR CC), the Analysis Team (A-Team), and the Habitat Rehabilitation and Enhancement Projects (HREP) Selection Process Teams, are key mechanisms for this consultation and facilitate implementation of UMRR. This charter, executed by the Program's partner agencies, describes the purpose, membership, roles and responsibilities, and operation of the UMRR CC, A-Team, and HREP Selection Process Teams.

## Authority

The UMRR CC, A-Team, and HREP Selection Process Teams are consistent with the UMRR authority established under Section 1103 of the 1986 WRDA, as amended. Each member agency of the three major initiatives participates under the auspices of its own authorities governing interagency coordination and management of the Upper Mississippi River System (UMRS). Participation does not restrict any individual agency's authority to issue permits, manage programs, manage lands, operate projects, or fulfill other individual agency mandates. The views expressed and actions taken by individual agency representatives and by the UMRR CC, A-Team, or HREP Selection Process Teams are not binding on any agency.

\*[Note: The program was named the Environmental Management Program in its authorization. In 2006, the Office of Management and Budget and Congress began referring to the program as UMRR in its budgeting and appropriations documents.]

## Upper Mississippi River Restoration Coordinating Committee

## **Purpose:**

The Upper Mississippi River Restoration Coordinating Committee (UMRR CC) is the over-arching body for coordinating issues related to all aspects of the Upper Mississippi River Restoration program (UMRR) and was established to ensure the congressionally directed consultation with state and federal partners. In this role, the UMRR CC provides the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) with the partner agencies' perspectives on UMRR policy, budget, and implementation.

## **Membership:**

The following federal and state agencies are official members of the UMRR CC:

Federal	State
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	Illinois Department of Natural Resources
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service	Iowa Department of Natural Resources
U.S. Geological Survey	Minnesota Department of Natural Resources
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Missouri Department of Conservation
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources
U.S. Maritime Administration	

Each member agency will appoint an official representative to the UMRR CC. In the event that an agency's official representative is unable to participate in an UMRR CC meeting, the agency may designate another staff person to serve in that capacity on a substitute basis.

## **Roles and Responsibilities:**

The major roles of the UMRR CC include the following:

- 1. Provide a forum for the UMRR partner agencies and other interested parties to discuss policy, programmatic, and budgetary issues related to program implementation.
- 2. Identify and communicate the official member agencies' perspectives on UMRR policy, programmatic, and budgetary issues to the Corps and other implementing agencies.
- 3. Seek to establish a consensus among the member agencies on major issues related to program priorities and direction.
- 4. Review fiscal performance, project implementation, product quality, and other key measures of program performance.
- 5. Provide guidance regarding the implementation of specific UMRR projects and studies when requested by a member agency or other interested party.
- 6. Foster coordination between UMRR and other federal and state agency programs.

In serving these roles, the UMRR CC's specific responsibilities include the following:

- 1. Provide guidance to the A-Team regarding the UMRR CC's perspectives and priorities. Seek and consider the A-Team's input regarding scientific and technical matters, in part by including an A-Team report as part of UMRR CC meetings.
- 2. Provide guidance to the HREP Selection Process Teams regarding the UMRR CC's HREP planning and sequencing perspectives and priorities. Seek and consider the HREP Selection

Process Teams' input regarding matters related to project planning and sequencing, in part by including a HREP Selection Process Team report as part of UMRR CC meetings, as needed.

- 3. Discuss and provide input on pending projects, studies, and products at UMRR CC meetings.
- 4. Provide a forum for interested stakeholders and members of the public to address the Committee at its regularly scheduled meetings.

The responsibilities of the official representatives of the UMRR CC include the following:

- 1. Consult with the UMRR CC regarding policy, programmatic, and budgetary issues and ensure that the Committee has the background information necessary to consider those issues.
- 2. Determine and communicate their agency or state's full range of interests and perspectives related to issues being addressed by UMRR and reflect those interests and perspectives to the UMRR CC.
- 3. Ensure that other key people within their agency or state are aware of important decisions and developments related to the UMRR CC.
- 4. Coordinate review of key documents within their agency or state and communicate the results of that review as appropriate.
- 5. Respect the perspectives of other UMRR partner agencies and stakeholders and attempt to further the consensus positions of the UMRR CC to the extent possible.
- 6. Representatives must be prepared to fully participate at each quarterly meeting.

## **Operation:**

The Corps' official representative, from the Mississippi Valley Division (MVD), to the UMRR CC will co-chair the Committee with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's official representative from Region 3. If needed, each co-chair can appoint a designated representative in the event that they are not able to serve as co-chair at an UMRR CC meeting.

The Corps' MVD has delegated overall regional program management responsibility to the Corps' Rock Island District but retains program oversight responsibility. The UMRR Regional Program Manager is responsible for managing the program on behalf of the Corps, and, as such, provides a program report and update, and ensures that the official documents and records of the UMRR CC are developed and maintained.

The Upper Mississippi River Basin Association (UMRBA), under contract with the Corps, will be responsible for preparing meeting announcements, agendas, meeting summaries, and minutes and making meeting arrangements. Other UMRR CC communications, including communication with the A-Team, will be coordinated by the Corps. Each UMRR CC member agency will be responsible for all costs associated with its personnel's participation in UMRR CC meetings and activities. The UMRR CC will typically meet on a quarterly basis, or as needed, with the time and location of meetings to be determined by the Committee. The Committee may schedule additional meetings and/or conference calls as necessary.

Whenever possible, the UMRR CC will attempt to achieve unanimous consent among the official representatives present on questions before the Committee. When this is not possible, each official member agency represented at the meeting will have one vote for the purpose of determining the UMRR CC's position. A two thirds majority of the members present is required for formal recommendations. However, the meeting minutes will reflect all positions articulated by UMRR CC representatives and the Corps will consider all input received in making decisions regarding program implementation.

## **Analysis Team**

## **Purpose:**

The Analysis Team (A-Team) addresses technical matters related to implementing the Long Term Resource Monitoring (LTRM) element and the Science in Support of Restoration and Monitoring efforts of the Upper Mississippi River Restoration (UMRR) program. The term "LTRM" henceforth will include both traditional LTRM and UMRR science efforts. The A-Team serves as an advisory body to the Upper Mississippi River Restoration Coordinating Committee (UMRR CC) and advises the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) and the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) on technical issues.

## **Membership:**

The following federal and state agencies are official members of the A-Team:

Federal	State
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service	Illinois Department of Natural Resources
U.S. Department of Agriculture,	Iowa Department of Natural Resources
Natural Resources Conservation Service	Minnesota Department of Natural Resources
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	Missouri Department of Conservation
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers*	Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources
U.S. Geological Survey*	-

\* Non-voting members

Each member agency will appoint an official representative to the A-Team. In the event that an agency's official representative is unable to participate in an A-Team meeting, the agency may designate another staff person to serve in that capacity on a substitute basis. The Corps and the USGS are non-voting members of the A-Team (denoted by asterisk). The Team Leaders from each of the six LTRM Field Stations, or their representatives, and the Component Principle Investigators from USGS cannot be official A-Team representatives, however, they are expected to attend and participate in the A-Team, as appropriate.

## **Roles and Responsibilities:**

The major roles of the A-Team include the following:

- 1. Provide a forum for the UMRR partner agencies and other interested parties to discuss technical issues related to LTRM implementation.
- 2. Identify and communicate the official member agencies' perspectives on LTRM technical issues and on UMRS natural resource management needs and questions to the Corps, USGS, and UMRR CC.
- 3. Advise the UMRR Coordinating Committee regarding the technical implications of decisions affecting LTRM, including policy, programmatic, and budget matters.
- 4. Seek to establish a consensus among the member agencies on priorities for LTRM components, projects, activities, and research. Provide guidance regarding how LTRM can best further those priorities.
- 5. Report LTRM results and information to partner agencies, interested stakeholders, and the general public.

6. Support UMRR program implementation through actions identified in the UMRR Strategic Plan.

In serving these roles, the A-Team's specific responsibilities include, but are not limited to, the following:

- 1. Determine and articulate partner information needs for use in prioritizing and implementing LTRM.
- 2. Respond to UMRR CC, Corps, and USGS requests for information and perspectives regarding LTRM. Provide A-Team briefings at UMRR CC meetings.
- 3. Review, provide comments, and recommendations on major LTRM guidance documents, including, but not limited to, strategic plans, research frameworks, scopes of work, and monitoring methods and protocols. Forward such recommendations to UMRR CC for consideration as appropriate.
- 4. Review and provide comments on major LTRM publications, LTRM website, and other information dissemination efforts, when requested.
- 5. Provide advance notice and written summaries of its meetings to all official agency representatives and other interested parties upon request.
- 6. Ensure that perspectives of interested stakeholders and members of the public are considered by the team at its regularly scheduled meetings. Any specific actions will be coordinated with and directed by the UMRR CC.
- 7. Promote integration of HREP and LTRM.

The responsibilities of official agency representatives to the A-Team include the following:

- 1. Consult with the A-Team regarding LTRM technical issues and ensure that the team has the background information necessary to consider those issues.
- 2. Determine and communicate their agency or state's full range of interests and perspectives related to LTRM and reflect those interests and perspectives in the positions they take as an official representative to the A-Team.
- 3. Ensure that their agencies' UMRR CC representative, LTRM Field Station staff, and other key people within their agency or state are aware of important recommendations and developments related to LTRM.
- 4. Coordinate review of key documents within their agency or state and communicate the results of that review as appropriate.
- 5. Respect the perspectives of other UMRR partner agencies and stakeholders and attempt to further the consensus positions of the A-Team to the extent possible.
- 6. Representatives must be prepared to fully participate and provide technical expertise at each meeting.

## **Operation:**

The chair of the A-Team will rotate among the team's state agency members on a two-year basis. Agencies have the option of declining the chair. Official agency representatives will serve as chair in the following order: Iowa Department of Natural Resources, Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Missouri Department of Conservation, and Minnesota Department of Natural Resources.

The A-Team will typically meet on a quarterly basis, or as needed, with the time and location of meetings to be determined by the team. The A-Team chair will be responsible, in consultation with

the Corps and USGS, for preparing meeting announcements and agendas. The USGS will be responsible for making meeting arrangements. The A-Team chair, or his/her identified delegate, will be responsible for preparing minutes of A-Team meetings. The A-Team chair will be responsible for working with the UMRR CC to ensure appropriate coordination and communication between the A-Team and the UMRR CC. The USGS will facilitate other A-Team communications as requested by the A-Team chair. Each A-Team member agency will be responsible for all costs associated with its official representative's participation in A-Team meetings and activities.

Whenever possible, the A-Team will attempt to achieve unanimous consent among the official representatives present on questions before the Committee. When this is not possible, each official member agency represented at the meeting will have one vote for the purpose of determining the A-Team's position. A two thirds majority of the members <u>present</u> is required for formal recommendations. However, the meeting minutes will reflect all positions articulated by A-Team representatives. The Corps, USGS, and UMRR CC will consider all input from A-Team member agencies in making decisions regarding program and/or LTRM implementation.

## Upper Mississippi River Restoration (UMRR) Program Habitat Rehabilitation and Enhancement Project (HREP) Selection Process

The UMRR CC officially endorsed the Habitat Rehabilitation and Enhancement Project (HREP) Selection Process in 2020. The HREP Selection Process identifies and outlines responsibilities for the following:

UMRR Coordinating Committee Program Planning Team (PPT) District River Teams (DRTs) (one in each of the three UMR Districts) Non-federal Project Sponsors

The signatory agencies to this Charter agree that the 2020 HREP Selection Process will serve as the governing document for the UMRR CC, PPT, DRTs, and non-federal project sponsors until such time as the signatories elect to update the 2020 HREP Selection Process or modify the Charter to more fully address the teams' roles and responsibilities.

