

Upper Mississippi River Restoration Program Coordinating Committee Quarterly Meeting

May 24, 2023

Highlights and Action Items

Program Management

- UMRR has obligated over \$35 million, or 64.4 percent, of its \$55 million FY 23 funds, as of May 1, 2023. This marks the largest obligation in program history exceeding the previous authorized level of \$33 million, with five months left in the fiscal year.
- The President's FY 24 budget released on March 9, 2023 includes \$55 million for UMRR. In addition to UMRR, the President's FY 2024 budget includes funding over \$50 million for only two other ecosystem restoration programs through Corps of Engineers: \$415 million for South Florida Ecosystem Restoration (Everglades) and \$67 million for Columbia River Fish Mitigation.
- The draft FY 24 plan of work for UMRR at \$55 million is as follows:
 - Regional Administration and Program Efforts – \$1,675,000
 - Regional management – \$1,260,000
 - Program database – \$100,000
 - Program Support Contract – \$140,000
 - Public Outreach – \$50,000
 - Regional Project Sequencing – \$125,000
 - Regional Science and Monitoring – \$15,325,000
 - Long term resource monitoring – \$5,500,000
 - Regional science in support of restoration – \$8,350,000
 - Regional science staff support – \$200,000
 - Habitat evaluation (split across three districts) – \$1,275,000
 - Habitat Restoration – \$38,000,000
 - Rock Island District – \$11,150,000
 - St. Louis District – \$13,700,000
 - St. Paul District – \$13,050,000
 - Model certification – \$100,000

The FY 24 draft plan of work is largely consistent with the FY 23 plan of work with the addition of regional project sequencing.

- The enactment of WRDA 2022 on December 15, 2022 increased the annual authorized appropriation for UMRR to \$90 million. FY 25 will be the first year that the Administration could include an amount up to \$90 million for UMRR in its annual budget proposal.

- The UMRR 10-year implementation plan includes 10 projects in feasibility and 12 projects in design or construction. It was updated to reflect small changes to project timelines for McGregor Lake and Lower Pool 4, Big Lake in St. Paul District, Pool 12 Forestry in Rock Island District, and Clarence Cannon, Crains Islands, Harlow, and Gilead Slough in St. Louis Districts.
- **On April 10, 2023 the UMRR project team hosted the ASA(CW) Mr. Michael L. Connor on a tour of the UMRR Beaver Island Habitat Rehabilitation Enhancement Project (HREP).** The UMRR Regional Program Manager facilitated a discussion emphasizing UMRR's unique role in improving the Upper Mississippi River System ecosystem and the UMRR Program's knowledge of it.
- On January 25, 2023, an *ad hoc* committee established under direction of the UMRR Coordinating Committee met to provide perspectives on approaches, best practices, methods, and tools related to environmental justice in their agency's work. Participants included agency personnel specializing in diversity, equity, and inclusion with limited priority experience with UMRR. The *ad hoc* committee also discussed how UMRR currently approaches environmental justice through habitat rehabilitation and enhancement projects. Marshall Plumley introduced the new UMRR environmental justice dashboard that shows completed projects and those in-progress in relation to census tracts identified as disadvantaged communities. The tool builds on the program's long term investment in data management and the database and will be available to river teams during the next UMRR HREP project selection process. It may help highlight areas where work has not been done or where outreach methods may need to be modified. The tool is available at: <https://usace-mvr.maps.arcgis.com/apps/instant/portfolio/index.html?appid=5b089a1373b744b697c73014c3ad3c3b>.
- On February 21, 2023, a revised draft UMRR 2015-2025 Strategic Plan Review Report was submitted via email to Coordinating Committee members with a request to provide any comments or suggested edits by March 20, 2023. **On March 27, 2023, the UMRR Coordinating Committee met to review comments on the report and unanimously approved the draft report. The final report is anticipated to be distributed in the coming weeks.** The report describes important partner insights. The UMRR Coordinating Committee intends to use the report's findings to inform its priorities for UMRR in the near and long term, particularly as the Committee develops the program's next strategic plan. Plumley reflected on progress the program has made to advance priority actions since the survey was distributed, noting work to advance Goals 1, 2, and 4 of the report including aquatic vegetation planting at Huron Island and the creation of HREP storymaps.
- On November 11, 2022, final implementation issue papers were sent to the UMRR Coordinating Committee. A survey to advance or resolve a suite of options associated with each paper was sent via email on September 21, 2022. **The UMRR Coordinating Committee will meet following the conclusion of the quarterly meeting to prioritize implementation issues and identify agencies to lead on actions.**
- **ASA(CW) Mr. Michael L. Connor is reviewing the UMRR 2022 Report to Congress prior to transmitting it to Congress.** The Corps is drafting a press release and four-page flyer that was sent to the UMRR Communications and Outreach Team (COT) for review. Case studies on construction, science, and monitoring activities were developed for the report and can serve as a basis for future outreach efforts.
- **The Corps intends to post the LTRM Program Manager position at the end of May 2023 and hopes to fill the position before the end of July.** The position is open to current federal employees and the public and can be located in any of the three UMRS Corps Districts.
- **In response to a request from UMRR Coordinating Committee members during its March 1, 2023 meeting, Plumley said a meeting will be convened this summer to discuss outyear funding scenarios. Scenarios may include stable funding at \$55 million, up to the authorized amount of**

\$90 million, less than current funding levels or variable funding in outyears. Topics to frame the discussion include the existing portfolio of HREP projects and LTRM level of effort, the pace of additional HREPs initiating feasibility, partner capacity, additional WRDA changes, and inflation. Scenarios are anticipated to be drafted in June and a meeting is expected to be scheduled between July and November.

- **A UMRR workshop for both HREP and LTRM personnel is anticipated for winter 2023 or spring 2024. A planning committee kickoff meeting is anticipated to be held in July.** Potential workshop topics include monitoring and adaptive management, HREP/LTRM integration, HREP design handbook update, and HREP lessons learned among others.
- **The UMRR Coordinating Committee has set a recurring schedule for HREP selection process to be implemented every five years. The next project identification will endorse a selection in the third quarter of fiscal year 2025. The NESP Coordinating Committee will begin a project selection planning process for NESP in June of 2023.**
- **Scoping of the next UMRR strategic planning process is anticipated to begin later this year and the strategic planning process is anticipated to occur beginning fall of 2023.**

Communications

- Flyers are complete that describe the condition and trends of the UMRS fisheries, floodplain forests, sedimentation, water quality, and aquatic vegetation developed from the most recent Status and Trends Report. **Two coordinated releases of the flyers are being planned. The first will celebrate 2023 as the 30th year of LTRM monitoring through partnership and include the flyers on fisheries, aquatic vegetation, and water quality. The second release will acknowledge the high water in 2023 and how flooding impacts floodplain forests and sediment.** The UMRR Communications and Outreach Team (COT) will discuss the two coordinated releases at their June 7 meeting.
- **This spring, the UMRR Communications and Outreach Team will focus on developing a team framework to assist with successful communication, coordination, and collaboration.** The team is also reviewing the draft press release and flyer for the 2022 UMRR Report to Congress, supporting the 100th anniversary of the UMR National Wildlife and Fish Refuge in 2024, and supporting the rollout of the status and trends flyers communications toolkit. The Team will also hold future discussions on environmental justice communication. Anne Wurtenberger (Anne.C.Wurtenberger@usace.army.mil), in Rock Island District, has taken on the role of co-coordinator for the COT with Rachel Perrine.

UMRR Showcase Presentations

- Kevin Hanson and John Henderson, both with the Corps, presented on HREP storymaps and challenges and opportunities for HREP construction, respectively.
- Col. Jesse Curry presented Karen Hagerty with a Civilian Service Commendation Medal for outstanding performance and dedicated service to the Rock Island District for over 21 years. Hagerty led the UMRR program's LTRM element during this time.

Habitat Restoration

- MVP's planning priorities include Big Lake – Pool 4, Reno Bottoms, and Robison Lake. Reno Bottoms has entered design phase. As early as this week, MVP anticipates awarding one contract for States 1, 2, and 3 for Lower Pool 10 HREPS. McGregor Lake HREP construction is 95 percent complete. Harper's Slough HREP O&M Manual has been officially turned over to the project sponsor. Trempealeau Lake HREP is being evaluated to improve performance where harmful algal blooms have been problematic.
- MVR's planning priorities include Lower Pool 12 Forestry, Lower Pool 13 Phases I and II, Green Island, and Quincy Bay HREPs. Steamboat Island Stage II remains in design. MVR has four projects in construction: Beaver Island, Steamboat Island Stage I, Keithsburg Division Stages I and II, and Huron Island Stage III. Construction at Huron Island is complete and ERDC is surveying vegetation in June and will conduct additional plantings this summer and assessment in September 2023.
- MVS's planning priorities include West Alton Islands and Yorkinut Slough. MVS's design priorities include Harlow Island, Oakwood Bottoms, Swan Lake, and Crains Island. MVS has three projects in construction: Crains Island Stage I, Piasa and Eagles Nest Stage II, and Clarence Cannons. The contractor is on site at Piasa and Eagles Nest to survey and assemble and place pipe. Other MVS activities include requesting endorsement of the new fact sheets.

