APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 1/8/16

В.	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Rock Island District, Caterpillar, Inc., 2015-1292-SigNex
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: State:IL County/parish/borough: Peoria City: Chillicothe/Mossville Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 40.84598° Pick List, Long89.56841° Pick List. Universal Transverse Mercator: Name of nearest waterbody: Dickison Run Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Illinois River Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 07130001 Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): Office (Desk) Determination. Date: January 20, 2016 Field Determination. Date(s): By consultant July 8-10, 2015
	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the iew area. [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce Explain:
В.	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	ere Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters ² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

Non-wetland waters: 2632 linear feet: 15 width (ft) and/or 0.906 acres.

Wetlands: 5.44 acres.

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual

Elevation of established OHWM (if known): .

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: Wetlands C, G, F, appear to be isolated, depressional, farmed wetlands, with no direct surface or subsurface connection to any other water of the U.S..

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1.	TNW
1.	111 11

Identify TNW: .

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: Pick List
Drainage area: 12.55 square miles
Average annual rainfall: 36.49 inches
Average annual snowfall: 24.6 inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW:

Tributary flows directly into TNW.

Tributary flows through 2 tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are 1-2 river miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.

Project waters are 1-2 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

Identify flow route to TNW⁵: Tributary to Dickinson Run to Dickinson Run to Illinois River.

Tributary stream order, if known: .

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

	(b)	General Tributary C	Characteristics (check all that apply	<u>y):</u>			
		Tributary is:	☐ Natural				
			Artificial (man-made). Explai				
			Manipulated (man-altered). E	Explair	1: concret	te lined channel.	
		70. 11 /					
			es with respect to top of bank (estin	mate):			
		Average width					
		Average depth					
		Average side s	lopes: 4:1 (or greater).				
		Primary tributary su	bstrate composition (check all tha	t annly	<i>a</i>)•		
		Silts	Sands	и арргу	/)•	□ Concrete	
		Cobbles	Gravel			Muck	
		Bedrock	☐ Vegetation. Type/%	cover	r: 0%		
		Other. Expl					
		_ •					
		Tributary condition	stability [e.g., highly eroding, slow	ughing	banks].	Explain: Concrete lined channel.	
			e/pool complexes. Explain:				
			Relatively straight				
		Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): 2-4 %	6			
		E.					
	(c)	Flow:	Carre Consonal flore				
		Tributary provides f	imber of flow events in review are	0/1/00	20 (on a	amoston)	
			regime: Seasonal Flow (Drys out i				
						off from the adjacent CAT facilities.	
		other information o	if duration and volume. Receives	0101111	vater rain	ion nom the adjacent erri racinties.	
		Surface flow is: Con	nfined. Characteristics: Confined	to con	crete line	ed channel.	
			Explain findings: Concrete line	d char	ınel.		
		Dye (or oth	er) test performed: .				
		Tributary has (check					
		Bed and ba					
			check all indicators that apply):	\square	the press	ones of litter and debris	
			natural line impressed on the bank is in the character of soil			ence of litter and debris on of terrestrial vegetation	
		shelvin				ence of wrack line	
			ion matted down, bent, or absent		sediment		
			er disturbed or washed away	_	scour	t sorting	
			ent deposition			observed or predicted flow events	
		water s				hange in plant community	
		other (•		
		☐ Discontinue	ous OHWM. ⁷ Explain:				
						nt of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):	
			Line indicated by:			Vater Mark indicated by:	
			cum line along shore objects			available datum;	
			ell or debris deposits (foreshore)			narkings;	
			al markings/characteristics	∐ V	egetation	lines/changes in vegetation types.	
		tidal ga					
			18t).				
(iii)	Che	emical Characteristi	cs:				
·/				l, oily f	film; wat	ter quality; general watershed characteristics, et	c.)
		Explain: Water colo		-	•	,	
	Ider	ntify specific pollutan					

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

⁷Ibid.

	(iv)		logical Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply): Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): Wetland fringe. Characteristics: Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
2.	Cha	ract	eristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
	(i)		Sical Characteristics: General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size:5.44acres Wetland type. Explain:PEM Swale, PEM Farmed wetlands. Wetland quality. Explain:Lower quality agricultural wetlands. Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: No.
		(b)	General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Intermittent flow. Explain: Primarily flows during storm events.
			Surface flow is: Confined Characteristics: Confined to swale, and abutting wetlands.
grou	ındw	ater,	Subsurface flow: Yes. Explain findings: Small areas of the swale, and abutting wetlands appear to be fed by and surface runoff. Dye (or other) test performed:
		(c)	Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: ☐ Directly abutting ☐ Not directly abutting ☐ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: ☐ Ecological connection. Explain: ☐ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
		(d)	Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are 1-2 river miles from TNW. Project waters are 1-2 aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: No Flow. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the 100 - 500-year floodplain.
	(ii)	Cha	emical Characteristics: cracterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: water quality, general watershed. ntify specific pollutants, if known: agricultural surface runoff, runoff from adjacent roads
	(iii)		logical Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply): Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:PEM wetland. Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:Waterfowl.
3.	Cha		eristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any) wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: 3

3.

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: 3
Approximately (5.44) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)
Wetland A N Wetland B N Wetland Swale Y	3.81 ac. 0.66 ac. 0.97 ac.		