## **Goals of HREP Selection and Sequencing Process**

- Optimize investment in restoring, rehabilitating, and maintaining the quantity and quality of fish and wildlife habitat leading to a healthier and more resilient Upper Mississippi River ecosystem.
- Ensure that UMRR habitat projects address UMRS ecological needs at pool, reach, and system scales by building on existing HREP sequencing mechanisms and integrating the Habitat Needs Assessment-II (HNA-II) and other planning efforts into project selection.
- Enhance public understanding of and trust in the decision-making process by making HREP evaluation criteria explicit, transparent, and consistent.
- Retain the flexibility necessary to ensure efficient, effective program execution and apply adaptive management principles to project planning, design, and implementation.

## **Roles and Responsibilities**

*UMRR Coordinating Committee* – Provide direction and guidance to the PPT (including as members) both in the development and implementation of the HREP Selection and Sequencing Process including endorsement and transmittal to Mississippi Valley Division (MVD).

*Program Planning Team (PPT)* – Structure the overall HREP selection and sequencing process and provide guidance to the District-based, executive and technical-level river teams (herein referred to as District River Teams or DRTs). Establish program priorities, facilitate engagement of science experts in the areas of ecological resilience, landscape ecology, hydraulics and hydrology, GIS, HNA-II, fisheries, forestry, and vegetation among others with the DRTs, and consult with the District HREP managers regarding administrative factors. Provide briefings at the UMRR Coordinating Committee meetings and seek input and concurrence from the Committee. Membership includes the UMRR Program Manager (Marshall Plumley), the UMRR Coordinating Committee, District HREP Managers, and District-based river team chairs or their designee. Note that the UMRR Program Manager leads the PPT.

*District River Teams (DRTs)* – Through a thorough, interdisciplinary vetting process, the three DRTs evaluate habitat objectives within their respective Districts (St. Paul - MVP, Rock Island - MVR, St. Louis - MVS), formulate restoration ideas, develop project proposals, and sequence the project proposals based on merit. DRTs will also engage the candidate cost share sponsors and the public as appropriate. Membership consists of MVP's Fish and Wildlife Work Group (FWWG), MVR's Fish and Wildlife Interagency Committee (FWIC), and MVS's River Resource Action Team - Technical Section (RRAT-tech) and their respective executive-level river teams. District river team chairs can structure the DRTs as desired – whether as a full river team or as an ad hoc group.

The relationship of the FWWG, FWIC and RRAT-tech to the River Resources Forum (RRF), the River Resources Coordinating Team (RRCT) and River Resource Action Team Executive Board (RRAT-exec) will not be affected by this HREP sequencing process. The DRTs will be responsible for coordinating with their respective committee and receiving their concurrence on recommendations as is the current policy of each committee.

## River Team structure

<u>MVP</u> RRF - River Resources Forum FWWG - Fish and Wildlife Work Group

## MVR

RRCT - River Resources Coordinating Team FWIC - Fish and Wildlife Interagency Committee

## MVS

RRAT Exec - River Resources Action Team Executive RRAT Tech - River Resources Action Team Technical



The *River Resources Forum (RRF)* provides a mechanism for all Federal and State agencies with management or regulatory responsibilities within the floodplain along the commercially navigable sections of the Mississippi River and its tributaries in the St Paul District to facilitate the coordination of their programs and activities; and to provide an opportunity for other interested parties to express their concerns and views to the agencies.

The *Fish and Wildlife Work Group (FWWG)* enhances the exchange of fish and wildlife related technical information and provides a forum for early coordination between Federal and State agencies by field level technical experts and resource managers on issues pertaining to, and assigned by the River Resources Forum (RRF). The FWWG deliberates, provides technical comments and information on matters concerning design and sequencing of studies and projects, alternatives being considered, methods, data needs and related items on topics that are reported to, and assigned by the RRF.

The *River Resources Coordinating Team (RRCT)* provides a mechanism for all Federal and State agencies with management or regulatory responsibilities along the Mississippi River and tributaries in the Rock Island District area to facilitate the coordination of their programs and activities; and allow other interested parties to express their concerns and view to the agencies.

The *Fish and Wildlife Interagency Committee (FWIC)* enhances the exchange of fish and wildlife related technical information and provides a forum for early coordination between Federal and State agencies. Field level technical experts and resource managers deliberate and provide technical comments and information on matters concerning design and sequencing of studies and projects, alternatives being considered, methods, data needs, and related items on topics that are reported to, and assigned by the RRCT.

The *River Resources Action Team (RRAT)* provides a mechanism for all Federal and State agencies with management or regulatory responsibilities within the navigable reaches of the Upper Mississippi River within the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, St. Louis District to facilitate the coordination of their programs and activities in matters dealing with fish and wildlife resources; and for planning, prioritizing, and operating UMRS projects/actions.

The RRAT operates at two administrative levels; the RRAT Technical Team and the RRAT Executive Team. The RRAT Technical Team is composed of individual representatives from each agency that lend special expertise and knowledge regarding particular programs and projects. The RRAT

Executive Team is composed of representatives of each agency with knowledge of their respective agency's policies, authorities, and budgetary processes to make operational decisions on particular projects and programs.



Figure 2. Organizational structure of the District River Teams.

\* Denotes voting members.

*Non-federal Project Sponsors* – must provide a letter of intent, self-certification of financial capability, and demonstrate the full legal and financial authority to perform the terms of the project partnership agreement. This includes the ability to:

- Provide the required 35 percent cost share;
- Provide all lands, easements, relocations, rights-of-way, relocation of utilities and other existing structures, and disposal of dredged or excavated material (LERRDs);
- Perform operation, maintenance, repair, rehabilitation, and replacement in perpetuity.

## **UMRR HREP Selection Process Diagram & Schedule**

#### **Implementation and Process Prep HREP** Proposal Amendments **Development** Ongoing 2-3 months prior 6 months (fall-winter)\* to process initiation Objective: Objective: Objective: Review and distribute guidance and references to Develop project fact sheets with clear explanations of Maintain flexibility through a process to facilitate facilitate river teams in their development and how project will advance ecological goals and habitat amendments to the HREP Implementation Strategy. sequencing of UMRR habitat projects. needs at various spatial scales. Actions: Actions: Actions: PPT reviews guidance documents with DRTs engage federal and non-federal District HREP managers will develop the HREP District River Team Chairs project sponsors\*\* in collaborative fact Program Plan that considers ecological merit and administrative factors for effective and sheet development process Establish schedule for implementing efficient execution of UMRR appropriations Framework Hold inter-DRT meeting as necessary Summarize how recommended sequence of Develop new, or update existing, guidance DRTs engage with science experts as projects advances ecological goals at various materials and references; and serve in central necessary spatial scales location

Science experts presents on newly available knowledge

DRTs will inform non-federal sponsors and

the public about coordination of HREP project development

Notes:

Preparation may consist of a webinar re: science, modeling tools, etc. that can aid in deliberations of project locations and objectives.

In developing recommendations, PPT will consult, as necessary, with the RRF, RRCT, RRAT-exec., project sponsors, science experts and others.

- Fact sheets should be developed in
- consideration of the indicators identified and evaluated during the HNA-II development
- DRTs rank project fact sheets
  - Submit proposed projects and sequencing
- to UMRR Coordinating Committee for consideration
- Submit projects to MVD for approval

#### Notes:

- \* Schedule subject to change
- \*\*NGO-sponsored projects require voting river team member noted as "champion."

- Work with project sponsors to identify and
- resolve potential issues to project implementation
- Assess pool, reach, and system conditions to determine changing needs or threats
- Provide annual opportunity for candidate nonfederal sponsors to propose project ideas
- Secure approval of any amendments through UMRR Coordinating Committee and MVD

#### Notes:

Maintaining flexibility in order to take advantage of restoration opportunities is important to ensuring a robust, seamless sequence of HREPs are available to implement.

## Upper Mississippi River Restoration (UMRR) Program Habitat Rehabilitation and Enhancement Project (HREP) Selection Process

## Selection and Sequencing UMRR HREPs Directions for River Teams

The Program Planning Team (PPT) is requesting river teams to engage in a collaborative process for UMRR HREP project idea generation. Project proposals should consider the indicators as described and prioritized by District-based river teams in the HNA-II reports. The PPT requests that the river teams place greater weight on projects that can address the top four priority HNA-II indicators – i.e., aquatic functional classes, floodplain functional class, floodplain vegetation, and aquatic vegetation.

Each river team is asked to develop projects of varying size and complexity to ensure a diverse array of projects to promote efficient and flexible obligation of program funds. Additional direction will be provided by the PPT based on program goals, anticipated funding levels, and other considerations. Thresholds on size of projects - e.g., dollar amount or acres, will be determined based on programmatic needs.

Specific instructions are as follows:

- Limit fact sheets to four pages (excluding maps), pointing to references such as technical reports, other project fact sheets, white papers, and journal articles to support statements as needed.
- Projects should be developed in consultation with federal, state, and nonprofit organization sponsors. Nonprofit organization participation will be facilitated through a "champion" voting member on the river team.
- Decision support tools can be developed as needed and upon request, following initial collaborative project development process. Data layers are available for agency use and Corps GIS experts can be made available to assist river teams as needed.
- Use decision logs and record discussions throughout the process to ensure transparency and adequate understanding and buy-in and to inform future project selection efforts.
- Invite candidate cost-sharing nonprofit organizations to consider submitting an HREP proposal. The PPT has provided the river teams with a template invitation letter. Other references for how to engage nonprofit organizations throughout the planning process include the UMRR HREP Selection Process Diagram Schedule, UMRR HREP Selection Goals, Roles, and Responsibilities, and UMRR HREP Fact Sheet Template.
- Describe whether and how projects will maintain (e.g., ensure indicator remains green) or improve (e.g., move the indicator from red to yellow) for each respective HNA-II indicator. A Corps planner will be available to support this exercise and overall decision-making.
- Structured decision-making exercises can be used as needed. Past iterations have utilized evaluation matrices and paired-comparisons for project ranking.

## Upper Mississippi River Restoration (UMRR) Program Habitat Rehabilitation and Enhancement Project (HREP) Selection Process Fact Sheet Template

## Project Name Pool, River, State(s), Corps District

## Location

- General description (side channel, backwater lake, island(s), etc.)
- River mile reach, left or right descending bank, geomorphic reach
- Nearest town and distance
- Current land use/ownership (national wildlife refuge, state wildlife management area, Corps project land, private, etc.)

## **Existing resources**

- General description of the existing habitats and conditions (vegetation communities, current velocities, dissolved oxygen, etc.), including how long it has been this way
- List primary plant communities, fish and wildlife species that are known to exist in the area (generic, when?), including any rare or unique habitats or species, and noxious or invasive species
- Pool and cluster group from the HNA-II in which the project is located
- Current status of the HNA-II indicators for the pool and cluster

## **Problem identification**

- Describe changes in habitat conditions that have occurred including a description of monitoring that quantifies the changes
- Factors influencing these habitat changes
- Examples of the species/communities affected by the habitat changes
- Describe forecasted future habitat conditions without habitat protection or restoration

## **Project Goals**

- Identify the area where different habitat types (and/or health) are desired
- Describe the desired future conditions for each type of habitat
- Describe the primary HNA-II indicators likely to be impacted by the project
- Identify the HNA-II indicators that might be impacted by the project
- Describe how the project would be designed to improve and/or maintain the HNA-II indicators
- Compare/contrast to desired future conditions identified in the HNA-II for the project area
- Identify the species and communities that would benefit from the project
- Describe the relationship(s) to system, reach, and pool needs (relate to pool plans, project sponsor management plans)

## Upper Mississippi River Restoration (UMRR) Program Habitat Rehabilitation and Enhancement Project (HREP) Selection Process Fact Sheet Template

## **Proposed Project Features**

- Project description (potential habitat protection and restoration features)
- Alternatives or strategies that may be/have been evaluated or applied

## **Implementation Considerations**

- Opportunities and constraints
- Synergy with other efforts
- Known data needs
- Sequencing requirements

## **Financial Data**

- Rough cost estimates for General design, Construction, and O&M (include basis)
- Potential organizations responsible for project cost sharing (if applicable) and O&MRRR

## **Status of Project**

- Current project phase/actions
- Partnering organizations

## **Sponsorship**

— Who, level of support, etc.