Long Term Resource Monitoring and Science

- Accomplishments of the second quarter of FY 23 include publication of the following manuscripts:
 - *22 Years of Aquatic Plant Spatiotemporal Dynamics in the Upper Mississippi River*
 - *Aquatic Vegetation Types Identified During Early and Late Phases of Vegetation Recovery in the Upper Mississippi River*
 - *Diverse Portfolios: Investing in Tributaries for Restoration of Large River Fishes in the Anthropocene*
- A hard copy publication of *Molecular Ecology* includes a cover design created by Andy Bartels highlighting the manuscript *Gene flow influences the genomic architecture of local adaptation in six riverine fish species*.
- An LTRM all-hands meeting was held April 11-13, 2023 in Muscatine.
- The LTRM Fisheries component held a field meeting on May 8-11 at the Kibbe Field Station in Pool 19.
- **The Water Quality Lab anticipates moving back to UMESC by September 30.**
- UMRR's LTRM FY 23 budget allocation is \$7 million (\$5.5 million for base monitoring and \$1.5 million for analysis under base) with an additional \$6.85 million available for "science in support of restoration and management."

- High priority funding items for science in support of restoration that were endorsed by the UMRR Coordinating Committee during or prior to the March 1, 2023 quarterly meeting total \$2,502,19 and include:
 - LTRM balance: \$331,508
 - Ecohydrology: \$469,973
 - LCU processing (last year): \$335,238
 - Vital Rates consolidated report: \$52,788
 - Macroinvertebrate contaminants: \$77,483
 - An herbarium: \$21,649
 - Future landscape modeling: \$600,136
 - Equipment (Field stations, UMESC): \$659,268
 - Proposal adjustments: (\$45,894)

- **The UMRR Coordinating Committee approved advancing the following four priority FY 22 science proposals totaling \$1,626,797:**
 - Scoping and vetting new technology and methods for use in future hydrographic and topographic surveys
 - Avian associations with management in the UMRS: filling knowledge gaps for habitat management
 - Filling in the gaps with FLAME: Spatial patterns in water quality and cyanobacteria across connectivity gradients and flow regimes in the Lower Impounded Reach of the UMR
 - Substrate stability as an indicator of abiotic habitat for the UMR benthic community

- **Items to utilize the remaining FY 23 science in support funds totaling \$2,844,108 will be presented to the Coordinating Committee at its August 9, 2023 quarterly meeting. Potential items include funding the Pool 13 HREP-Associated Research Project (HARP), updating topobathy, and initiating work on selected LTRM information needs.**

- The A-Team met on April 19, 2023. The agenda covered the following items:
 - Chloride levels on the Upper Mississippi River - presentation by Kathi Jo Jankowski
 - Lower Pool 13 HREP associated research project: understanding wind dynamics and contributing factors of water clarity, aquatic vegetation, and native freshwater mussels – presentation by Kristen Bouska
 - UMRR program updates including updating field stations descriptions
 - Rotation of the chairpersonship
 - Two-page flyers communicating the major findings from the 2022 UMRR LTRM status and trends report
 - Preliminary outputs from the LTRM Implementation Planning Team
 - LTRM science highlights and upcoming proposals
 - Acknowledgement of Karen Hagerty's service to the A-Team
 - UMRR funding update

- LTRM update
- Introduction of new staff, including field station leaders and USGS staff
- Bellevue Field Station staff

The next A-Team meeting is scheduled for July 24, 2023. Matt O’Hara, Illinois DNR, is the new chair.

- Over the past several months, the *ad hoc* LTRM implementation planning team has drafted objective statements and identified and prioritized information needs using a structured decision-making process. The team is considering the relevance of information needs to both ecosystem understanding and assessment as well as management and restoration along with the depth of current knowledge, cost, opportunity to learn, urgency, and unique capacity of LTRM to address the information need. **The *ad hoc* LTRM implementation planning team presented its tentative selection of information needs recommended for further development. The group will work to refine cost estimates and create in-depth work plan proposals for these information needs for endorsement at the August 2023 meeting.**

Other Business

Upcoming quarterly meetings are as follows:

- **August 2023 – La Crosse**
 - UMRBA quarterly meeting – August 8
 - **UMRR Coordinating Committee quarterly meeting – August 9**
- **October 2023 – St. Louis**
 - UMRBA quarterly meeting – October 24
 - **UMRR Coordinating Committee quarterly meeting – October 25**
- **February 2024 – Virtual**
 - UMRBA quarterly meeting – February 27
 - **UMRR Coordinating Committee quarterly meeting – February 28**

UMRR COORDINATING COMMITTEE - REGIONAL MANAGEMENT AND PARTNERSHIP COLLABORATION

Marshall Plumley
Regional Program Manager
St. Paul District
Rock Island District
St. Louis District

24 May 2023


 



1


REGIONAL MANAGEMENT AND PARTNERSHIP COLLABORATION

- FY 2023 Fiscal Update and FY 24 Outlook
- Environmental Justice
- Strategic and Operation Plan review
- Implementation Issues
- 2022 Report to Congress
- Program Priorities



2


FY 2023 FISCAL UPDATE AND FY 2024 OUTLOOK



3

FY 23 APPROPRIATIONS

President's Budget	\$55,000,000
House	\$55,000,000
Senate	\$55,000,000
FINAL APPROPRIATION	\$55,000,000



4

FINANCIAL REPORTING

UMRR Quarterly Budget Report: St. Paul District
FY2023 Q2 Report Date: Fri Apr 14 2023

Habitat Projects

Project Name	Non-Federal	Federal	Total	Carry In	Allocation	Funds Available	Actual Obligations
Basin Ponds, Marsh, and Wetland	-	\$6,300,000	\$6,300,000	-	-	-	\$116,941
Connelly Lake	-	\$7,413,000	\$7,413,000	-	-	-	\$8,123
Harvey Slough	-	\$19,675,000	\$19,675,000	-	-	-	\$200,615
Lower Pool 10 Island and Backwater Complex	-	\$17,000,000	\$17,000,000	-	\$3,248,000	\$3,248,000	\$288,380
Lower Pool 4, 7th Lake	-	\$18,000,000	\$18,000,000	-	\$960,000	\$960,000	\$214,104
McConkey Lake	\$23,300,000	\$23,300,000	\$46,600,000	\$181,743	\$6,783,743	\$7,418,000	\$7,418,000
Nemo Bottoms	-	\$10,000,000	\$10,000,000	\$59,000	\$500,000	\$559,000	\$165,854
Robertson Lake, Lake	-	\$12,000,000	\$12,000,000	-	\$550,000	\$550,000	\$71,481
Total	\$107,938,000	\$107,938,000	\$215,876,000	\$243,346	\$11,148,000	\$11,391,346	\$8,019,871

Habitat Rehabilitation


Subcategory	Carry In	Allocation	Funds Available	Obligations
District Program Management	-	-	-	\$181,513
Total	-	-	-	\$181,513

Regional Program Administration

Subcategory	Carry In	Allocation	Funds Available	Obligations
Habitat Eval/Monitoring	-	-	-	\$75,202
Total	-	-	-	\$75,202

St. Paul Total

Carry In	Allocation	Funds Available	Actual Obligations
\$243,346	\$11,148,000	\$11,391,346	\$8,276,595



5

FINANCIAL REPORTING

UMRR Quarterly Budget Report: Rock Island District
FY2023 Q2 Report Date: Fri Apr 14 2023

Habitat Projects

Project Name	Non-Federal	Federal	Total	Carry In	Allocation	Funds Available	Actual Obligations
Basin Ponds, Marsh, and Wetland	-	\$6,300,000	\$6,300,000	-	-	-	\$116,941
Connelly Lake	-	\$7,413,000	\$7,413,000	-	-	-	\$8,123
Harvey Slough	-	\$19,675,000	\$19,675,000	-	-	-	\$200,615
Lower Pool 10 Island and Backwater Complex	-	\$17,000,000	\$17,000,000	-	\$3,248,000	\$3,248,000	\$288,380
Lower Pool 4, 7th Lake	-	\$18,000,000	\$18,000,000	-	\$960,000	\$960,000	\$214,104
McConkey Lake	\$23,300,000	\$23,300,000	\$46,600,000	\$181,743	\$6,783,743	\$7,418,000	\$7,418,000
Nemo Bottoms	-	\$10,000,000	\$10,000,000	\$59,000	\$500,000	\$559,000	\$165,854
Robertson Lake, Lake	-	\$12,000,000	\$12,000,000	-	\$550,000	\$550,000	\$71,481
Total	\$107,938,000	\$107,938,000	\$215,876,000	\$243,346	\$11,148,000	\$11,391,346	\$8,019,871

Habitat Rehabilitation


Subcategory	Carry In	Allocation	Funds Available	Obligations
District Program Management	-	-	-	\$181,513
Total	-	-	-	\$181,513

Regional Program Administration

Subcategory	Carry In	Allocation	Funds Available	Obligations
Habitat Eval/Monitoring	-	-	-	\$75,202
Total	-	-	-	\$75,202

Rock Island Total

Carry In	Allocation	Funds Available	Actual Obligations
\$243,346	\$11,148,000	\$11,391,346	\$8,276,595