## **Point(s) of contact**

- Name, organization, telephone, email

### References

- Examples: prior proposals, LTRM reports, etc.

### Attachments

- Examples: map of project area, color aerial photo of project area, etc.

## UMRR HREP Selection Process Nonprofit Sponsorship Letter Template



## TO: [Name of Nonprofit or Community/County]

## FROM: [River Team Chair/Co-Chair]

We understand that your organization may be interested and eligible to serve as a cost-share sponsor of a Upper Mississippi River Restoration (UMRR) Habitat Rehabilitation and Enhancement Project (HREP) on lands that it owns. On behalf of the UMRR Partnership, we are pleased to extend an invitation to you to provide your organization's proposal for sponsoring habitat restoration projects on lands it manages.

The Upper Mississippi River ecosystem benefits from a deeply rooted history of federal-state-local and interdisciplinary partnerships. The ecosystem is complex and requires thoughtful coordination among numerous agencies, organizations, and individuals with varying but related mandates, missions, and talents. Through UMRR, five federal agencies, five states, numerous nongovernmental organizations, and community members all work toward a common goal – a healthy and resilient river. This starts with a thorough evaluation of habitat needs (https://www.mvr.usace.army.mil/Missions/Environmental-Protection-and-Restoration/Upper-Mississippi-River-Restoration/Key-Initiatives/hna2/) and deliberation of the optimal location and objectives for habitat projects that will individually and collectively increase the overall abundance, quality, distribution, and diversity of fish and wildlife habitat as well as improve the river's overall ecological integrity.

UMRR is at the very early stages of developing a plan for sequencing the implementation of habitat restoration projects in federal fiscal years 2021-2025. Deliberations of UMRR project ideas and sequencing are delegated to the federal-state river teams that operate within a U.S. Army Corps of Engineers District. In the [Geographic USACE District], that consultative body is the [Respective District River Team] and is responsible for planning and coordinating on river management. Membership consists of one voting member from a federal or state agency. To assist your efforts in developing your project for consideration, a champion will be assigned to your project by the [Respective River Team].

Additionally, UMRR is implemented through the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and, therefore, the program's non-federal project sponsors are subject to the agency's cost-share policies. Enclosed are the relevant policies for your reference.

Please contact [insert name] if you have questions about this invitation or wish to discuss potential project ideas.

At this time the [Respective River Team] is planning on holding a meeting to initiate discussion on future HREP project development. The date of the meeting is [Insert any relevant planned meeting]. Future coordination meetings may be scheduled.

\*[Note: The program was named the Environmental Management Program in its authorization. In 2006, the Office of Management and Budget and Congress began referring to the program as UMRR in its budgeting and appropriations documents.]

## UMRR HREP Selection Process Nonprofit Sponsorship Letter Template

## UMRR Habitat Project Cost-Sharing U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' Relevant Policy

Section 2003 of the 2007 Water Resources Development Act amended the 1970 Flood Control Act to expand the non-federal interests eligible to sponsor water resources projects to include nonprofit entities. On April 5, 2012, USACE Headquarters issued implementation guidance that confirms that nonprofits can serve directly as non-federal sponsors of USACE's civil works water resources projects, including UMRR HREPs. The guidance outlines specific eligibility standards for candidate nonprofits, as follows:

- 1. Consent from all affected local governments in each jurisdiction throughout the impacted area must be secured in writing.
- 2. The nonprofit must be incorporated under the laws of the state in which it operates and be exempt from paying federal taxes, under Section 501 of the Internal Revenue Code.
- 3. The proposed project's purpose and nonprofit's mission must be directly related.
- 4. The nonprofit must demonstrate the full legal and financial authority and capability to perform the terms of the project partnership agreement and to pay damages, if necessary, in the event of failure to perform. This includes the ability to perform operation, maintenance, repair, rehabilitation, and replacement in perpetuity.
- 5. For projects with additional purposes, such as recreation or flood risk management, a legally constituted public body must agree to co-sponsor the project.

A nonprofit, municipality or county must also demonstrate its capability to meet the non-federal sponsor requirements articulated in Section 221 of the 1970 Flood Control Act as amended. They include the following:

- 1. Provide the required 35 percent construction cost share.
- 2. Provide all lands, easements, relocations, rights-of-way, relocation of utilities and other existing structures, and disposal of dredged or excavated material (LERRDs).
- 3. Land and project may not be part of a wetland bank or mitigation for another project.
- 4. Operate, maintain, repair, replace, and rehabilitate the project, or functional portion of the project, using non-federal funds as long as the UMRR is authorized.
- 5. Maintain the federal government's right to enter the property.
- 6. Hold and save the federal government free from all damages.
- 7. Assume all responsibility for hazardous, toxic, and radioactive waste cleanup and liability.
- 8. Prevent any obstructions or encroachments to the project.
- 9. Comply with USACE's bookkeeping standards, the project partnership agreement, and all applicable federal and state laws and regulations.

Additionally, the nonprofit sponsor must meet the requirements currently applicable to UMRR non-federal HREP sponsors. These include a letter of intent, self-certification of financial capability, and project partnership agreement. Examples of these documents can be provided upon request by contacting the following:

UMRR Program Manager: Marshall Plumley, USACE, 309-794-5447, <u>umrr-regional@usace.army.mil</u> 16

Executed this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2021 on behalf of Upper Mississippi River Restoration program's partner agencies by the undersigned official agency representatives to the Upper Mississippi River Restoration Coordinating Committee.

Brian Chewning, UMRR CC Representative U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Chad Craycraft, UMRR CC Representative Illinois Department of Natural Resources

Sabrina Chandler, UMRR CC Representative U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Randy Schultz, UMRR CC Representative Iowa Department of Natural Resources

Mark Gaikowski, UMRR CC Representative U.S. Geological Survey Megan Moore, UMRR CC Representative Minnesota Department of Natural Resources

Verlon Barnes, UMRR CC Representative Natural Resources Conservation Service Matt Vitello, UMRR CC Representative Missouri Department of Conservation

Ken Westlake, UMRR CC Representative U.S. Environmental Protection Agency James Fischer, UMRR CC Representative Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources

vacant, UMRR CC Representative U.S. Maritime Administration

## **ATTACHMENT C**

## **Program Reports**

- Long Term Resource Monitoring and Science
  - Base Monitoring Scope of Work thru 2nd Quarter of FY 2021 (5/14/2021) (C-1 to C-5)
  - FY 2021 UMRR Science Activities in Support of Restoration and Management (5/14/2021) (C-6 to C-14)
  - FY 2014 and FY 2015 UMRR Science Activities in Support of Restoration and Management (5/13/2021) (C-15)

Long Term Resource Monitoring Element FY2021 Base Scope of Work

Tracking	Milestone	Original	Modified	Date		Lead	
number		Target Date	Target Date	Completed	Comments		
Aquatic Ve	getation Component	0	0	•			
2021A1	Complete data entry and QA/QC of 2020 data; 1250	) observations.					
	a. Data entry completed and submission of data to USGS	30-Nov-2020		30-Nov-2020		Lund, Drake, Bales	
	b. Data loaded on level 2 browsers	15-Dec-2020		15-Dec-2020		Schlifer	
	c. QA/QC scripts run and data corrections sent to Field Stations	28-Dec-2020		28-Dec-2020		Sauer, Schlifer	
	d. Field Station QA/QC with corrections to USGS	15-Jan-2021		15-Jan-2021		Lund, Drake, Bales	
	e. Corrections made and data moved to public Web Browser	30-Jan-2021		30-Jan-2021		Larson, Schlifer, Caucutt	
2021A2	Web-based: Creating surface distribution maps for aquatic plant species in Pools 4, 8, and 13; 2020 data	31-Jul-2021				Larson, Schlifer	
2021A3	Wisconsin DNR annual summary report 2020 that combines current year observations from LTRM with previous years' data, for the fish, aquatic vegetation, and water quality components.	30-Sep-2021				Drake, Bartels, Hoff, Kalas, Carhart	
2021A4	Complete aquatic vegetation sampling for Pools 4, 8, and 13 (Table 1)	31-Aug-2021				Larson, Lund, Drake, Fopma	
2021A5	Pool 4: Graphical summary and maps of aquatic vegetation current status and long-term trends.	30-Dec-2021				Lund	
2021A6	Pool 8: Graphical summary and maps of aquatic vegetation current status and long-term trends.	30-Dec-2021				Drake, Carhart	
Intended for distribution							
LTRM compl	etion report: Evaluation of a "Trace" Plant Density So	core in LTRM Veg	etation Monito	ring (New Milesto	one 2020BIO3a; sent to authors fo	r revisions)	
Manuscript: 122160)	Estimated annual summer submersed aquatic macro	ophyte standing s	tocks (1998 - 20	018) in three large	e reaches of the Upper Mississippi	River. (2020A8; at journal for review, IP	
Manuscript:	Species-specific wet-dry mass calibrations for comm	on submersed m	acrophytes in tl	ne Upper Mississip	opi River <mark>(2020A9; Completed: Aq</mark>	uatic Botany	
Volume 169	Volume 169, https://doi.org/10.1016/i.aguabot.2020.103344)						

Long Term Resource Monitoring Element FY2021 Base Scope of Work

Tracking	Milestone	Original	Modified	Date		Lead			
number		Target Date	Target Date	Completed	Comments				
<b>Fisheries Co</b>	omponent								
2021B1	Complete data entry, QA/QC of 2020 fish data; ~1,590 observations								
	a. Data entry completed and submission of data to USGS	31-Jan-2021		31-Jan-2021		DeLain, Bartels, Bowler, Hine, Kueter, Gittinger, West, Solomon, Maxson			
	b. Data loaded on level 2 browsers; QA/QC scripts run and data corrections sent to Field Stations	15-Feb-2021		15-Feb-2021		Ickes, Schlifer			
	c. Field Station QA/QC with corrections to USGS	15-Mar-2021		15-Mar-2021		DeLain, Bartels, Kueter, Hine, Gittinger, West, Solomon, Maxson			
	d. Corrections made and data moved to public Web Browser	30-Mar-2021		30-Mar-2021		Ickes and Schlifer			
2021B2	Update Graphical Browser with 2020 data on Public Web Server.	31-May-2021				Ickes and Schlifer			
2021B3	Complete fisheries sampling for Pools 4, 8, 13, 26, the Open River Reach, and La Grange Pool (Table 1)	31-Oct-2021				DeLain, Bartels, Kueter, Hine, Gittinger, West, Solomon, Maxson			
2021B4	IDNR Fisheries Management State Report: Fisheries Monitoring in Pool 13, Upper Mississippi River, 2020	30-Jun-2021				Kueter			
2021B5	Sample collection, database increment on Asian carp age and growth: collection of cleithral bones	31-Jan-2021		31-Jan-2021		Solomon, Maxson			
2021B8(D)	Database increment: Stratified random day electrofishing samples collected in Pools 9–11	30-Sep-2021				Kueter			
2021B9(D)	Database increment: Stratified random day electrofishing samples collected in Pools 16–18	30-Sep-2021				Kueter			
			Intended for dis	stribution					
LTRM Compl page)	letion report, compilation of 3 years of sampling: Fisl	neries (2009R1Fis	sh; Chick et al.)	(in USGS review;	minor grammatical corrections no	eeded then will be posted on LTRM Fish			
Manuscript:	A synthesis on river floodplain connectivity and later	al fish passage in	the Upper Mis	sissippi River <mark>(202</mark>	1B11; Submitted to USGS review,	; IP-123678)			
LTRM Fact S	heet: Tree map tool for visualizing fish data, with exa	mple of native v	ersus non-nativ	e fish biomass (20	13B16) (Programming code for T	reeMap being re-written; once			
completed F	completed Fact Sheet will be completed)								