6

FINANCIAL REPORTING

UMRR Quarterly Budget Report: St. Louis District

FY2023 Q2, Report Date: Fri Apr 14 2023

Habitat Projects

Project Name	Cost Estimates			FY2023 Financials			
	Non-Federal	Federal	Total	Carry In	Allocation	Funds Available	Actual Obligations
Cherokee		\$29,800,000	\$29,800,000	-	\$950,000	\$950,000	\$97,383
Carmen		\$29,800,000	\$29,800,000	-	\$950,000	\$950,000	\$97,383
Cherokee Island		\$36,362,000	\$36,362,000	-	\$1,900,000	\$1,900,000	\$29,000
Cherokee Slough		\$11,000,000	\$11,000,000	-	\$350,000	\$350,000	\$61,200
Harlow Island		\$37,971,000	\$37,971,000	-	\$325,000	\$325,000	\$70,325
Cherokee		\$29,800,000	\$29,800,000	-	\$950,000	\$950,000	\$97,383
Booths		\$26,746,000	\$26,746,000	\$31,191	\$8,300,000	\$8,331,191	\$7,148,329
Phase 1 - Eagle's Nest Islands		-	-	\$21,510	\$425,000	\$446,510	\$178,756
Missouri Islands		-	-	-	-	-	-
Yorkland Slough, IL		\$8,500,000	\$8,500,000	\$13,681	\$375,000	\$388,681	\$288,274
Total		\$179,579,000	\$179,579,000	\$66,342	\$13,250,000	\$13,316,342	\$8,516,141

Habitat Rehabilitation

Subcategory	FY2023 Financials			
	Carry In	Allocation	Funds Available	Obligations
District Program Management	-	-	-	\$13,007
Total	-	-	-	\$13,007

Regional Program Administration

Subcategory	FY2023 Financials			
	Carry In	Allocation	Funds Available	Obligations
Habitat Eval/Monitoring	-	-	-	\$287,187
Total	-	-	-	\$287,187

	Carry In	Allocation	Funds Available	Actual Obligations
St. Louis Total	\$66,342	\$13,250,000	\$13,316,342	\$9,116,335

7

FY23 PLAN OF WORK
54.5%

	Budget	Obligations as of 1 April
TOTAL FY22 Program	\$55,000,000	\$29,964,536
Regional Administration and Program Efforts	\$ 1,550,000	\$656,669
Regional Management	\$ 1,280,000	
Program Database	\$ 100,000	
Program Support Contract (UMRBA)	\$ 120,000	
Public Outreach	\$ 50,000	
Regional Science and Monitoring	\$15,450,000	\$ 4,619,854
LTRM (Base Monitoring)	\$ 5,500,000	
UMRR Regional Science In Support Rehabilitation/Mgmt. (MIPR's, Contracts, and Labor)	\$ 8,350,000	
UMRR Regional (Integration, Adapt. Mgmt.)	\$ 200,000	
Habitat Evaluation (split between MVS,MVR,MVP)	\$ 1,275,000	
Report to Congress	\$ 125,000	
District Habitat Rehabilitation Efforts (Planning and Construction)	\$38,000,000	\$24,688,013
St. Paul District	\$11,148,000	\$ 8,201,393
Rock Island District	\$13,502,000	\$ 7,657,472
St. Louis District	\$13,250,000	\$ 8,829,148
Model Cert.	\$ 100,000	

8

FY23 PLAN OF WORK
64.4%


	Budget	Obligations as of 1 May
TOTAL FY22 Program	\$55,000,000	\$35,394,890
Regional Administration and Program Efforts	\$ 1,550,000	\$756,122
Regional Management	\$ 1,280,000	
Program Database	\$ 100,000	
Program Support Contract (UMRBA)	\$ 120,000	
Public Outreach	\$ 50,000	
Regional Science and Monitoring	\$15,450,000	\$ 8,197,303
LTRM (Base Monitoring)	\$ 5,500,000	
UMRR Regional Science In Support Rehabilitation/Mgmt. (MIPR's, Contracts, and Labor)	\$ 8,350,000	
UMRR Regional (Integration, Adapt. Mgmt.)	\$ 200,000	
Habitat Evaluation (split between MVS,MVR,MVP)	\$ 1,275,000	
Report to Congress	\$ 125,000	
District Habitat Rehabilitation Efforts (Planning and Construction)	\$38,000,000	\$26,441,465
St. Paul District	\$11,148,000	\$ 8,476,361
Rock Island District	\$13,502,000	\$ 8,160,224
St. Louis District	\$13,250,000	\$ 9,790,504
Model Cert.	\$ 100,000	\$ 14,376

9

FY 24 APPROPRIATIONS

President's Budget	\$55,000,000
House	?
Senate	?
FINAL APPROPRIATION	?

10



11

FY 24 PRESIDENTS BUDGET

South Florida Ecosystem Restoration, FL	\$415,000,000
Columbia River Fish Mitigation	\$ 66,670,000
Upper Mississippi River Restoration	\$ 55,000,000
Missouri River Shish and Wildlife Recovery	\$ 17,459,000
Lower Cape May Meadows, NJ	\$ 4,000,000
Chesapeake Bay Oyster Recovery, MD	\$ 6,450,000
Poplar Island, MD	\$ 6,000,000
Louisiana Coastal Area Ecosystem Restoration	\$ 4,875,000
Total	\$575,454,000


12

	Budget
FY24 DRAFT PLAN OF WORK	
TOTAL FY24 Program	\$55,000,000
Regional Administration and Program Efforts	\$ 1,675,000
Regional Management	\$ 1,260,000
Program Database	\$ 100,000
Program Support Contract (UMRBA)	\$ 140,000
Public Outreach	\$ 50,000
Regional Project Sequencing	\$ 125,000
Regional Science and Monitoring	\$15,325,000
LTRM (Base Monitoring)	\$ 5,500,000
UMRR Regional Science In Support Rehabilitation/Mgmt. (MIPR's, Contracts, and Labor)	\$ 8,350,000
UMRR Regional (Integration, Adapt. Mgmt.)	\$ 200,000
Habitat Evaluation (split between MVS,MVR,MVP)	\$ 1,275,000
District Habitat Rehabilitation Efforts (Planning and Construction)	\$38,000,000
St. Paul District	\$11,150,000
Rock Island District	\$13,700,000
St. Louis District	\$13,050,000
Model Cert.	\$ 100,000

13

	FY 24 PRESIDENTS BUDGET
HREP Feasibility	
• Lower Pool 4 Big Lake, WI	
• Robinson Lake, MN	
• Pool 12 Forestry, IL	
• Quincy Bay, IL	
• Lower Pool 13 Phase II	
• TBD 4 th Qtr FY 23	
• TBD 4 th Qtr FY 24	
• West Alton Islands, MO	
• Gilead Slough, IL	
• Reds Landing, IL	
HREP Design & Construction	
• McGregor Lake, WI	
• Lower Pool 10 Islands, IA	
• Reno Bottoms, MN	
• Keithsburg Division, IL	
• Steamboat Island, IA	
• Lower Pool 13, IA	
• Green Island, IA	
• Clarence Cannon, MO	
• Crains Island, IL	
• Piasa and Eagles Nest Islands, IL	
• Harlow Island, MO	
• Oakwood Bottoms, IL	

14



15



16

Assistant Secretary of the Army (Civil Works) Site Visit at the Beaver Island HREP

SUMMARY: On 10 APR, the UMRB project team hosted the ASAC(W) Mr. Michael L. Connor on a tour of the UMRB Beaver Island Habitat Rehabilitation Enhancement Project (HREP). The UMRB Regional Program Manager also facilitated a discussion emphasizing the unique role the UMRB plays in the management of the Upper Mississippi River System and highlighting the importance of the restoration, monitoring and science work the UMRB Program provides.

KEY TAKEAWAYS:


- The UMRB Program is the nations first large river ecosystem restoration and scientific monitoring program in the nation.
- The UMRB Program consistently leads the nation in execution of dollars and makes significant contributions to USACE delivery of acres restored. During the past 37 years this program has restored 119,720 acres and completed 62 projects on the Upper Mississippi River.
- UMRB, informed by the best available science, has pioneered many new and innovative engineering and planning techniques for ecosystem restoration in large river systems.

ROCK ISLAND DISTRICT


17




18




19




20




21




22




23




24



25



26



27

2015 - 2025 STRATEGIC AND OPERATIONAL PLAN REVIEW

- Review Report provided 21 February with request for comments by 20 March. Comments were incorporated along with additional feedback from 27 March discussion.
- Final Report will be distributed next week.

28

2015 - 2025 STRATEGIC AND OPERATIONAL PLAN REVIEW


- Priority Actions
 - Goal 1 Enhance Habitat**
 - Centralize HREP data and collect and digitize historic data currently stored in computers and file cabinets
 - Establish consistent and standardized HREP monitoring
 - Complete HREP project evaluation reports (PERs) across districts
 - Define appropriate temporal and spatial scales for determining physical and biotic response of habitat project objectives
 - Goal 2 Advance Knowledge**
 - Connect resilience concepts with ongoing and future restoration work
 - Goal 3 Communications**
 - Link together habitat restoration projects with existing watershed projects and upstream contributors
 - Goal 4 Partnership**
 - Create a narrative around missed restoration opportunities because of existing policies

29


2015 - 2025 STRATEGIC AND OPERATIONAL PLAN REVIEW

- Priority Actions
 - Goal 1 Enhance Habitat**
 - Centralize HREP data and collect and digitize historic data currently stored in computers and file cabinets
 - Establish consistent and standardized HREP monitoring
 - Complete HREP project evaluation reports (PERs) across districts
 - Continued HREP Storymaps
 - UMRR Database
 - Restoration features
 - Pre- and post construction/monitoring data
 - Development of standardized and consistent monitoring

30



2015 - 2025 STRATEGIC AND OPERATIONAL PLAN REVIEW



- Priority Actions
 - Enhance Habitat
 - Centralize HREP data and collect and digitize historic data currently stored in computers and file cabinets
 - Establish consistent and standardized HREP monitoring

➤ 2022 UMRR Report to Congress Summary

31





Evaluating Project Performance

Adaptive management is an iterative process of setting objectives, designing and implementing responses, monitoring responses, measuring outcomes, evaluating outcomes, and adjusting responses based on the results. Adaptive management is a key element of the USACE project adaptive management effort and is based on the project owner's vision and critical objectives. For example, adjusting project design based on the results of monitoring and evaluation activities, adjusting response and treatment activities when goals are not achieved, or adjusting the response plan when responses based from completed projects. This project adaptive management includes monitoring, evaluating, and adjusting project performance, efficiency, and ultimately leads to improved results in meeting restoration objectives.

The USACE has been the project owner for long-term restoration planning and monitoring. Since 2008, the USACE has been the project owner for all of completed USACE projects by comparison and peer review monitoring information with peer research organizations. The USACE has been the project owner for USACE National Action, which includes USACE designed long-term monitoring knowledge about a new system, monitoring conditions, control methods, and monitoring monitoring capabilities to detect trends in the system.

Case Studies in the USACE have identified projects for USACE performance evaluation, related to USACE projects, which are listed in the USACE Project Catalog.

and peer consultation data collected for new USACE. A monthly Letter (USACE Project Catalog) will be published.

5. Risk Based Design USACE Performance Evaluation

In the 5th year, the Risk Based Design conducted project performance evaluation and peer review monitoring information. The project performance evaluation was conducted with the project owner and peer review organizations. The project performance evaluation was conducted with the project owner and peer review organizations. The project performance evaluation was conducted with the project owner and peer review organizations.

6. Risk Based Design USACE Performance Evaluation

The USACE has been the project owner for long-term restoration planning and monitoring. Since 2008, the USACE has been the project owner for all of completed USACE projects by comparison and peer review monitoring information with peer research organizations. The USACE has been the project owner for USACE National Action, which includes USACE designed long-term monitoring knowledge about a new system, monitoring conditions, control methods, and monitoring monitoring capabilities to detect trends in the system.

Case Studies in the USACE have identified projects for USACE performance evaluation, related to USACE projects, which are listed in the USACE Project Catalog.

7. Peer Review USACE Performance Evaluation

The USACE has been the project owner for long-term restoration planning and monitoring. Since 2008, the USACE has been the project owner for all of completed USACE projects by comparison and peer review monitoring information with peer research organizations. The USACE has been the project owner for USACE National Action, which includes USACE designed long-term monitoring knowledge about a new system, monitoring conditions, control methods, and monitoring monitoring capabilities to detect trends in the system.

Case Studies in the USACE have identified projects for USACE performance evaluation, related to USACE projects, which are listed in the USACE Project Catalog.

8. Peer Review USACE Performance Evaluation

The USACE has been the project owner for long-term restoration planning and monitoring. Since 2008, the USACE has been the project owner for all of completed USACE projects by comparison and peer review monitoring information with peer research organizations. The USACE has been the project owner for USACE National Action, which includes USACE designed long-term monitoring knowledge about a new system, monitoring conditions, control methods, and monitoring monitoring capabilities to detect trends in the system.


Case Studies in the USACE have identified projects for USACE performance evaluation, related to USACE projects, which are listed in the USACE Project Catalog.




Upper Mississippi River Restoration
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

USACE RESTORATION AND RECONSTRUCTION (USACE R&R) PROJECT CATALOG

32



2015 - 2025 STRATEGIC AND OPERATIONAL PLAN REVIEW




- Huron Island Aquatic Vegetation Plantings & (Ex)losures
- Clarence Cannon Berm Setback
- Lower Pool 13 HREP Associated Research Project (HARP)
 - ✓ Specific Hypothesis testing and monitoring

Advance Knowledge

Communications



Partnership

- Link together habitat restoration projects with existing watershed projects and upstream contributors
- Create a narrative around missed restoration opportunities because of existing policies



Upper Mississippi River Restoration
a project of the Army Corps of Engineers


33

2015 - 2025 STRATEGIC AND OPERATIONAL PLAN REVIEW

- 2022 UMRR Report to Congress – “UMRR has seen the following impacts: 1) some habitat restoration projects have not been advanced where the NFS was a state or non-profit entity; 2) some member agencies have not chosen potential restoration opportunities when selecting projects if the projects require a NFS and, 3) in some cases, non-federal funds have not been leveraged to achieve ecosystem restoration.”

— Link together habitat restoration projects with existing watershed projects and upstream contributors



34




30

IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES




Upper Mississippi River Restoration

35



IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES



Purpose: To identify and describe the variety of issues that have the potential to affect the most efficient implementation of UMRR in the future.

Process: With each Report to Congress (RTC), there has been an attempt to ID and discuss the status of issues that may hinder implementation of UMRR. Last completed an IIA in 2013, updated for 2016 RTC, and held some discussions in 2017. In 2021, the UMRR Coordinating Committee identified the following issues for paper development, including updating three existing issues papers and drafting some new ones:



Issues:

- Project Partnership Agreements (PPAs)*
- Engaging non-traditional sponsors
- Land Acquisition
- Floodplain Regulations

- External Communications
- Federal Easement Lands
- Watershed Inputs and Climate Change
- Water Level Management

*Requires action by Congress to address

36

IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES

Timeline:

- November 2021, the UMRR Coordinating Committee reviewed draft problem statements.
- March 2022, the UMRR Coordinating Committee reviewed draft papers and provided comments.
- August 2022, the UMRR Coordinating Committee met to:
 - Review comments and draft responses and resolve unanswered questions
- November 2022 Final Issue Papers distributed minus recommendations

Next Steps:



- Prioritize implementation issues to be addressed.
- Identify lead agencies and timeframes for actions with complete consensus to address highest priority implementation issues.
- Discuss actions with broad but not complete consensus that would address highest priority implementation issues and clarify concerns

37





2022 REPORT TO CONGRESS

38


REPORT TO CONGRESS



Letters of Support

- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
- U.S. Geological Survey
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
- Upper Mississippi River Basin Association
- Missouri Department of Conservation
- Iowa Department of Natural Resources
- Minnesota Department of Natural Resources
- Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources
- Illinois Department of Natural Resources
- The Nature Conservancy
- Audubon of Minnesota, Iowa & Missouri
- American Rivers
- Mississippi Interstate Cooperative Resource Association



39

PROGRAM PRIORITIES

- LTRM Project Manager Position
- Funding Scenarios
- UMRR Workshop
- Future HREP Selection
- UMRR Strategic Plan



40

PROGRAM PRIORITIES

- LTRM Project Manager Position
 - Interdisciplinary
 - GS 12
 - Open both within the Federal Government and the Public
 - Location is negotiable within the three USACE District Offices
 - Advertisement before the end of May

41

PROGRAM PRIORITIES

- Funding Scenarios Discussion
 - Stable funding at \$55M, \$90M, Somewhere in between, Something less. Variable funding.
 - Existing portfolio of HREP projects and LTRM level of effort
 - Pace of additional HREPs initiating feasibility
 - Partner capacity
 - Additional WRDA changes, inflation
 - Example: Stable funding at \$55M, existing level of effort, existing level of partner support (people), no authorization changes, low inflation impact

Next Steps: Draft Scenarios (June) Discussion (July-November)

42






PROGRAM PRIORITIES




- **UMRR Workshop**
 - Last HREP Workshop in 2019
 - July planning committee kickoff. Request for availability.
 - Potential topics
 - ✓ Monitoring and Adaptive Management
 - ✓ HREP/LTRM Integration
 - ✓ HREP Design Handbook Update
 - ✓ HREP Lessons Learned
 - ✓ ?

43





PROGRAM PRIORITIES



- **Future HREP Selection**
 - Last completed 2020. UMRR Charter 2021
 - Need: Approved Fact Sheets available for 3rd quarter FY 25 Program (Apr - Jun 2025)
 - Next Steps: Coordinate need and timeframe (June) with Program Planning Team (UMRR Regional Program Manager, Coordinating Committee, HREP Program Managers and River Team Chairs. Focus on aligning River Team schedules with similar requests to maximize efficient use of time.

44






PROGRAM PRIORITIES



- **UMRR Strategic Plan**
 - Long term need: Develop the next UMRR Strategic and Operational Plan for the 2026-2036 planning horizon.
 - Short term need: Begin scoping of programmatic effort to develop the next UMRR Strategic Plan.
 - The current Plan took nearly two years to develop.
 - Next steps: Engage with the UMRR CC on scoping. Most likely a series of meetings beginning Fall of 2023.



45



PROGRAM PRIORITIES

	FY23 October 2022 - September 2023	FY 24 October 2023 - September 2024	FY 25 October 2024 - September 2025	FY 26 October 2025 - September 2026
Funding Scenarios				
UMRR Workshop				
Future HREP Selection				
UMRR Strategic Plan				

46

DISCUSSION

47



UMRR Status and Trends Report Flyers

Andrew Stephenson

May 24, 2023

1

Status and Trends flyers Toolkit

Strategies:

- Leverage the narrative and talking points to create more consistent communications. Utilize partners and agencies to broaden that reach.
- Create a templated approach to the rollout that will ensure alignment of messaging and ease of sharing
- Use storytelling to relay key messages, making findings relatable (read: not technical) to all targeted audiences

2

Status and Trends Flyers Toolkit

Audiences:

- Policymakers – Legislators (state and federal)
- Agency Leadership (state and federal)
- General public (recreation, anglers, students, farmers, landowners)
- Conservation / Environmental groups
- Media, particularly key publications (developing media list)
- Resource managers and scientists

3

Status and Trends Flyers Toolkit

Purpose of the toolkit:

- To promote the findings of the Ecological Status and Trends of the Upper Mississippi & Illinois Rivers report.
- Provide communication tools which can be used by UMRR partners to:
 - Offer consistent messages about the health and future of the river system;
 - Educate stakeholders about the health and future of the river system; and
 - Inform and inspire actors to take appropriate action.