Long Term Resource Monitoring Element

Tracking	Milestone	Original	Modified	Date		Lead
number		Target Date	Target Date	Completed	Comments	
Water Qua	lity Component	0	0	<b>-</b>		
2021D1	Complete calendar year 2020 fixed-site and SRS water quality sampling	31-Dec-2020		31-Dec-2020		Jankowski, Burdis, Kalas, Kueter, L. Gittinger, Kellerhals, Fulgoni
2021D2	Complete laboratory sample analysis of 2020 fixed site and SRS data; Laboratory data loaded to Oracle data base.	15-Mar-2021		15-Mar-2021		Yuan, Schlifer
2021D3	1st Quarter of laboratory sample analysis (~12,600)	30-Dec-2020		30-Dec-2020		Yuan, Manier, Burdis, Kalas, Kueter, L. Gittinger, Cook, Fulgoni
2021D4	2nd Quarter of laboratory sample analysis (~12,600)	30-Mar-2021		30-Mar-2021		Yuan, Manier, Burdis, Kalas, Kueter, L. Gittinger, Kellerhals, Fulgoni
2021D5	3rd Quarter of laboratory sample analysis (~12,600)	29-Jun-2021				Yuan, Manier, Burdis, Kalas, Kueter, L. Gittinger, Kellerhals, Fulgoni
2021D6	4th Quarter of laboratory sample analysis (~12,600)	28-Sep-2021				Yuan, Manier, Burdis, Kalas, Kueter, L. Gittinger, Kellerhals, Fulgoni
2021D7	Complete QA/QC of calendar year 2020 fixed-site and SRS data.					
	a. Data loaded on level 2 browsers; QA/QC scripts run; SAS QA/QC programs updated and sent to Field Stations with data.	30-Mar-2021				Schlifer, Jankowski
	b. Field Station QA/QC; USGS QA/QC.	15-Apr-2021				Jankowski, Burdis, Kalas, Kueter, L. Gittinger, Kellerhals, Fulgoni
	c. Corrections made and data moved to public Web Browser	30-Apr-2021				Schlifer, Jankowski
2021D8	Complete FY2020 fixed site and SRS sampling for Pools 4, 8, 13, 26, Open River Reach, and La Grange Pool	30-Sep-2021				Jankowski, Burdis, Kalas, Kueter, L. Gittinger, Kellerhals, Fulgoni
2021D9	WEB-based annual Water Quality Component Update w/2020 data on Server.	30-May-2021				Schlifer, Jankowski
2021D10	Operational Support to the UMRR LTRM Element. Serve as in-house Field Station for USGS for consultation and support on various LTRM-wide topics	30-Sep-2021				Kalas, Hoff, Bartel, Drake

Long Term Resource Monitoring Element FY2021 Base Scope of Work

Tracking	Milestone	Original	Modified	Date		Lead
number	winestone	Target Date	Target Date	Completed	Comments	ECGU
number		Target Date		a		
	Draft LTBM Completion Report: Assessment of			'δ		
	Phytoplankton Samples collected by the Unper					
2019D12	Mississiphi River Restoration Program-Long Term	30-Dec-2019	30-Sep-2021		Contractor delay	Fulgoni and Jankowski
	Resource Monitoring Water Quality Component					
	Final LTRM Completion Report: Assessment of					
	Phytoplankton Samples collected by the Linner					
2020D12	Mississiphi River Restoration Program-Long Term	30-Mar-2021	30-Dec-2021			Fulgoni and Jankowski
	Resource Monitoring Water Quality Component					
	Draft LTRM Completion report: Evaluation of					
2017010	water quality data from automated sampling	30-Sen-2017	30-Dec-2021		Delayed, Lubinski took new	Soeken-Gittinger, Lubinski, Chick,
2017010	nlatforms	50 5Cp 2017	50 Dec 2021		position	Houser
	plationins		Intended for dis	tribution		
Completion	report compilation of 3 years of sampling: Water O	ality (2009R1W(	C: Giblin Burdis	(in LISGS review	minor grammatical corrections	needed then will be posted on LTRM
WO nage)		200511100			, miller grammatical corrections	needed then will be posted on Entity
Manusarint	Nutriants and discoluted overgan in the LIMPC, improv	ing our undorste	anding of winter	conditions and th	oir implications for structure and	function of the river (2014D12)
	der revision)	ing our understa	anding of winter	conditions and tr	ien implications for structure and	function of the river (2014D12;
Houser) (un						
Spatial Dat	a Component		T T		<b>I</b>	
2021SD1	Aerial Photo scanning (ILR)	30-Sep-2021				Strange
2021SD2	3D Vegetation Mapping Solution Report	30-Jun-2021				Finley
2021SD3	4-Band to 3D Product SOP	30-Jun-2021				Finley
2021SD4	Google Earth Help Webpage	31-Dec-2020				Finley
2021SD5	Co-Located Aerial LIDAR/SAR Report	30-Sep-2021				Finley
2021506	Survey Capability Report and Historic Spatial	31-Dec-2020				Finley
2021500	Database for LCU Mapping	51 Dec 2020				
2021SD7	Topobathy strategic plan	30-Sep-2021				Strange, De Jager
2021SD8	Maintenance ArcGIS server	30-Sep-2021				Hlavacek, Fox, Rohweder
	Status and Trends Report: continued data analysis					
2021SD9	and report writing for status and trends in land /	30-Sep-2021				De Jager
	water cover indicators.					
	Draft Report: Evaluating effects of alternative					
2021SD10	flooding scenarios on forest succession and	30-Sep-2021				De Jager
	landcover in the UMRS.					

Long Term Resource Monitoring Element FY2021 Base Scope of Work

-		F	Y 2021 Base Sco	ope of work	1	
Tracking	Milestone	Original	Modified	Date	Comments	Lead
number		Target Date	<b>Target Date</b>	Completed	comments	
Data Mana	gement					
	Update vegetation, fisheries, and water quality					
2021M1	component field data entry and correction	30-May-2021				Schlifer
	applications.					
	Load 2020 component sampling data into					
2021M2	Database tables and make data available on Level	30-Jun-2021				Schlifer
	2 browsers for field stations to QA/QC.					
	Assist LTRM Staff with development and review of					
2021M3	metadata and databases in conjunction with		On-going			Schlifer
	publishing of reports and manuscripts					
Status and	Trends 3rd edition					
2021ST1	Draft Report out for Peer Review	16-Oct-2020	4-Nov-2020			All
2021ST2	Revised draft to USGS publishing network	26-Feb-2021	30-May-2021			All
2021572	Revised draft to UMESC Center Director and USGS	22 Apr 2021	20 Jun 2021			
2021313	Bureau Approving Official	23-Api-2021	30-3011-2021			All
2021ST4	Final publication	28-May-2021				All
2020ST4	Draft S&T3 Fact Sheet	TBD			Tied to completion of S&T3	All
Quarterly A	Activities					
2021QR1	Submittal of quarterly activities	30-Jan-2021		30-Jan-2021		All
2021QR2	Submittal of quarterly activities	13-Apr-2021		13-Apr-2021		All
2021QR3	Submittal of quarterly activities	13-Jul-2021				All
2021QR4	Submittal of quarterly activities	12-Oct-2021				All
Equipment	Inventory					
2021ER1	Property inventory and tracking	15-Nov-2021				LTRM staff as needed
UMRR LTR	M Virtual All-Hands Component Meeting					
2021\/AU1	Virtual All Hands Component Meeting	30-31 March		30-31 March		
ZUZIVANI	virtual All-Hallus Component Meeting	2021		2021		All

Tracking number	Milestone	Original Target Date	Modified Target Date	Date Completed	Comments	Lead	
Developing and Applying Indicators of Ecosystem Resilience to the UMRS							
2021R1	Updates provided at quarterly UMRR CC meeting and A team meeting as appropriate	Various				Bouska, Houser	
2021R2	Submit aquatic vegetation manuscript for peer review publication	30-Mar-2021		1-Feb-2021			
2021R3	Submit resilience assessment synthesis manuscript for peer review publication	30-Mar-2021	30-Sep-2021	Is being split to 2 working on a m m	emanuscripts. Currently anagment implications anuscript		
2021R4	Submit resilience assessment synthesis fact sheet for USGS peer review	30-Sep-2021					
2021R5	Submit manuscript that investigates associations between general and specified resilience for peer review publication	30-Sep-2021	ep-2021				
		Intended for	Distribution				
Manuscript: Bouska ecosystem. Journal	a, K. L., J. N. Houser, N. R. De Jager, D. C. Drake, S. F. Co of Environmental Management Volume 264 https://d	ollins, D. K. Gibson-Reir loi.org/10.1016/j.jenvr	nemer, and M. A. Th man.2020.110516	nomsen. Concep	tualizing alternate regir	nes in a large floodplain-river	
Assessing recent ra	tes of sedimentation in the backwaters of Pools 4, 8,	and 13 to support rive	r restoration and th	ne Habitat Need	s Assessment-II		
2018ST3	Over-ice surveys completed along with a database (Continuation of 2017ST3)	30-Mar-2018	30-Mar-2020	Pool 13 Dela state travel res via	yed due to Covid-19 trictions, now tracking 2019GC6	Moore, Kalas, Bierman	
Landscape Pattern	Research and Application						
2021LP1	Geospatial analyses in support of the Forest Gap project	30-Aug-21				Rohweder	
2021LP2	Support for developing topobathymetry plan	30-Sep-21				Stone et al.	
2021LP3	Analysis; Evaluating effects of alternative flooding scenarios on forest succession in the UMRS. Potential manuscript in 2021	30-Sep-21				Rohweder	
2021LP4	Data Development: Developing seasonal aquatic areas maps to support aquatic habitat mapping and analysis.	30-Sep-21				Rohweder	
		On-G	oing				
Manuscript: Review	v of Landscape Ecology on the UMR; De Jager; 2016L3						

Tracking number	Milestone	Original Target Date	Modified Target Date	Date Completed	Comments	Lead
Eco-hydrologic Res	earch					•
2020EH02	Submit manuscript of temporal patterns in UMRS inundation regimes for peer review	30-Sep-21				Van Appledorn, De Jager, Rohweder
2021EH01	Draft manuscript of temporal and spatial trends of large wood in the UMRS and potential eco- hydrologic drivers	30-Sep-21				Van Appledorn, Jankowski
2021EH02	Draft manuscript of UMRS floodplain forest classification	30-Sep-21				Van Appledorn, De Jager
2021EH03	Spatial analyses of UMRS geomorphic channel and/or delta features (e.g., slope, width, complexity, geomorphons, shoaling, etc.) to understand hydrogeomorphic constraints on river form and function	30-Sep-21				Van Appledorn
		On-G	oing			
Development of U	MRS inundation model query tool; Van Appledorn, Fox	, Rohweder, De Jager;	2019EH03			
Manuscript: Van Aj services (2016L5; s	ppledorn, M., De Jager, N.R. Considerations for improv ee 2019EH01) (Resubmitted to journal after revisions)	ing floodplain researcl	n and management	by integrating in	undation modeling, ec	osystem studies, and ecosystem
		Intended for	distribution			
Manuscript: Model Rohweder Researc	ling and mapping inundation regimes for ecological and hand Applications, Early View On-Line Special Edition	d management applica . http://dx.doi.org/10.	tions: a case study o 1002/rra.3628 Loca	of the Upper Mis ation of supporti	ssissippi River floodplai ng data: https://doi.or	n, USAVan Appledorn, De Jager, rg/10.5066/F7VD6XRT)
Acquisition and Int	erpretation of Imagery for Production of 2020 UMRS	Land Cover/Land Use	Data and Pool-Base	d Orthomosaics		
2020LCU2	Image processing, stereo model development, orthorectification, pool-based mosaicking, image interpretation, QA/QC, and serving of 2020 LCU datasets for Pools 4, 8, 13, 26, La Grange, and an estimated 80% of the Open River South	1-Sep-2021				Dieck, Hop
2020LCU3	Image processing, stereo model development, orthorectification, pool-based mosaicking, image interpretation, automation, QA/QC, and serving of 2020 LCU datasets for remaining 50% of Open River South, the Alton Pool of the Illinois River, and Pools 9-12	1-Sep-2022				Dieck, Hop
2020LCU4	Image processing, stereo model development, orthorectification, pool-based mosaicking, image interpretation, automation, QA/QC, and serving of 2020 LCU datasets for Pools 1-3, 5-7, the St. Croix and lower Minnesota Rivers, and the Peoria Pool of the Illinois River	1-Sep-2023				Dieck, Hop