4

Status and Trends Flyers Toolkit

Using the toolkit:

The toolkit was developed to assist UMRR partners in disseminating these fact sheets and information to their respective stakeholders.

Five subject areas:

Fisheries	Sedimentation
Aquatic Vegetation	Floodplain Forests
Water Quality	

Below each fact sheet subject, sample messages are listed for communication with various audiences. Corresponding photos are available on request.

5

Status and Trends Flyers Toolkit

Overall Narrative:

- Long-term resource monitoring illustrates the fundamental role of science in management of large floodplain river systems.
- The UMRS is large and diverse with many regional differences
- The river is changing and long-term monitoring across the system has allowed us to observe those changes
- There is more water more of the time.

6

Status and Trends Flyers Toolkit

Two Releases:

Celebrating 30 years of monitoring through partnership in the UMRS:

- Fisheries
- Aquatic Vegetation
- Water Quality

Acknowledging high water in 2023 and its impacts on the UMRS:

- Floodplain forests
- Sediment



7

Fisheries

Key findings

- Native fish pop.
- Recreational fish pop.
- Invasive bigheaded carps
- Forage fish

Sample talking point:

The UMRR partnership celebrates its 30th year of monitoring this summer. Long-term monitoring has captured changes to the fisheries community in the river, including data on the spread of invasive bigheaded carps (Use Photo F4)

F4



8

Aquatic Plants

Key findings

- Native aquatic plant diversity and abundance
- Aquatic plants and water clarity
- Floating plants
- Impacts of WLM on native emergent plants

Sample talking point:

This summer, the UMRR partnership celebrates its 30th year of monitoring the health of the UMRS ecosystem. During this time, monitoring has tracked how aquatic plants have rebounded in some areas of the river and where populations still struggle to re-establish. Changes to water clarity may have impacted aquatic plant success. (Use Photos AP1-4)

AP4



9

Water Quality

Key findings

- Water quality
- Phosphorus and nitrogen levels
- TSS
- Improved watershed practices

Sample talking point:

Long term monitoring of the UMRS shows that nitrogen concentrations have increased in three of six studied pools. Restoration projects and improvements to agricultural best managements practices can help to reduce these levels.



10

Floodplain Forests

Key findings

- Floodplain forests decline.
- More water more stress on floodplain forests.
- Management practices and restoration efforts

Sample talking point:

What will all this flooding mean for the UMRS? Floodplain forests are damaged when floods occur too often or when trees are under water for too long. (Use Photo FL3)

FL3



11

Sediment

Key findings

- More sediment in the system.
- Sediment impacts to backwater depths
- Sediment impacts to bank conditions for birds and vegetation.
- TSS, water clarity, and aquatic plant communities.

Sample talking point:

The UMRS is experiencing more water, more of the time. In some locations, sediment is moving to backwater lakes and reducing vital habitat for overwintering fish. In other locations, this sediment is being deposited on riverbanks, which increases habitat for willow, cottonwood, and some shorebirds. (Use Photo S4)

S4



12

UMRR COT Feedback – Providing Information

Two Releases:

Celebrating 30 years of monitoring through partnership in the UMRS:

- Fisheries
- Aquatic Vegetation
- Water Quality

Acknowledging high water in 2023 and its impacts on the UMRS:

- Floodplain forests
- Sediment



Anticipated COT discussion in June:

Are you able to participate in a coordinated message about the release of the flyers?

Which talking points resonate the most with you?

How would you modify them?







UMRR COMMUNICATION AND OUTREACH TEAM

Update

1





Spring 2023 COT Focus Areas



- Be responsive to the achievement of UMRR Strategic Plan - Goal 3 & development of the COT Framework

Engage and collaborate with other organizations and individuals to help accomplish the Upper Mississippi River Restoration vision.

2

UMRR COT Framework

Purpose (*draft language*): “This Communication and Outreach Team Framework was developed to assist the COT with successful communication, coordination and collaboration. The following objectives, strategies, and actions will focus on various methods and actions partners will be expected to follow and to communicate key information with UMRR and target audiences. Through UMRR Coordinating Committee oversight, the COT works to coordinate and implement communication-related objectives.”

Roles and Responsibilities:

It is essential to have dedicated personnel to implementing the UMRR program and each partner plays a critical and unique role in the success of the program. In order to successfully execute the COT framework, the partners of the UMRR program will continuously work together to accomplish objectives outlined below.

Each UMRR program partner will provide at least one representative to serve on the UMRR COT who will be tasked with the following:

- Attending regular COT meetings; Meetings are typically scheduled monthly.
- Provide feedback and discuss on COT efforts or activities, whether it provided per topic.
- Communicate and coordinate important outreach and engagement information to appropriate contacts within responsible agency.
- Seek opportunities to lead COT efforts and act as an Agency champion to further the program's growth and impact within their own and interagency groups.

The partners form a working relationship for advancing Environmental Justice (EJ) for the UMRR Program through collaboration with the U.S. District level (1 Strategic, Partners, UMRR programs), (2 Initiatives, and Mutual Rehabilitation and Enforcement Project development).

Process for COT Efforts

```

graph TD
    Step1[1. Identify COT Effort or Activity] --> Step2[2. Identify Issues & Topics]
    Step2 --> Step3[3. Develop Strategy & Implementation Plan]
    Step3 --> Step4[4. Review, Reevaluate and Update]
    Step4 --> Step1
  
```

Step 1: Identify COT Effort or Activity

- Identify COT effort or activity
- Identify COT effort or activity
- Identify COT effort or activity

Step 2: Identify Issues & Topics

- Identify issues and topics
- Identify issues and topics
- Identify issues and topics

Step 3: Develop Strategy & Implementation Plan

- Develop strategy and implementation plan
- Develop strategy and implementation plan
- Develop strategy and implementation plan

Step 4: Review, Reevaluate and Update

- Review, reevaluate and update
- Review, reevaluate and update
- Review, reevaluate and update

Legend:

- 1. Identify COT Effort or Activity
- 2. Identify Issues & Topics
- 3. Develop Strategy & Implementation Plan
- 4. Review, Reevaluate and Update

3



Spring 2023 Focus Areas



- Communications support for 2022 UMRR Report to Congress
- Cooperation with and communications support for UMR NWFR 100th Anniversary
- Communications support for the rollout of LTRM Status & Trends Report – review of Fact Sheet Toolkit



Sedimentation



Floodplain Forest Loss



Fisheries





MISSISSIPPI RIVER AUTHORITY
100th ANNIVERSARY
1914-2014
THE FIRST 100 YEARS



Upper Mississippi River Restoration
Biology, Engineering & Design

4



Upcoming Actions and Topics

- Finalization of COT Framework
 - COT Review*
 - UMRR CC Review*
 - Finalization*
- Continued support for 2022 UMRR Report to Congress
- Continued support for LTRM Status & Trends Report rollout
- UMRR Environmental Justice Communication

5

The logo for the UMRR Communication and Outreach Team. It features a green horizontal line above the text "UMRR Communication and Outreach Team" in a large, black, sans-serif font. Below the text, there is a section titled "Points of Contact:" in a bold, black, sans-serif font. Under this title, there are two columns of contact information. The left column lists Rachel Perrine, USACE-RPEDN-PD-F @ MVR, and her email address Rachel.E.Perrine@usace.army.mil. The right column lists Anne Wurtenberger, USACE-RPEDN-PD-F @ MVR, and her email address Anne.C.Wurtenberger@usace.army.mil. In the bottom right corner, there is a logo for the Upper Mississippi River Restoration, featuring a stylized blue fish and green waves, with the text "Upper Mississippi River Restoration" and "Leading Restoring Partnership" below it.

6

UMRR-HREP STORYMAPS OVERVIEW AND DISTRICT STATUS UPDATES

Kevin Hanson
Geographer
USACE | St. Paul District

US Army Corps of Engineers
BUILDING STRONG_®
and Taking Care of People!

1

WHERE ARE WE GOING?

- What is an ArcGIS StoryMap?
- UMRR-HREP StoryMap
- UMRR-HREP StoryMap Template
- Live Demo
- USACE District Updates
- Questions

US Army Corps of Engineers
BUILDING STRONG_®
and Taking Care of People!

2

WHAT IS AN ARCGIS STORYMAP?

- ArcGIS StoryMaps is a professional story authoring web-based application provided by Esri.
- Tell a story with interactive maps, videos, photos, and text in a modern web interface.
- Display on desktops & mobile devices.
- Stored in the cloud.
- In 2022 there were 2.2 million stories.
- Used by most Federal Organizations.

Esri StoryMaps have grown rapidly since 2012.
Chart showing Published StoryMaps hosted in ArcGIS Online.

US Army Corps of Engineers
BUILDING STRONG_®
and Taking Care of People!

3

US Army Corps of Engineers
BUILDING STRONG_®
and Taking Care of People!