Tracking number	racking number Milestone Origin		Modified Target Date	Date Completed	Comments	Lead
	Aquatic	/egetation, Fisheries,	, and Water Qualit	y Research		
		Intended for	Distribution	-		
Manuscript: Estima	ated annual summer submersed aquatic macrophyte s	tanding stocks (1998 -	2018) in three large	reaches of the l	Jpper Mississippi River.	(2020A8; USGS review; Drake,
Lund, Bales, Kreilin	g; IP-122160)	aarsad macrophytas in	the Upper Mississin	ni Pivor (2020A)	). Lund and Drake) Com	plotod:
https://doi.org/10	1016/j.aquabot.2020.103344	nersed macrophytes m	the opper mississip	pi River (2020A:	e, Lunu and Drake, Con	ipieteu.
Fisheries						
		On-G	oing			
LTRM completion	eport: Exploring Years with Low Total Catch of Fishes	in Pool 26; 2016B14; Gi	ittinger, Chick <mark>(Sub</mark> m	nitted to USGS 2	1 February 2021)	
Manuscript: Evider journal, resubmitti	nce of functionally defined non-random fish communiting to Hydrobiologia)	ty responses over 25 ye	ears in a large river s	ystem (Ickes; 20	19B13 replacing 2015B	17 and 2016B17; Not accepted at
LTRM Completion Partnership 10-9-2	Report: Developing a biochronology of smallmouth bu 020	ffalo growth for the Up	oper Mississippi and	Illinois Rivers, lo	ckes with Solomon (202	0B12; tied to 2018SMBF4) <mark>Sent to</mark>
Water Quality						
		Intended for	Distribution			
Manuscript: The eo differ between rive	cology of ice across the river continuum (New tracking ers. Submitted to JGR Biogeosciences	number 2021RC1) Aut	hors review the liter	ature on how ri	ver ice processes and t	neir impact on ecological processes
Manuscript: Warm 7 June, IP-124099)	er winters increase phytoplankton biomass in a large	floodplain river. <mark>(Janko</mark>	wski, Kathi Jo; House	er, Jeff N.; Schue	erell, Mark D.; Smits, Ac	Irianne P.; reconcilation to journal,
Statistical Evaluati	on					
		Intended for	distribution			
Manuscript: Inferr	ng decreases in among- backwater heterogeneity in la	irge rivers using among	-backwater variatio	n in limnologica	Il variables <mark>(2010E1; IP-</mark>	027392; Gray; in journal review)
Manuscript: How w	vell do trends in LTRM percent frequency of occurrence	e SAV statistics track tr	ends in true occurre	ence? <mark>(2016E2;</mark> l	P-123221; Gray; in jour	nal review)
Manuscript: Mode	I selection for ecological community data using tree sh	nrinkage priors; Gray, H	efley, Zhang, Bouska	a; (2017FA2; IP-	111931; in revision with	ecological Applications)
Manuscript: Proba https://doi.org/10	bilities of detecting submersed aquatic vegetation spe 1016/j.aquabot.2021.103375	cies using a rake metho	od may vary with bic	omass; 2020E1;	Completed; Aquatic Bo	tany, 171:103375,
Pool 12 Overwinte	ring HREP Adaptive Management Fisheries Response	Monitoring				
Fisheries Population	on Monitoring	-				
2021P13d	Age determination of bluegills	1-Feb-21			Delayed due to retirement of Bowler	Kueter
2021P13e	In-house project databases updated	31-Mar-21			Delayed due to retirement of Bowler	Kueter
2021P13f	Summary letter compiled and made available to program partners	30-Sep-21				Kueter

Tracking number	Milestone	Original Target Date	Modified Target Date	Date Completed	Comments	Lead	
Pool 4 - Peterson Lake HREP Water Quality Monitoring – Pre and Post-Adaptive Management Evaluation							
2017PL5	Summary letter: Tabular and graphical summary of water quality data	Dec. 2020		19-Jan-21		Burdis, Lund, Moore	
	FY18 Funded Sci	ence in Support of Res	toration and Mana	gement Proposa	als		
<b>Conceptual Model</b>	and Hierarchical Classification of Hydrogeomorphic Se	ettings in the UMRS		-			
2019CM4	GIS data base and query tool	31-Dec-2019	On-going		Prototype developed	Fitzpatrick, Henderson, Rogala, Erwin, Sawyer, Strange	
2019CM5	Submit draft LTRM Completion report on hydrogeomorphic conceptual model and hierarchical classification system	31-Dec-2019	30-Aug-2020			Fitzpatrick, Henderson, Rogala, Erwin, Sawyer, Strange	
2019CM6	Submit Final LTRM Completion report on hydrogeomorphic conceptual model and hierarchical classification system	30-Jun-2020	30-Dec-2020			Fitzpatrick, Henderson, Rogala, Erwin, Sawyer, Strange	
Develop a better u	nderstanding of geomorphic changes through repeate	d measurement of be	d elevation and ove	erlay of land cov	er data		
	Determine geomorphic char	iges in selected side cl	nannels of selected	reaches using h	ydroacoustics		
2021GC1	Final Completion Report; IP-121033	28-Apr-2021		23-Apr-2021	Waiting for data release	Strange	
	Establish a network of transects in backwaters to measure sedimentation						
2019GC6	Complete setting monuments and surveying remaining transects	30-Sep-2020		Delayed due to	o Covid-19 state travel Work progressing in	Kalas	
2019GC7	Complete database for all transects.	30-Sep-2020		Pools 4 and 8 until tr	Other pools delayed avel ban lifted	Kalas	
Water Exchange Ra	ates and Change in UMRS Channels and Backwaters, 1	980 to Present		•			
2019WE2	Base Maps of Discharge Measurement Location	31-May-2019	31-May-2021			Le Claire	
2019WE3	Submit draft LTRM Completion Report	30-Sep-2019	30-Sep-2021			Hendrickson	
2019WE4	Submit Final LTRM Completion Report	30-Mar-2020	30-Dec-2021			Hendrickson	
Intrinsic and extrin	sic regulation of water clarity over a 950-km longitudi	nal gradient of the UN	1RS				
2019IE3	Submit Draft manuscript	30-Mar-2020		PIs determined biomass inform	that to move forward nation is needed. Will	Drake, Carhart and others	
2019IE4	Submit Final manuscript	30-Dec-2020	TBD	continue work once biomass model complete		Drake, Carhart and others	
Effectiveness of Lo	ng Term Resource Monitoring vegetation data to quar	ntify waterfowl habita	t quality				
Thesis; 2019WF8; 5	Schmidt, Straub, Schultz (Undergoing revision)						
Understanding con	straints on submersed vegetation distribution in the l	JMRS: the role of wat	er level fluctuation	s and clarity			
Manuscript: Under Carhart et al., Wetl https://www.scien	anuscript: Understanding Constraints on Submersed Vegetation Distribution in a Large, Floodplain River: the Role of Water Level Fluctuations, Water Clarity and River Geomorphology; arhart et al., Wetlands volume 41, Article number: 57; https://doi.org/10.1007/s13157-021-01454-1. Data available at: https://www.sciencebase.gov/catalog/item/5f6f701c82ce38aaa24c17b8 and https://umesc.usgs.gov/management/dss/umrs land cover viewer.html						

Tracking number	Milestone	Original Target Date	Modified Target Date	Date Completed	Comments	Lead
Systemic analysis o	f hydrogeomorphic influences on native freshwater m	nussels				
2019FM5	Calculate pool-wide population estimates of native mussels in Pools 8 and 13, finish assessing patterns in mussel assemblages across a gradient of geomorphic indices (all pools), begin conducting statistical analyses	30-Sep-2020	30-Sep-2021		Delayed since lead technician who was to perform most of	Teresa Newton
2019FM6	Annual progress summary	30-Dec-2020	30-Dec-2021		the analyses took a	Teresa Newton
2019FM7	Complete statistical analyses and prepare geospatial maps	30-Sep-2021	30-Sep-2022		new position; new hire in place	Teresa Newton, Catherine Murphy, Jason Rohweder
2019FM8	Draft LTRM completion report	30-Sep-2021	30-Sep-2022			Teresa Newton
2019FM9	Final LTRM completion report	30-Jan-2023				Teresa Newton
Using dendrochron	ology to understand historical forest growth, stand de	evelopment, and gap o	lynamics			
2019DD6	Baseline dataset for promoting resilience of hard mast forest communities along the UMRS	30-Jun-2020	30-Aug-2021	Delay in field w	ork data collection has	Dr. Harley, Dr. Maxwell, MS students
2019DD7	Submit draft manuscript	30-Sep-2020	30-Sep-2021	time for analysis.		Dr. Harley, Dr. Maxwell, MS students
Forest canopy gap	dynamics: quantifying forest gaps and understanding	gap – level forest rege	neration			
Manuscript: Forest	canopy gap dynamics: quantifying forest gaps and unc	lerstanding gap - level	forest regeneration	in Upper Missis	sippi River floodplain fo	orests (in USGS Review, 2019FG5,
Investigating vital r	ate drivers of UMRS fishes to support management a	nd restoration				
2019VR8	Data set complete (data delivered to Ben Schlifer, physical structures delivered to BRWFS)	30-Sep-2021				Quinton Phelps
2019VR9	Submit draft manuscript (Vital rates)	31-Dec-2021				Quinton Phelps, Kristen Bouska
2019VR10	Submit draft manuscript (Drivers of vital rates)	31-Dec-2021				Quinton Phelps, Kristen Bouska
2019VR11	Submit draft manuscript (Microchemistry)	31-Dec-2021				Greg Whitledge
	FY19 Funde	d Science in Support o	of Restoration and I	Management		
Development of a s	standardized monitoring program for vegetation and f	ish response to Enviro	onmental Pool Man	agement practic	es in the Upper Missis	sippi River System
2019epm2	Progress Summary	30-Dec-2020		30-Mar-2021		Chick and McGuire
2019epm3	Draft LTRM Completion	30-Jun-2021				Chick and McGuire
2019epm4	Final LTRM Completion	30-Dec-2021				Chick and McGuire
Combining genetics	s, otolith microchemistry, and vital rate estimation to	inform restoration and	d management of f	ish populations i	n the UMRS	
2019gen3	Draft Manuscript	30-Dec-2021				Larson, Bartels, Bouska
Reforesting UMRS	forest canopy openings occupied by invasive species			1		
2019ref2	Progress Summary	30-Dec-2020		11-Feb-2021	Project delays due to high water in 2019	Guyon and Cosgriff
2019ref3	Draft LTRM Completion	30-Apr-2021				Guyon and Cosgriff
2019ref4	Final LTRM Completion	30-Sep-2021				Guyon and Cosgriff

Tracking number	Milestone	Original Target Date	Modified Target Date	Date Completed	Comments	Lead
A year of zooplank	ton community data from the habitats and pools of th	ne UMR				
2019zoo1	Progress Summary	30-Dec-2019		2-Jan-2020		Sobotka and Fulgoni
2019zoo2	Draft LTRM Completion report on utility of zooplankton community monitoring for HREP assessment	30-Dec-2020	30-Jun-2021		Sample collection delayed because of Covid-19 state processes	Sobotka and Fulgoni
2019zoo3	Final LTRM Completion report on utility of zooplankton community monitoring for HREP assessment	30-Jun-2021	30-Dec-2021			Sobotka and Fulgoni
2019zoo4	Draft LTRM Completion report on on detailing differences between pools and habitats. Report will also investigate the potential investigate the potential impacts of Asian carp on the zooplankton community.	30-Dec-2020	30-Jun-2021		Sample collection delayed because of Covid-19 state processes	Sobotka and Fulgoni
2019zoo5	Final LTRM Completion report on on detailing differences between pools and habitats. Report will also investigate the potential investigate the potential impacts of Asian carp on the zooplankton community.	30-Jun-2021	30-Dec-2021			Sobotka and Fulgoni
The Role of Large V	Vood in The Restoration of Habitat in the Upper Miss	issippi River System				
2019LW1	Progress Summary	31-Dec-2019	14-Feb-2020	12-Feb-2020		Thomsen, Jankowski
Graduate student s	uccessfully defended thesis in January 2021. He contir	nues to work on a manu	uscript version for p	ublication. 2019	9LW3	
	FY1	9 Funded Illinois Wate	erway 2020 Lock Clo	osure		
Aquatic Vegetation	a: Navigation Closure Study					
2020SAV1	Field sampling - during lock closure	30-Aug-2021				Lund, Drake, Bales, others
2020SAV2	Progress Summary	30-Dec-2021				Lund, Drake, Bales
Pre- and Post-Mair	tenance Aerial Imagery for Illinois River's Alton throu	igh Brandon Lock and I	Dams, 2019-2021.			
XXXX	Acquire 4-band aerial imagery 2020	30-Aug-21				Lubinski, Robinson, Finley, and Hop
Fish Community Re	sponse to the 2020 Illinois Waterway Lock Closure					
2020FSH1	Field sampling - during lock closure	30-Oct-2021				Lamer and Solomon
2020FSH2	Progress Summary	30-Dec-2021				Lamer and Solomon
Water Clarity and t	he IWW Lock Closures					
2021WC1	Analysis of data collected on barge -driven wave action, sediment suspension, and phytoplankton biomass	30-Dec-2021				Jankowski (collaborating with Fish and SAV studies)

Tracking number	Milestone	Original Target Date	Modified Target	Date	Comments	Lead
	EV20 Fund		Date Date	Completed		
Monning Dotontial	FY20 Funde	ed Science in Support of	of Restoration and i	vianagement	and Quany Tool	
Napping Potential	Sensitivity to Hydrogeomorphic Change in the Olviks	Riverscape and Develo	opment of Supportin	ng GIS Database	and Query Tool	
2021HG1	Complete annual project summary	31-Dec-2020		31-Dec-2020		Strange, Fitzpatrick
2021HG2	Conduct web meeting with core team and panelists,	30-Jan-2021		30-Jan-2021		Geomorphologist, Strange,
	introduce new geomorphologist					Fitzpatrick, all attend
2021HG3	GIS compilation of hydrogeomorphic units and	30-Mar-2021		30-Mar-2021		Strange, Fitzpatrick,
	catena					Geomorphologist, Van Appledorn
2021HG4	Conduct web meeting for presentation of results	30-Nov-2021				Geomorphologist, Strange,
	from hydrogeomorphic change classification					Fitzpatrick, all attend
	interpretation, checking, testing, and application					
2021HG5	Complete annual project summary	31-Dec-2021				Strange, Fitzpatrick
2021HG6	Submit draft LTRM Completion report on	31-Dec-2021				Geomorphologist, Strange,
	hydrogeomorphic change GIS database and query					Fitzpatrick, Van Appledorn, USACE
	system					core team
2021HG7	Submit Final LTRM Completion report on	30-Mar-2022				Geomorphologist, Strange,
	hydrogeomorphic change GIS database and query					Fitzpatrick, Van Appledorn, USACE
	tool.					core team
Improving our und	erstanding of historic, contemporary, and future UMR	S hydrology by improv	ving workflows, red	ucing redundand	cies, and setting a blue	print for modelling potential future
2021HH1	Historic and Contemporary Hydrologic Database	30-Sep-2021				M. Van Appledorn, L. Sawyer
	Release and Documentation					
2021HH2	Draft LTRM Completion Report: document database	30-Dec-2021				M. Van Appledorn, L. Sawyer
	and documentation development steps, database					
	capabilities, and quantitative summaries of the					
	hydrologic regime through time.					
2021HH3	Final LTRM Completion Report: document database	31-Mar-2022				M. Van Appledorn, L. Sawyer
	and documentation development steps, database					
	capabilities, and quantitative summaries of the					
	hydrologic regime through time					
2021HH4	Developing Future Hydrologic Scenarios Workshop:	30-Dec-2021				M. Van Appledorn, L. Sawyer
	topics include identify appropriate future climate					- pp , ,-
	and/or land-use scenarios for use in a UMRS					
	watershed model, existing hydrologic modeling					
	resources and canabilities and logistics for					
	completing a climate-changed hydrologic modeling					
	effort					
2021885	Draft I TRM Completion Report (Scenarios): This	31-Mar-2022				M Van Appledorn I. Sawver R
20211113	report will serve as the blueprint for modeling future	JI IVIUI-ZUZZ				Seal-Soileau
	hydrology to be undertaken with future funding					Scar Solieau
	opportunitios					
	opportunities.					

Tracking number	Milestone	Original Target Date	Modified Target	Date Completed	Comments	Lead
2021HH6	Final LTRM Completion Report (Scenarios): This	30-lun-2022	Dute	completed		M. Van Appledorn, L. Sawyer, B.
	report will serve as the blueprint for modeling future	0000000000000000				Seal-Soileau
	hydrology to be undertaken with future funding					
	opportunities.					
Understanding phy	vsical and ecological differences among side channels	of the Upper Mississip	pi River System			
2021SC1	Annual progress summary: data collection and					Sobotka, Strange, Bouska, McCain,
	processing, preliminary analyses, and initial methods	30-Dec-2020				Theel, Vander Vorste
	evaluation					
2021SC2	Annual progress summary on side channel					Sobotka, Strange, Bouska, McCain,
	classification scheme, recommendations for	20 Dec 2021				Theel, Vander Vorste
	additional sampling, analyses of side channel classes	30-Dec-2021				
	and ecological associations					
2021SC3	Manuscript on side channel classification scheme	20-Son-2022				Sobotka, Strange, Bouska, McCain,
	submitted for peer review	50-3ep-2022				Theel
2021SC4	Final report on UMRR management implications	20-Son-2022				Sobotka & McCain
	submitted for USGS review	30-3ep-2022				
2021SC5	Manuscript on benthic invertebrate associations with					Sobotka & Vander Vorste
	side channel characteristics submitted for USGS and	30-May-2023				
	peer review					
Refining our Upper	Mississippi River's ecosystem states framework					
2021SS1	Data integration (gather datasets, integrate)	1-Dec-2020		1-Dec-2020	Data have been	Rohweder (All assist)
					compiled into a	
					relational database.	
2021SS2	Identify states and transitions using NMDS approach	1-Mar-2021		1-Mar-2021		Larson, Carhart
2021SS3	Driver-response curves	1-May-2021				Larson
2021SS4	Workshop: vulnerability assessment	1-May-2021				Larson, Delaney
2021SS5	Annual reporting and data management update	1-Sep-2021				Larson
2021SS6	Vulnerability maps	1-Dec-2021				Delaney
2021SS7	Spatial mapping of states and changes	1-Dec-2021				Rohweder (Carhart trains)
2021SS8	TDA Mapper, regime shifts	1-May-2022				Bungula, student, Larson
2021SS9	Draft the STM, share with stakeholders	1-Sep-2022				Larson
2021SS10	Technical report, vulnerability assessment tool, and	1-Sep-2022				All
	manuscripts to IDPS for internal review					

Tracking number	Milestone	Original Target Date	Modified Target	Date	Commonts	Load		
Tracking number	Date Comp		Completed	comments	Leau			
Augmenting the UMRR fish vital rates project with greater species representation for genetics and otolith microchemistry								
2021VR1	Annual progress summary	31-Dec-2020		31-Dec-2020		Bartels, Bouska, Davis, Lamer, Tan,		
						Whitledge		
2021VR2	Annual progress summary	31-Dec-2021				Bartels, Bouska, Davis, Lamer, Tan,		
						Whitledge		
2021VR3	Submit draft manuscript (genetics)	31-Dec-2022				Davis, Tan, Lamer		
2021VR4	Submit draft manuscript (genetics - mimic/channel)	31-Dec-2022				Davis, Tan, Lamer		
2021VR5	Submit draft manuscript (constructing management	31-Dec-2022				Bartels, Bouska, Davis, Lamer,		
	units)					Larson, Phelps, Tan, Whitledge		
<b>Functional UMRS f</b>	ish community responses and their environmental as	sociations in the face o	of a changing river: I	hydrologic varial	oility, biological invasio	ons, and habitat rehabilitation		
2021FF1	Draft manuscript: Evidence of alternative trophic	30-Sep-2021				Ickes and Gatto		
	pathways for fish consumers in a large river system							
2021FF2	Draft manuscript: "Has large scale ecosystem	30-Sep-2021				Ickes and Gatto		
	rehabilitation altered functional fish community							
	expressions in the Upper Mississippi River System?"							
2021FF3	Draft Manuscript: "Why aren't bigheaded carps	30-Sep-2021				Ickes and Gatto		
	( <i>Hypophthalmichthys</i> sp.) everywhere in the Upper							
	Mississippi River System?"							
Understanding lan	dscape-scale patterns in winter conditions in the Upp	er Mississippi River Sy	stem					
2021WL1	System wide spatial layers of habitat conditions	30-Sep-2022				Mooney, Dugan, Magee		
2021WL2	Draft manuscript: Landscape scale controls on	30-Sep-2022				Mooney , Dugan, Jankowski,		
	overwintering habitat in a large river					Magee		
2021WL3	Draft manuscript: Response of oxygen dynamics to	30-Sep-2023				Jankowski, Dugan, Burdis, Kalas,		
	ice and snow phenology in backwater lakes					Kueter		
2021WL4	Draft Manuscript: Patterns in sediment	30-Sep-2023				Perner, Kreiling, Jankowski, Giblin		
	characteristics and oxygen demand across a winter							
	riverine landscape							
Forest Response to	Multiple Large-Scale Inundation Events		-					
2021FR1	Annual Summary	31-Dec-2020	Field work set to b	e initiated 2021	summer. Developing	Cosgriff, Guyon, De Jager		
				methods				
2021FR2	Annual Summary Reports & Tables	31-Dec-2021				Cosgriff, Guyon, De Jager		
2021FR3	Technical Report	1-Jun-2022				Cosgriff, Guyon, De Jager		

#### UMRR Science in Support of Restoration and Management FY2014 and FY2015 Scopes of Work May 2021 Status

Tracking	Milastona	Original	Modified	Date	Comments	Lood
number	Wilestone	<b>Target Date</b>	Target Date	Completed	comments	Leau
Plankton com	munity dynamics in Lake Pepin					
2015LPP1	Phytoplankton processing; species composition, biovolume	30-Dec-15		22-Oct-15		Burdis
2015LPP2	draft manuscript: Plankton community dynamics in Lako Ponin	20 Son 16	20 Jun 21		New analysis complete, writing	Burdis
	diant manuscript. Plankton community dynamics in Lake Pepin	30-3ep-10	30-Juli-21		ongoing	
Predictive Aqu	uative Cover Type Model - Phase 2					
2015AQ1	Develop 2-D hydraulic model of upper Pool 4	30-Sep-15		30-Sep-15		Libbey (MVP H&H)
2015AQ2	Apply model to Pool 4 and resolve discrepancies	31-Dec-15	31-Mar-16	31-Mar-16		Yin, Rogala
2015AQ3					Work terminated with resignation of	Sauer (for Yin), Rogala, Ingvalson
	Detailed summary of work for Dhases I & II	21 Dec 15		NA	Dr. Yin. Danelle Larson will re-	
		31-Dec-12		NA	evaluate vegetation modeling in a	
					future time frame	

## ATTACHMENT D

## Additional Items

- Future Meeting Schedule (D-1)
- Frequently Used Acronyms (12/21/2017) (D-2 to D-7)
- UMRR Authorization, As Amended (1/11/2021) (D-8 to D-11)
- UMRR (EMP) Operating Approach (5/2006) (D-12)