4

UMRR HREP STORYMAPS

- Develop StoryMap for each UMRR HREP Project
- 3 Districts – St. Paul, Rock Island, and St. Louis
- Standard Template
- Standard Reviews
- How to Access StoryMaps?
 - UMRR Program Website
 - Find an HREP Project
 - Or Project Webpage
 - Or Google Search

US Army Corps of Engineers
BUILDING STRONG_®
and Taking Care of People!

5

UMRR-HREP STORYMAP TEMPLATE

5 Sections

- Title
- About
- Objectives
- Restoration Features
- References

US Army Corps of Engineers
BUILDING STRONG_®
and Taking Care of People!

6

LIVE DEMO: INDIAN SLOUGH

BUILDING STRONG_®
and Taking Care of People!

7

DISTRICT STATUS UPDATES | MAY 2023

District	Published Completed Projects	Published Active Projects	Publish Completed Projects by 9/30/23	Publish Active Projects by 9/30/23	Publish in FY24 (10/1/23 to 9/30/24)	Total Completed Projects	Total Active Projects
St. Paul District	7 (26%)	4 (50%)	+5 (44%)	+4 (100%)	+15 (100%)	27	8
Rock Island District	8 (42%)	6 (60%)	Additional 12 are written, pending review.			19	10
St. Louis District	10 (100%)	8 (67%)	Plan to convert 18 to new StoryMap format and complete 4 active projects.			10	12
TOTAL	25	18				56	30

BUILDING STRONG_®
and Taking Care of People!

8


QUESTIONS?

BUILDING STRONG_®
and Taking Care of People!

9



48



49

PLANNING

- Robinson Lake – Pool 4, MN
 - Initial feature development
 - Public Meeting (17 May)
 - Site Visit (25 May)
- Big Lake – Pool 4, WI
 - Completed Cost/Benefit Analysis
 - Tentatively Selected Plan
 - Milestone Meeting
- Bathymetric Survey

50

DESIGN

- Reno Bottoms HREP – Pool 9, MN/IA
 - A/E Design
 - SOW Completed
 - Value Engineering Study (June-July)
 - P&S: Stage 2
- Lower Pool 10 HREP – Pool 10, IA
 - A/E Design: Stages 1, 2, 3
 - Price Negotiations
 - Award

51

CONSTRUCTION

- McGregor Lake HREP – Pool 9, WI
 - Stage I: 95% Complete
 - Stage II: Awarded base bid (Sept)
 - Awarded Option 1 (Nov)
 - Awarded remaining options (Feb)
- ✓ Bass Ponds, Marsh & Wetland HREP – MN River
 - Turned over to MN Valley Refuge for O&M
- ✓ Conway Lake HREP – Pool 9, IA
 - Project turnover in progress
- Harpers Slough HREP – Pool 9, IA
 - O&M Manual Completed
 - As-Built in progress
 - Project turnover

CLOSE-OUT







O&M Manuals

52

OTHER ACTIVITIES

Trempealeau HREP: Re-evaluation

53



PLANNING

West Alton Islands, MO, HREP (Pool 26)

- Continue Feasibility Planning
- H&H addressing no rise model
- Report Development
- TSP Late 4th Qtr. / early 1st Qtr. FY24

Yorkinut Slough, IL HREP (IL River)

- Continue Feasibility Planning
- Completed open house, reviews completed
- Addressing comments
- Cultural survey underway
- Complete Draft Report 1st Qtr. FY24

Other Activities

- New Fact Sheets

New Feasibility Studies (Pool 25)

- 1st Qtr FY 24 Partner Kick-off scheduled
- Gilead Slough, IL FWS
- Reds Landing, IL IDNR

60

DESIGN

Crains Island, IL HREP (Open River)

- Stage 2 Earthwork and Excavation, Complet:
- BCOES Review on P&S
- Stage 3 FY24

Harlow Island, IL HREP (Open River)

- Stage 1, BCOES Late FY24
- Stage 2, P&S underway
- 65% Review wrapping up
- 95% moving forward

Swan Lake, IL HREP Flood Damage Rehabilitation

- PED Scoping / Scheduling effort underway
- P&S Package FY24

Oakwood Bottoms, IL, HREP (Open River)

- Complete P&S packages 3rd / 4th Quarters FY23
- 1) Pump Station, 2) Well Pumps, & 3) North & South Units Earthwork / Water Control Structures

61

CONSTRUCTION

Crains Island, IL HREP (Open River) FWS

- Channel Cleanout summer FY23
- Stage 2 Construction Award FY24 (Pending Funding Availability)

Piasa & Eagles Nest, IL HREP (Pool 26) IDNR

- Island Naming Contest with local grade schools
- Recommended names submitted to USGS (Agency Responsible for Island Names)
- Stage II - Side Channel Excavation and Island Construction
- Contract Awarded 2 Feb 2023 \$11.0M
- Contractor onsite, pipe assembled, survey underway, pipe placement underway

62

CONSTRUCTION (continued)

Clarence Cannon Refuge, MO (Pool 25)

- Exterior Berm (Levee) Setback
- Levee Degraded partially open to river now
- Placing roadway

Reforestation Fall 2023

- Initial tree planting
- Task order for work
- BPA to supply trees

63

OTHER ACTIVITIES

UMRR-CC Endorsement Request

New MVS Fact Sheet

Meredosia Island, IL HREP (Illinois River)

- New Fact Sheet FWS Refuges
- Sponsor Support Correspondence
- Nov 2022 (Actual)
- MVS River Team Endorsement (RRAT Exec)
- Mar 2023 (Actual)
- UMRR-CC Endorsement
- May 2023 (Scheduled)
- USACE - Mississippi Valley Division Approval
- June 2023 (Scheduled)
- River Team Prioritization Process
- Next Exercise Schedule - TBD

Location Map

Meredosia Island, IL HREP Draft Fact Sheet FWS

Spunky Bottoms, IL HREP Draft Fact Sheet TNO / IDNR


64

UMRR-CC Endorsement Request

Meredosia Island, IL HREP (Illinois River)

- Sponsor - Fish and Wildlife Service
- IL River Mile 71.3-79.0
- 3,645 Acres owned by FWS / Refuge established in 1973
- Historically rich / diverse habitat mosaics including some levee protected portions (wet prairies, sloughs, forests)
- FS Level Opportunities (abbreviated)
- Degraded aquatic habitats
- Altered hydrology and topography (prior ag and waterfowl clubs)
- Sedimentation, fragmentation, connectivity
- FS Level Goals (abbreviated)
- Increase depth diversity of backwaters
- Improve aquatic vegetation diversity
- Improve hydrological functions
- FS Level Potential Measures may include:
- Berm modifications and water control structures
- Excavation of open water and channels
- Reforestation and other vegetative plantings
- Fact Sheet Level Estimated Cost \$ 29M

65




1




2




3




4




5




6




7




8




9



10



11




12

Questions?



13


14





15


UMRR MONITORING AND SCIENCE UPDATE

Karen Hagerty
Rock Island District
24 May 2023



The views, opinions and findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision, unless so designated by other official documentation.

  **US Army Corps of Engineers**



1

UMRR MONITORING & SCIENCE FY23

\$55 Million UMRR Program

2 SOWs in FY23



SOW for LTRM base monitoring
\$5.5M


SOW for science in support (analysis under base)
\$1.5M

Both SOWs together are equivalent to a fully funded UMRR LTRM element \$7.0M

Science in Support of Restoration & Management
(combined with analysis under base into 1 SOW)
\$6.85M

TOTAL: \$13.85M





2

UMRR MONITORING & SCIENCE FY23

Endorsed and funded in March

Science in Support of Restoration and Management



A. LTRM balance	\$ 331,508
B. Ecohydrology	\$ 469,973
C. LCU processing (last year)	\$ 335,238
D. Vital Rates consolidated report	\$ 52,788
E. Macroinvertebrate contaminants	\$ 77,483
F. Herbarium	\$ 21,649
G. Future landscape modeling	\$ 600,136
H. Equipment (field stations, UMESC)	\$ 659,268
I. adjustments	(\$ 45,894)
J.	
Subtotal	\$2,502,149

3

FY2022 SCIENCE PROPOSALS (PENDING)

Scoping and vetting new technology and methods for use in future hydrographic and topographic surveys	Strange (UMESC), Kalas (WIDNR)	\$ 403,952
Avian associations with management in the UMRS: filling knowledge gaps for habitat management	Hohman (Audubon), Kirsch (UMESC)	\$ 388,776
Filling in the gaps with FLAME: Spatial patterns in water quality and cyanobacteria across connectivity gradients and flow regimes in the Lower Impounded Reach of the UMR	Loken, Kreiling, Jankowski (UMESC), Stanley (UW-Madison)	\$ 482,217
Substrate stability as an indicator of abiotic habitat for the UMR benthic community	Newton (UMESC)	\$ 351,852
SUB-TOTAL		\$1,626,797



 


4

UMRR MONITORING & SCIENCE FY23

Science in Support of Restoration and Management

High Priority Items (March)	\$ 2,502,149
Priority FY22 proposals (today)	\$ 1,626,797
Remaining LTRM funding	\$ 2,844,108






5

UMRR MONITORING & SCIENCE FY23

Science in Support of Restoration and Management

Remaining LTRM items for FY23 & funding	\$ 2,844,108
A. Pool 13 HARP	\$ 849,531*
B. Updating topobathy	TBD
C. Initiating work on selected LTRM Information Need	TBD