## QUARTERLY MEETINGS FUTURE MEETING SCHEDULE

	AUGUST 2021
	Remote
August 10	UMRBA Quarterly Meeting
August 11	UMRR Coordinating Committee Quarterly Meeting

	NOVEMBER 2021
	Location to be determined
November 16	UMRBA Quarterly Meeting
November 17	UMRR Coordinating Committee Quarterly Meeting

## Acronyms Frequently Used on the Upper Mississippi River System

AAR	After Action Report
A&E	Architecture and Engineering
ACRCC	Asian Carp Regional Coordinating Committee
AFB	Alternative Formulation Briefing
AHAG	Aquatic Habitat Appraisal Guide
AHRI	American Heritage Rivers Initiative
AIS	Aquatic Invasive Species
ALC	American Lands Conservancy
ALDU	Aquatic Life Designated Use(s)
AM	Adaptive Management
ANS	Aquatic Nuisance Species
AP	Advisory Panel
APE	Additional Program Element
ARRA	American Recovery and Reinvestment Act
ASA(CW)	Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil Works
A-Team	Analysis Team
ATR	Agency Technical Review
AWI	America's Watershed Initiative
AWO	American Waterways Operators
AWQMN	Ambient Water Quality Monitoring Network
BA	Biological Assessment
BATIC	Build America Transportation Investment Center
BCR	Benefit-Cost Ratio
BMPs	Best Management Practices
BO	Biological Opinion
CAP	Continuing Authorities Program
CAWS	Chicago Area Waterways System
CCC	Commodity Credit Corporation
CCP	Comprehensive Conservation Plan
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
CEQ	Council on Environmental Quality
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CG	Construction General
CIA	Computerized Inventory and Analysis
CMMP	Channel Maintenance Management Plan
COE	Corps of Engineers
COPT	Captain of the Port
CPUE	Catch Per Unit Effort
CRA	Continuing Resolution Authority
CREP	Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program
CRP	Conservation Reserve Program
CSP	Conservation Security Program
CUA	Cooperative Use Agreement
CWA	Clean Water Act
DALS	Department of Agriculture and Land Stewardship
DED	Department of Economic Development
DEM	Digital Elevation Model

DET	District Ecological Team
DEWS	Drought Early Warning System
DMMP	Dredged Material Management Plan
DNR	Department of Natural Resources
DO	Dissolved Oxygen
DOA	Department of Agriculture
DOC	Department of Conservation
DOER	Dredging Operations and Environmental Research
DOT	Department of Transportation
DPR	Definite Project Report
DQC	District Quality Control/Quality Assurance
DSS	Decision Support System
EA	Environmental Assessment
ECC	Economics Coordinating Committee
EEC	Essential Ecosystem Characteristic
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
EMAP	Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Program
EMAP-GRE	Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Program-Great Rivers Ecosystem
EMP	Environmental Management Program [Note: Former name of Upper Mississippi River Restoration Program.]
EMP-CC	Environmental Management Program Coordinating Committee
EO	Executive Order
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
EPR	External Peer Review
EQIP	Environmental Quality Incentives Program
ER	Engineering Regulation
ERDC	Engineering Research & Development Center
ESA	Endangered Species Act
EWMN	Early Warning Monitoring Network
EWP	Emergency Watershed Protection Program
FACA	Federal Advisory Committee Act
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
FDR	Flood Damage Reduction
FFS	Flow Frequency Study
FONSI	Finding of No Significant Impact
FRM	Flood Risk Management
FRST	Floodplain Restoration System Team
FSA	Farm Services Agency
FTE	Full Time Equivalent
FWCA	Fish & Wildlife Coordination Act
FWIC	Fish and Wildlife Interagency Committee
FWS	Fish and Wildlife Service
FWWG	Fish and Wildlife Work Group
FY	Fiscal Year
GAO	Government Accountability Office
GEIS	Generic Environmental Impact Statement
GI	General Investigations

GIS	Geographic Information System
GLC	Governors Liaison Committee
GLC	Great Lakes Commission
GLMRIS	Great Lakes and Mississippi River Interbasin Study
GPS	Global Positioning System
GREAT	Great River Environmental Action Team
GRP	Geographic Response Plan
HAB	Harmful Algal Bloom
HEL	Highly Erodible Land
HEP	Habitat Evaluation Procedure
HNA	Habitat Needs Assessment
HPSF	HREP Planning and Sequencing Framework
HQUSACE	Headquarters, USACE
H.R.	House of Representatives
HREP	Habitat Rehabilitation and Enhancement Project
HU	Habitat Unit
HUC	Hydrologic Unit Code
IBA	Important Bird Area
IBI	Index of Biological (Biotic) Integrity
IC	Incident Commander
ICS	Incident Command System
ICWP	Interstate Council on Water Policy
IDIQ	Indefinite Delivery/Indefinite Quantity
IEPR	Independent External Peer Review
IIA	Implementation Issues Assessment
IIFO	Illinois-Iowa Field Office (formerly RIFO - Rock Island Field Office)
ILP	Integrated License Process
IMTS	Inland Marine Transportation System
IRCC	Illinois River Coordinating Council
IRPT	Inland Rivers, Ports & Terminals
IRTC	Implementation Report to Congress
IRWG	Illinois River Work Group
ISA	Inland Sensitivity Atlas
IWR	Institute for Water Resources
IWRM	Integrated Water Resources Management
IWTF	Inland Waterways Trust Fund
IWUB	Inland Waterways Users Board
IWW	Illinois Waterway
L&D	Lock(s) and Dam
LC/LU	Land Cover/Land Use
LDB	Left Descending Bank
LERRD	Lands, Easements, Rights-of-Way, Relocation of Utilities or Other Existing Structures, and Disposal Areas
LiDAR	Light Detection and Ranging
LMR	Lower Mississippi River
LMRCC	Lower Mississippi River Conservation Committee
LOI	Letter of Intent
LTRM	Long Term Resource Monitoring

M-35	Marine Highway 35
MAFC	Mid-America Freight Coalition
MARAD	U.S. Maritime Administration
MARC 2000	Midwest Area River Coalition 2000
MICRA	Mississippi Interstate Cooperative Resource Association
MIPR	Military Interdepartmental Purchase Request
MMR	Middle Mississippi River
MMRP	Middle Mississippi River Partnership
MNRG	Midwest Natural Resources Group
MOA	Memorandum of Agreement
MoRAST	Missouri River Association of States and Tribes
MOU	Memorandum of Understanding
MRAPS	Missouri River Authorized Purposes Study
MRBI	Mississippi River Basin (Healthy Watersheds) Initiative
MRC	Mississippi River Commission
MRCC	Mississippi River Connections Collaborative
MRCTI	Mississippi River Cities and Towns Initiative
MRRC	Mississippi River Research Consortium
MR&T	Mississippi River and Tributaries (project)
MSP	Minimum Sustainable Program
MVD	Mississippi Valley Division
MVP	St. Paul District
MVR	Rock Island District
MVS	St. Louis District
NAS	National Academies of Science
NAWQA	National Water Quality Assessment
NCP	National Contingency Plan
NIDIS	National Integrated Drought Information System (NOAA)
NEBA	Net Environmental Benefit Analysis
NECC	Navigation Environmental Coordination Committee
NED	National Economic Development
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NESP	Navigation and Ecosystem Sustainability Program
NETS	Navigation Economic Technologies Program
NGO	Non-Governmental Organization
NGRREC	National Great Rivers Research and Education Center
NICC	Navigation Interests Coordinating Committee
NPDES	National Pollution Discharge Elimination System
NPS	Non-Point Source
NPS	National Park Service
NRC	National Research Council
NRCS	Natural Resources Conservation Service
NRDAR	Natural Resources Damage Assessment and Restoration
NRT	National Response Team
NSIP	National Streamflow Information Program
NWI	National Wetlands Inventory
NWR	National Wildlife Refuge
O&M	Operation and Maintenance

OHWM	Ordinary High Water Mark
OMB	Office of Management and Budget
OMRR&R	Operation, Maintenance, Repair, Rehabilitation, and Replacement
OPA	Oil Pollution Act of 1990
ORSANCO	Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Commission
OSC	On-Scene Coordinator
OSE	Other Social Effects
OSIT	On Site Inspection Team
P3	Public-Private Partnerships
PA	Programmatic Agreement
PAS	Planning Assistance to States
P&G	Principles and Guidelines
P&R	Principles and Requirements
P&S	Plans and Specifications
P&S	Principles and Standards
PCA	Pollution Control Agency
PCA	Project Cooperation Agreement
PCX	Planning Center of Expertise
PDT	Project Delivery Team
PED	Preliminary Engineering and Design
PgMP	Program Management Plan
PILT	Payments In Lieu of Taxes
PIR	Project Implementation Report
PL	Public Law
PMP	Project Management Plan
PORT	Public Outreach Team
PPA	Project Partnership Agreement
PPT	Program Planning Team
QA/QC	Quality Assurance/Quality Control
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
RCP	Regional Contingency Plan
RCPP	Regional Conservation Partnership Program
RDB	Right Descending Bank
RED	Regional Economic Development
RIFO	Rock Island Field Office (now IIFO - Illinois-Iowa Field Office)
RM	River Mile
RP	Responsible Party
RPT	Reach Planning Team
RRAT	River Resources Action Team
RRCT	River Resources Coordinating Team
RRF	River Resources Forum
RRT	Regional Response Team
RST	Regional Support Team
RTC	Report to Congress
S.	Senate
SAV	Submersed Aquatic Vegetation
SDWA	Safe Drinking Water Act
SEMA	State Emergency Management Agency

SET	System Ecological Team
SONS	Spill of National Significance
SOW	Scope of Work
SRF	State Revolving Fund
SWCD	Soil and Water Conservation District
T&E	Threatened and Endangered
TEUs	twenty-foot equivalent units
TIGER	Transportation Investment Generating Economic Recovery
TLP	Traditional License Process
TMDL	Total Maximum Daily Load
TNC	The Nature Conservancy
TSP	Tentatively selected plan
TSS	Total Suspended Solids
TVA	Tennessee Valley Authority
TWG	Technical Work Group
UMESC	Upper Midwest Environmental Sciences Center
UMIMRA	Upper Mississippi, Illinois, and Missouri Rivers Association
UMR	Upper Mississippi River
UMRBA	Upper Mississippi River Basin Association
UMRBC	Upper Mississippi River Basin Commission
UMRCC	Upper Mississippi River Conservation Committee
UMRCP	Upper Mississippi River Comprehensive Plan
UMR-IWW	Upper Mississippi River-Illinois Waterway
UMRNWFR	Upper Mississippi River National Wildlife and Fish Refuge
UMRR	Upper Mississippi River Restoration Program [Note: Formerly known as Environmental Management Program.]
UMRR CC	Upper Mississippi River Restoration Program Coordinating Committee
UMRS	Upper Mississippi River System
UMWA	Upper Mississippi Waterway Association
USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
USCG	U.S. Coast Guard
USDA	U.S. Department of Agriculture
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
VTC	Video Teleconference
WCI	Waterways Council, Inc.
WES	Waterways Experiment Station (replaced by ERDC)
WHAG	Wildlife Habitat Appraisal Guide
WHIP	Wildlife Habitat Incentives Program
WIIN	Water Infrastructure Improvements for the Nation Act
WLMTF	Water Level Management Task Force
WQ	Water Quality
WQEC	Water Quality Executive Committee
WQTF	Water Quality Task Force
WQS	Water Quality Standard
	Water Quality Standard
WRDA	Water Resources Development Act
WRDA WRP	Water Resources Development Act Wetlands Reserve Program

## Upper Mississippi River Restoration Program Authorization

Section 1103 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (P.L. 99-662) as amended by Section 405 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1990 (P.L. 101-640), Section 107 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (P.L. 102-580), Section 509 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (P.L. 106-53), Section 2 of the Water Resources Development Technical Corrections of 1999 (P.L. 106-109), Section 3177 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (P.L. 110-114), and Section 307 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2020 (P.L. 116-260).

## Additional Cost Sharing Provisions

Section 906(e) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (P.L. 99-662) as amended by Section 221 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (P.L. 106-53).