6

UMRR LTRM Implementation Planning Update

UMRR Coordinating Committee Quarterly Meeting
24 May 2023
St. Paul, Minnesota



1

Implementation Planning

Why? To prepare for potential increased funding resulting from increased UMRR authorization under WRDA 2020

Goal: Develop a set of portfolios of actions that best address UMRR management and restoration information needs



2

Implementation Planning Group

- Kirk Hansen IADNR
- Jim Lamer IRBS
- Molly Sobotka MDC
- Matt Vitello MDC
- Rob Burdis MDNR
- Nick Schlessler MDNR
- Neil Rude MDNR
- Andrew Stephenson UMRBA
- Davi Michl USACE
- Rob Cosgriff USACE
- Karen Hagerty USACE
- Matt Mangan USFWS
- Steve Winter USFWS
- Kristen Bouska USGS
- Nate De Jager USGS
- Jeff Houser USGS
- Jennie Sauer USGS (retired)
- Robb Jacobson USGS
- Jim Fischer WDNR
- Madeline Magee WDNR

Facilitators:
David Smith (USGS, retired)
Max Post van der Burg (USGS)



3

Progress

- Identified **information needs** not being addressed by ongoing monitoring and science
- Developed **criteria** for assessing the expected benefit of addressing each information need
- Estimated **cost** of addressing each information needs
- Applied an **optimization** approach for identifying the collection of information needs that would produce the most benefit for a given cost if successfully addressed
- Tentatively **selected subset of information needs** for additional development



4

Criteria for assessing Information Needs

- Relevance/Importance to Ecosystem Understanding and Assessment
- Relevance/Importance to Management and Restoration
- Depth of Current Knowledge (less current knowledge=higher score)
- Opportunity to Learn
- Urgency
- Unique capacity




5

Qualitative Value-of-information (QVoI)


- Relevance & Importance
 - Ecosystem Understanding/Assessment
 - Management and Restoration
- Depth of Current Knowledge
- Opportunity to Learn
- Cost
- Urgency
- Unique capacity




6




7




8




9



10




11



12

Scenarios considered

1. Use algorithm to optimize total expected benefits over 10 years
 - Results in **highest total benefits** over 10 years
 - Selects greatest number of information needs, but...
 - Selects more smaller effort/cost information needs rather than fewer larger effort/cost information needs.
2. Use algorithm optimize total expected benefits but constrain number of new starts each year (3, 4 or 5)
 - Selects large information needs with highest expected benefits
 - Selects fewer information needs with larger individual expected benefits
3. Select information needs with high individual expected benefits
 - Fewer Large information needs with larger expected benefits

[illegible]

- System-scale assessments of changes in floodplain vegetation
- Spatial and temporal distribution of higher trophic levels on the UMRS floodplain (reptiles, amphibians, **birds, bats**)
- Where and how the geomorphology of the river and floodplain changing and can be expected to change over planning horizons of decades to centuries
- Learning from restoration and management actions
 - Floodplain vegetation change at restoration project scales
 - Effects of restoration on habitat conditions
- Ecological condition of the transitional portion of the UMRS between Navigation Pools 13 and 26.


- Abundance, distribution, and status of zooplankton and phytoplankton
- Status and trends of mussel species within the Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Rivers
- Aquatic plant distribution
- Community composition, abundance, and distribution of native and non-native macroinvertebrates in the UMRS*

- Currently revising and refining tentatively selected information needs:
 - More detailed description of the work that would be done
 - Refined cost estimate
- Develop specific recommended portfolio of information needs to begin work on during FY 24 – 26.
- Present that portfolio to the UMRR CC for consideration and endorsement at the August Quarterly meeting.

Information Need	Small group participants
System scale assessments of changes in floodplain vegetation	Nate DeJager, Rob Cosgriff, Davi Michl
Terrestrial and aquatic herpetofauna, birds, and bats	Andrew Stephenson, Nate DeJager, Rob Cosgriff, Davi Michl, Ryan Burner (USGS), Eileen Kirsch (USGS), Mark Roth (USGS), Tara Hohman (Audubon), Dale Gentry (Audubon)
Hydrogeomorphic change: Geomorphic trends	Robb Jacobson (USGS), Jeff Houser
Learning from restoration and management actions	Steve Winter, Matt Mangan, Kristen Bouska, Rob Cosgriff, Kirk Hansen
Aquatic ecology: river gradients	Molly Sobotka, Jim Lamer, Karen Hagerty, Jeff Houser

30

Information Need	Small group participants
Abundance, distribution, and status of zooplankton and phytoplankton	Molly Sobotka, Rob Burdis, Kris Maxson, Jim Lamer, Kathi Jo Jankowski, Kristen Bouska
Status and trends of mussel species within the Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Rivers	Stephen Winter, Matt Mangan, Sara Schmuecker, Megan Bradley, Davi Warden-Michl, Dan Kelner, Teresa Newton, Rob Burdis, Zeb Secrist, Nick Schlessler, Jim Lamer, Molly Sobotka,
Aquatic Plant Distribution	Danella Larson, Alicia Carhart, Eric Lund, Seth Fopma, Kirk Hansen, Levi Solomon, Jim Lamer, Karen Hagerty



19

Implementation Planning Group


- Kirk Hansen IADNR
- Jim Lamer IRBS
- Molly Sobotka MDC
- Matt Vitello MDC
- Rob Burdis MDNR
- Nick Schlessler MDNR
- Neil Rude MDNR
- Andrew Stephenson UMRBA
- Davi Michl USACE
- Rob Cosgriff USACE
- Karen Hagerty USACE
- Matt Mangan USFWS
- Steve Winter USFWS
- Kristen Bouska USGS
- Nate De Jager USGS
- Jeff Houser USGS
- Jennie Sauer USGS (retired)
- Robb Jacobson USGS
- Jim Fischer WDNR
- Madeline Magee WDNR

Facilitators:
David Smith (USGS, retired)
Max Post van der Burg (USGS)



20


Questions?



21




1



John Henderson

B.S. Agricultural Engineering
University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign
M.S. Civil Engineering
University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign
USACE – St. Paul District (2017-2023)



Habitat Project Experience

- Harper's Slough
- Conway Lake
- Harper's Slough Repair
- McGregor Lake Stage I & II
- Upper Pool 4 1122
- Upper Pool 4 Island 4
- Pigs Eye Islands
- Lower Pool 10 Stages I, II, & III
- Reno Bottoms
- Lower Pool 4 Big Lake
- Lower Pool 4 Robinson Lake
- Wacouta Bay

2



Special Thanks

Scott Baker, USACE
Tom Johnson, USACE
Kacie Opat, USACE
Tom Novak, USACE
Angela Deen, USACE
Sharonne Baylor, USFWS
Wendy Woyczik, USFWS
Kendra Pednault, USFWS
Kirk Hansen, IDNR
Pat Short, WIDNR
Jeff Janvrin, WIDNR

3

2023-2030 MVP Workload

UMRR	Other Authorities
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> McGregor Lake Stage I \$11.5M McGregor Lake Stage II \$12.8M Lower Pool 10 \$39.0M Reno Bottoms \$38.9M Lower Pool 4 Big Lake ~\$35M Lower Pool 4 Robinson Lake Up to \$40M 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pigs Eye (CAP 204) \$15.0M Upper Pool 4 (1122) \$20.0M Pool 2 Wing Dam Notching (NESP) \$0.3M Upper Pool 4 Island 4 (NESP Navigation) \$10M Upper Pool 4 Marsh Dredging (NESP) \$1.9M Wacouta Bay (NESP) \$10M Johnson Island (NESP) Up to \$12M Effigy Mounds (NESP) Up to \$12M Lock and Dam 2 Protective Island (C&H) ~\$10M
Total \$177.2M	Total \$91.2M

\$250 Million + in Mississippi River Habitat Restoration in St. Paul District from 2023 to 2030



~\$550M in total "Other" predicted St. Paul District construction workload from 2023 to 2030

Nearly 1/3 of MVP's total workload from 2023 to 2030

4

Environmental Challenges





Can we keep up?

5

Environmental Challenges


- Weather Dependency

6

Environmental Challenges

- Weather Dependency




Low Water Access – Conway Lake

7

Environmental Challenges

- Pests
 - Wildlife Grazing
 - Beetles/Mites




W2 Harper's Repair

F16 McGregor Lake

8

Environmental Challenges

- Vegetation
 - Conserving and Using Available Resources




Reclaimed Tree Structures
McGregor Lake

9

Environmental Challenges

- Vegetation
 - Conserving and Using Available Resources
 - Species Selection
 - Invasive Threats
 - Emerald Ash Borer
 - Dutch Elm Disease
 - Reed Canary Grass
 - Asian Longhorned Beetle
 - Spotted Lantern Flies
 - Tree of Heaven, Walnut, Maple
 - Alternative Species
 - Andy's Success with Sycamore, Kentucky Coffee Tree, etc
 - On my Personal Property (Central IL):
 - Bald Cypress, Dawn Redwood, Swamp Chestnut Oak



M5 – Harper's Slough HREP
3-Year-Old Sycamore


Sabited Lantern Flies
Photo by Penn St. University

Asian Longhorned Beetle
Photo by Penn St. University

10

Environmental Challenges

- Vegetation
 - Conserving and Using Available Resources
 - Species Selection
 - Invasive Threats
 - Emerald Ash Borer
 - Dutch Elm Disease
 - Reed Canary Grass
 - Maple Longhorned Beetle
 - Spotted Lantern Flies
 - Tree of Heaven, Walnut, Maple
 - Alternative Species
 - Andy's Success with Sycamore, Kentucky Coffee Tree, etc
 - On my Personal Property (Central IL):
 - Bald Cypress, Dawn Redwood, Swamp Chestnut Oak
 - Environmental Dependency
 - Natural Regeneration



M5 – Harper's Slough HREP
(3 Years after completion)

FP25 - Conway Lake HREP
(1 Year after completion)

11

Where's Wendy?