### SEC. 1103. UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER PLAN.

(a)(1) This section may be cited as the "Upper Mississippi River Management Act of 1986".

(2) To ensure the coordinated development and enhancement of the Upper Mississippi River system, it is hereby declared to be the intent of Congress to recognize that system as a nationally significant ecosystem and a nationally significant commercial navigation system. Congress further recognizes that the system provides a diversity of opportunities and experiences. The system shall be administered and regulated in recognition of its several purposes.

(b) For purposes of this section --

(1) the terms "Upper Mississippi River system" and "system" mean those river reaches having commercial navigation channels on the Mississippi River main stem north of Cairo, Illinois; the Minnesota River, Minnesota; Black River, Wisconsin; Saint Croix River, Minnesota and Wisconsin; Illinois River and Waterway, Illinois; and Kaskaskia River, Illinois;

(2) the term "Master Plan" means the comprehensive master plan for the management of the Upper Mississippi River system, dated January 1, 1982, prepared by the Upper Mississippi River Basin Commission and submitted to Congress pursuant to Public Law 95-502;

(3) the term "GREAT I, GREAT II, and GRRM studies" means the studies entitled "GREAT Environmental Action Team--GREAT I--A Study of the Upper Mississippi River", dated September 1980, "GREAT River Environmental Action Team--GREAT II--A Study of the Upper Mississippi River", dated December 1980, and "GREAT River Resource Management Study", dated September 1982; and

(4) the term "Upper Mississippi River Basin Association" means an association of the States of Illinois, Iowa, Minnesota, Missouri, and Wisconsin, formed for the purposes of cooperative effort and united assistance in the comprehensive planning for the use, protection, growth, and development of the Upper Mississippi River System.

(c)(1) Congress hereby approves the Master Plan as a guide for future water policy on the Upper Mississippi River system. Such approval shall not constitute authorization of any recommendation contained in the Master Plan.

(2) Section 101 of Public Law 95-502 is amended by striking out the last two sentences of subsection (b), striking out subsection (i), striking out the final sentence of subsection (j), and redesignating subsection "(j)" as subsection "(i)".

(d)(1) The consent of the Congress is hereby given to the States of Illinois, Iowa, Minnesota, Missouri, and Wisconsin, or any two or more of such States, to enter into negotiations for agreements, not in conflict with any law of the United States, for cooperative effort and mutual assistance in the comprehensive planning for the use, protection, growth, and development of the Upper Mississippi River system, and to establish such agencies, joint or otherwise, or designate an existing multi-State entity, as they may deem desirable for making effective such

agreements. To the extent required by Article I, section 10 of the Constitution, such agreements shall become final only after ratification by an Act of Congress.

(2) The Secretary is authorized to enter into cooperative agreements with the Upper Mississippi River Basin Association or any other agency established under paragraph (1) of this subsection to promote and facilitate active State government participation in the river system management, development, and protection.

(3) For the purpose of ensuring the coordinated planning and implementation of programs authorized in subsections (e) and (h)(2) of this section, the Secretary shall enter into an interagency agreement with the Secretary of the Interior to provide for the direct participation of, and transfer of funds to, the Fish and Wildlife Service and any other agency or bureau of the Department of the Interior for the planning, design, implementation, and evaluation of such programs.

(4) The Upper Mississippi River Basin Association or any other agency established under paragraph (1) of this subsection is hereby designated by Congress as the caretaker of the master plan. Any changes to the master plan recommended by the Secretary shall be submitted to such association or agency for review. Such association or agency may make such comments with respect to such recommendations and offer other recommended changes to the master plan as such association or agency deems appropriate and shall transmit such comments and other recommended changes to the Secretary. The Secretary shall transmit such recommendations along with the comments and other recommended changes of such association or agency to the Congress for approval within 90 days of the receipt of such comments or recommended changes.

(e) Program Authority

(1) Authority

- (A) In general. The Secretary, in consultation with the Secretary of the Interior and the States of Illinois, Iowa, Minnesota, Missouri, and Wisconsin, may undertake, as identified in the master plan
  - (i) a program for the planning, construction, and evaluation of measures for fish and wildlife habitat rehabilitation and enhancement; and
  - (ii) implementation of a long-term resource monitoring, computerized data inventory and analysis, and applied research program, including research on water quality issues affecting the Mississippi River (including elevated nutrient levels) and the development of remediation strategies.
- (B) Advisory committee. In carrying out subparagraph (A)(i), the Secretary shall establish an independent technical advisory committee to review projects, monitoring plans, and habitat and natural resource needs assessments.

(2) REPORTS. — Not later than December 31, 2004, and not later than December 31 of every sixth year thereafter, the Secretary, in consultation with the Secretary of the Interior and the States of Illinois, Iowa, Minnesota, Missouri, and Wisconsin, shall submit to Congress a report that —

(A) contains an evaluation of the programs described in paragraph (1);

(B) describes the accomplishments of each of the programs;

(C) provides updates of a systemic habitat needs assessment; and

(D) identifies any needed adjustments in the authorization of the programs.

(3) For purposes of carrying out paragraph (1)(A)(i) of this subsection, there is authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary \$40,000,000 for fiscal year 1999 and each fiscal year thereafter.

(4) For purposes of carrying out paragraph (1)(A)(ii) of this subsection, there is authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary \$15,000,000 for fiscal year 1999 and each fiscal year thereafter.

(5) Authorization of appropriations.—There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out paragraph (1)(B) \$350,000 for each of fiscal years 1999 through 2009.

(6) Transfer of amounts.—For fiscal year 1999 and each fiscal year thereafter, the Secretary, in consultation with the Secretary of the Interior and the States of Illinois, Iowa, Minnesota, Missouri, and Wisconsin, may transfer not to exceed 20 percent of the amounts appropriated to carry out clause (i) or (ii) of paragraph (1)(A) to the amounts appropriated to carry out the other of those clauses.

(7)(A) Notwithstanding the provisions of subsection (a)(2) of this section, the costs of each project carried out pursuant to paragraph (1)(A)(i) of this subsection shall be allocated between the Secretary and the appropriate non-Federal sponsor in accordance with the provisions of section 906(e) of this Act; except that the costs of operation and maintenance of projects located on Federal lands or lands owned or operated by a State or local government shall be borne by the Federal, State, or local agency that is responsible for management activities for fish and wildlife on such lands and, in the case of any project requiring non-Federal cost sharing, the non-Federal share of the cost of the project shall be 35 percent.

(B) Notwithstanding the provisions of subsection (a)(2) of this section, the cost of implementing the activities authorized by paragraph (1)(A)(ii) of this subsection shall be allocated in accordance with the provisions of section 906 of this Act, as if such activity was required to mitigate losses to fish and wildlife.

(8) None of the funds appropriated pursuant to any authorization contained in this subsection shall be considered to be chargeable to navigation.

(f) (1) The Secretary, in consultation with any agency established under subsection (d)(1) of this section, is authorized to implement a program of recreational projects for the system substantially in accordance with the recommendations of the GREAT I, GREAT II, and GRRM studies and the master plan reports. In addition, the Secretary, in consultation with any such agency, shall, at Federal expense, conduct an assessment of the economic benefits generated by recreational activities in the system. The cost of each such project shall be allocated between the Secretary and the appropriate non-Federal sponsor in accordance with title I of this Act.

(2) For purposes of carrying out the program of recreational projects authorized in paragraph (1) of this subsection, there is authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary not to exceed \$500,000 per fiscal year for each of the first 15 fiscal years beginning after the effective date of this section.

(g) The Secretary shall, in his budget request, identify those measures developed by the Secretary, in consultation with the Secretary of Transportation and any agency established under subsection (d)(1) of this section, to be undertaken to increase the capacity of specific locks throughout the system by employing nonstructural measures and making minor structural improvements.

(h)(1) The Secretary, in consultation with any agency established under subsection (d)(1) of this section, shall monitor traffic movements on the system for the purpose of verifying lock capacity, updating traffic projections, and refining the economic evaluation so as to verify the need for future capacity expansion of the system.

(2) Determination.

- (A) In general. The Secretary in consultation with the Secretary of the Interior and the States of Illinois, Iowa, Minnesota, Missouri, and Wisconsin, shall determine the need for river rehabilitation and environmental enhancement and protection based on the condition of the environment, project developments, and projected environmental impacts from implementing any proposals resulting from recommendations made under subsection (g) and paragraph (1) of this subsection.
- (B) Requirements. The Secretary shall
  - (i) complete the ongoing habitat needs assessment conducted under this paragraph not later than September 30, 2000; and
  - (ii) include in each report under subsection (e)(2) the most recent habitat needs assessment conducted under this paragraph.

(3) There is authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary such sums as may be necessary to carry out this subsection.

(i) (1) The Secretary shall, as he determines feasible, dispose of dredged material from the system pursuant to the recommendations of the GREAT I, GREAT II, and GRRM studies.

(2) The Secretary shall establish and request appropriate Federal funding for a program to facilitate productive uses of dredged material. The Secretary shall work with the States which have, within their boundaries, any part of the system to identify potential users of dredged material.

(j) The Secretary is authorized to provide for the engineering, design, and construction of a second lock at locks and dam 26, Mississippi River, Alton, Illinois and Missouri, at a total cost of \$220,000,000, with a first Federal cost of \$220,000,000. Such second lock shall be constructed at or in the vicinity of the location of the replacement lock authorized by section 102 of Public Law 95-502. Section 102 of this Act shall apply to the project authorized by this subsection.

#### SEC. 906(e). COST SHARING.

(e) In those cases when the Secretary, as part of any report to Congress, recommends activities to enhance fish and wildlife resources, the first costs of such enhancement shall be a Federal cost when--

(1) such enhancement provides benefits that are determined to be national, including benefits to species that are identified by the National Marine Fisheries Service as of national economic importance, species that are subject to treaties or international convention to which the United States is a party, and anadromous fish;

(2) such enhancement is designed to benefit species that have been listed as threatened or endangered by the Secretary of the Interior under the terms of the Endangered Species Act, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531, et seq.), or

(3) such activities are located on lands managed as a national wildlife refuge.

When benefits of enhancement do not qualify under the preceding sentence, 25 percent of such first costs of enhancement shall be provided by non-Federal interests under a schedule of reimbursement determined by the Secretary. Not more than 80 percent of the non-Federal share of such first costs may be satisfied through in-kind contributions, including facilities, supplies, and services that are necessary to carry out the enhancement project. The non-Federal share of operation, maintenance, and rehabilitation of activities to enhance fish and wildlife resources shall be 25 percent.

## EMP OPERATING APPROACH

2006 marks the 20<sup>th</sup> anniversary of the Environmental Management Program (EMP). During that time, the Program pioneered many new ideas to help deliver efficient and effective natural resource programs to the Upper Mississippi River System (UMRS). These included the creation of an effective partnership of five states, five federal agencies, and numerous NGOs; a network of six field stations monitoring the natural resources of the UMRS; and the administrative structure to encourage river managers to use both new and proven environmental restoration techniques.

EMP has a history of identifying and dealing with both natural resource and administrative challenges. The next several years represent new opportunities and challenges as Congress considers authorization of the Navigation and Environmental Sustainability Program (NESP), possible integration or merger of EMP with NESP, and changing standards for program management and execution.

We will continue to learn from both the history of EMP and experience of other programs. Charting a course for EMP over the next several years is important to the continued success of the Program. EMP will focus on the key elements of partnership, regional administration and coordination, LTRMP, and HREPs.

The fundamental focus of EMP will not change, however the way we deliver our services must change and adapt. This will include:

- further refinements in regional coordination and management,
- refinement of program goals and objectives,
- increased public outreach efforts,
- development and use of tools such as the regional HREP database and HREP Handbook,
- exploring new delivery mechanisms for contracting,
- continued refinement of the interface between LTRMP and the HREP program components, and
- scientific and management application of LTRMP information and data.

The focus of these efforts must benefit the resources of the UMRS through efficient and effective management.