FP16.1 - Conway Lake HREP
(1 Year after completion)


12



13



14



15

16

Conway Lake HREP		
As Built	If Cost-Shared (\$7.25/CY in 2018)	If Built Entirely of Fines
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Total Granular Used: 121,181 CY Total Fines Used: 70,034 CY Total Granular Value: \$1,448,270 Total Fines Value: \$2,748,102 Misc Other Expenses: \$638,783 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Total Granular Used: 121,181 CY Total Fines Used: 70,034 CY Total Granular Value: \$1,448,270 Total Fines Value: \$2,748,102 Misc Other Expenses: \$638,783 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Total Fines Used: 70,034 ± 121,181 191,215 CY Total Fines Value: \$6,589,481 Misc Other Expenses: \$638,783
Total Project Amount and cost to UMRR: \$4,835,155	Total Project Amount: \$4,835,155 C&H Contribution = (121,181 * \$7.25) (-) \$878,562 Total Cost to UMRR = \$3,956,592	Total Project Amount and cost to UMRR: \$7,228,264

17

18



19



20



21

Cost Effective Decision Making

We don't pay for material

- Excluding rock (which typically costs \$15-20/ton delivered)
- Man Hours
- Equipment Type + Hours
- Risk
- Uncertainty, Complexity, Discontinuity, Multiple Mobilizations, Difficult building conditions such as access routes and resource availability
- Eagles, Mussels, Northern Long-Eared Bats, Exclusion Zones, Spawning Periods

Location, Location, Location

Granular
Harper's (Adjacent - \$10/CY) vs Upper Pool 4 (23 River Miles - \$35/CY)

Fines
Conway (Adjacent - \$34/CY) vs
McGregor Stage 2 (5 River Miles & Tough Dredging Conditions - \$70/CY)

The best way to limit the above issues is for all parties to understand the factors that can dramatically raise project costs

22



23



24




25



26



27



28



29




30



31



32



33

Analysis Team chair update

May 24, 2023

Matt O'Hara
Mississippi River Fisheries Biologist
Illinois Department of Natural Resources
Havana, Illinois



Notes from April 19, 2023 meeting



1


Update Outline

- Change of chairperson Matt's bio
- Acknowledgements
- April 19th meeting summary
- Reinstatement of Macroinverts
- Future meeting
- Questions and Contact

2

Matt's Bio



- Timothy Matthew (Matt) O'Hara
- Culver-Stockton College Canton, Mo
- Hired with INHS Illinois River Biological Station September 1991 started as a technician ended as large river ecologist in 2010
- Fisheries Crew leader, assistant team leader, Largemouth Bass research, HREP monitoring and evaluations, Asian Carp research, updated LTRM fisheries methods manual, developed Life history database
- Hired with ILDNR in 2010 to Present
- Aquaculture program project leader, Asian Carp project leader, Interim commercial fishing program manager, Mississippi River Fisheries Biologist Pools 18-22
- 32 years of large River experience, I have been involved with the LTRM and A team in some capacity for over 20 years, Illinois Ateam rep for last 3 years
- I live in Beardstown Illinois, I have 3 great sons, 2 beautiful grandchildren, and 1 smart dog.

3

Evolution of a river fisheries biologist!




Circa 1990's Circa 2000's Circa present day

4

Special Acknowledgements!



Karen Hagerty
 Upcoming retiree
 Congratulations!




Scott "Scotty" Gritters
 2 Term Chair for the Ateam
 Thanks Scotty!

- Dedicated river folk
- Professional
- Tons of institutional knowledge
- Thank You on behalf of the A team!

5

UMESC LTRM and USACE Websites



- Currently updated with field station information and contacts
- Next goal is to standardize information and format, agenda item for upcoming meeting
- Continue to post Ateam notes in a timely manner

6

Agenda items presented and discussed:

- UMRR funding updates- Marshall Plumley
- LTRM updates- Karen Hagerty
- LTRM science highlights- Jeff Houser
- LTRM Implementation planning- Jeff Houser
- Field Station in Focus- The people that make up the Great Bellevue Field Station – Dave Bierman and Scott Gritters
- Introduction of new staff in the UMRR LTRM –Field Station Leaders and USGS
- State Updates

7



UMRR Status and Trends flyers - Andrew Stephenson



- All flyers completed, digital and print versions
- Submitted for publishing
- A social media push anticipated this summer

8

April meeting Scientific presentations and discussions

Chloride in the Upper Mississippi River System Update to the A-Team

Kathi Jo Jankowski
U.S. Geological Survey, Upper Midwest Environmental Sciences Center
La Crosse, WI
April 19, 2023

U.S. Department of Interior
U.S. Geological Survey

This information is preliminary and is subject to revision. It is being provided to meet the need for timely best science. The information is provided on the condition that neither the U.S. Geological Survey nor the U.S. Government shall be held liable for any damages resulting from the authorized or unauthorized use of the information.


9

What are the consequences of saltier water?

- Mobilizes contaminants
- Corrosive of city infrastructure (lead pipes in Flint, underground electric wires)
- Biological effects – behavioral change, affects reproduction, alters moisture balance for reptiles/amphibians
- Other effects on habitat conditions: the facilitation of invasion of saltwater species, the interference with the natural mixing of lakes, deposition of salts on the floodplain

10

How do concentrations differ across reaches? Past (1993 vs Current)?



11

CHLORIDE PRESENTATION DISCUSSION


- After pilot project, added chloride monitoring back into regular WQ monitoring. Last done in 90s – then dropped in 2003 – but increasing concern about Chloride in the basin – were able to add that back in short term. Will share those results and potential recommendations.
- Rising trends in conductivity and chloride across US – many studies show this.
- “Freshwater Salinization syndrome” and chloride – salt pollution and human-accelerated weathering – FSS includes multiple ions from both anthropogenic and geological sources into chemical cocktails.
- Consequences include mobilizes contaminants, corrosive of city infrastructure, biological effects – behavioral change, reproduction, moisture balance for reptiles/amphibians -and other effects.
- Major sources of salts include deicing salts, fertilizer, household water softening among others.
- Item to EMPCC UMRCC keep chloride monitoring annual cost is about 45K, Possible couple with macroinverts and additional fauna to bolster data for possible chloride impact
- Formal recommendation to vote and recommend to keep the existing level of Chloride monitoring in LTRM A-team voted all AYES no Nays, more detailed budget will be provided

12

Lower Pool 13 HARP*: Understanding wind dynamics and contributing factors of water clarity, aquatic vegetation, and native freshwater mussels

*HREP associated research project


Kristen Bouska




13

Background

- Prevalence of submersed aquatic vegetation, especially wild celery (*Vallisneria spiralis*), increased from 1998 to 2008 but has since declined in Pool 13
- Water clarity in Pool 13 has exceeded criteria established for sustaining submersed aquatic vegetation in 54% of years since 1994



(Larson et al. 2022)



(Jankowski 2022)

14

Objectives

- Pilot a radar wave monitoring system to measure existing (pre-project) wave conditions in Lower Pool 13;
- Evaluate relationships between wind, waves, and turbidity, and assess the relative contributions of upstream sources and local resuspension on turbidity in the project area;
- Assess spatial patterns and quantify relationships among wild celery, turbidity, and wave dynamics through additional pre-project water clarity and aquatic vegetation field collections;
- Estimate substrate stability and population size, density, and species richness of mussels pre-project and determine if areas with stable substrates ($RSS < 1$) have more robust mussel assemblages relative to areas with unstable ($RSS > 1$) substrates.

15

Products

- A minimum of four manuscripts on the topics of:
 - Wind, wave, turbidity interactions
 - Contributions of resuspension and upstream delivery to local turbidity
 - Spatial patterns and correspondence among wave dynamics, turbidity, and aquatic vegetation
 - Linkages between native freshwater mussel assemblages and substrate stability
- Data products - Baseline, pre-project information for post-construction assessments on the effects of specific project features on wave dynamics, velocity, substrate, water clarity, aquatic vegetation, and mussels

16

LOWER POOL 13 HARP PRESENTATION DISCUSSION


- ATEAM review of proposal
- 3 fiscal year budget
- OBJ1 134K
- OBJ2 354K
- OBJ 3 173K
- OBJ4 395K
- Total \$1.1 M budget
- USACE coordination: \$25K
- Initial support for the project
- Agenda item for next Ateam to further discuss proposal and budget

17

2023 Macroinvertebrate Sampling Highlights

Macroinvertebrate Project

- La Grange Reach, Illinois River**
 - PONAR sampling completed.
 - 111 Primary Sites, 8 Alternate Sites
 - Mayflies detected at 26 of 119 PONAR sites
 - Suction Dredging completed.
 - Quiver Lake
 - North Treadway Lake
 - South Treadway Lake
- Pool 26, Mississippi River**
 - PONAR sampling underway, planned to be completed week of 5/29
 - Suction Dredging tentatively planned for week of 5/29




La Grange Reach, Illinois River PONAR sites. Mayfly presence and abundance is indicated by red circles.

18



19



20