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: The 3.81 acre Wetland A and the 0.66 acre Wetland B directly abut and flow into the 0.97 acre Wetland Swale which abuts and flows into Tributary to Dickison Run which flows directly into the Illinois River. The tributary in combination with all of these adjacent wetlands serve to filter pollutants(sediment, chemicals and other pollutants) from stormwater runoff from the adjacent agricultural land, roadways and comercial development areas, prior to reaching the Illinois River, a TNW. the adjacent wetlands hold floodwaters, intercept sheet flow from uplands, and release waters to the tributary in a more even and constant manner. The adjacent wetlands help to maintain more consist water temperature in the tributary, which is important for some aquatic species. The tributary and adjacent wetlands provide habitat for many aquatic species that also live in TNW's.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: Tributary to Dickison Run(RPW) directly abuts a linear 0.97 acre Wetland Swale which bisects an agricultural parcel of land. The 3.81 acre Wetland A and the 0.66 acre Wetland B directly abut and flow into the wetland swale which abuts and flows into Tributary to Dickison Run which flows 350 feet into Dickison Run, which flows 1-2 miles into the Illinois River. The tributary in combination with all of these adjacent wetlands serve to filter pollutants(sediment, chemicals and other pollutants) from stormwater runoff from the adjacent agricultural land, roadways and comercial development areas, prior to reaching the Illinois River, a TNW. the adjacent wetlands hold floodwaters, intercept sheet flow from uplands, and release waters to the tributary in a more even and constant manner. The adjacent wetlands help to maintain more consist water temperature in the tributary, which is important for some aquatic species. The tributary and adjacent wetlands provide habitat for many aquatic species that also live in TNW's.

TH	AT APPLY):
1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area: TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres. Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: Review of aerial photographic images from 1995-2014, taken at different months of the year, reveal water present in the tributary channel(ditch) at different times of the year. Water was especially present in the ditch from the intersection with the wetland swale to the connection with Dickison Run, a perennial stream (RPW) located 500 feet downstream from the ditch/swale intersection point. Photos of the ditch taken in July 2015 by the wetland consultant (GHD Services, Inc.), show water present in the ditch upstream and downstream of the ditch/swale intersection point.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: 2632 linear feet15width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
3.	Non-RPWs ⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: 0.97 acre wetland swale abuts and flows directly into a tributary to Dickison Run.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 0.97 acres.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Wetland A-3.81 acres and Wetland B-0.66 acres.
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters. ⁹

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL

⁸See Footnote # 3.

	As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
E.	ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Other factors. Explain: Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: Wetlands: acres.
F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:Wetland Area C is a 0.39-acre isolated modified agricultural emergent wetland in the northeast portion of the Site. Wetland Area C is an isolated depression that does not drain to the wetland swale or the concrete lined channel within the project area. Wetland Area C is separated from the wetland swale by a small upland area (30 feet wide) and does not directly abut it. There is no direct connection between Wetland C and the wetland swale.
V	Wetland Area F is a 0.18-acre isolated modified agricultural emergent wetland in the south-central portion of the Site. Wetland Area F is an isolated depression that does not drain to the wetland swale or the concrete lined channel within the project area. There is no direct connection between the wetland swale and Wetland Area F. Wetland Area F is approximately 310± feet from the wetland swale and does not directly abut it.
Wet	tland Area G is a 0.66-acre modified agricultural emergent wetland northeast of Wetland Area F. Wetland Area G is an isolated depression that does not drain to the wetland swale or the concrete lined channel within the project area. Wetland Area G is approximately 215± feet from the wetland swale and does not directly abut it. Wetland Area G drains to erosional swales associated with the storm water drainage pipe (County Drain Pipe) collapse located in the central portion of the Site. The drainage pipe drains stormwater runoff from M-inlets along East Cedar Hills Drive and East Boy Scout Road. The stormwater drainage pipe extends off site (north and south).
Wet	tlands C, G, F, appear to be isolated, depressional, farmed wetlands, with no direct surface or subsurface connection to any other water of the U.S Other: (explain, if not covered above):
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: 1.23 acres.

⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

		vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such ading is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):
	\Box	Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres.
		Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: 1.23 acres.
		Wednings. 1.25 deles.
SEC	CTIO	N IV: DATA SOURCES.
A. \$	SUPI	PORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked
	and	requested, appropriately reference sources below):
	\boxtimes	Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant:GHD Services Inc
	$\overline{\boxtimes}$	Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
	_	☑ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
		Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
		Data sheets prepared by the Corps: .
		Corps navigable waters' study:
		U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
	_	USGS NHD data.
		USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
	\boxtimes	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Spring Bay, Illinois.
	\boxtimes	USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: NRCS Soil Survey for Peoria County, Illinois.
	$\overline{\boxtimes}$	National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:Spring Bay, Illinois.
	$\boxtimes \boxtimes \Box$	State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
		FEMA/FIRM maps:
		100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
	$\overline{\boxtimes}$	Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date):
	_	or 🖾 Other (Name & Date):Color photos supplied in GHD wetland delineation report.
		Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
		Applicable/supporting case law:
	$\overline{\boxtimes}$	Applicable/supporting scientific literature: 2007 Clean Water Act Jurisdictional Handbook, by Environmental Law Institute
	_	2007 USACE and USEPA Jurisdictional Determination Form Instructional Guidebook.
	\boxtimes	Other information (please specify):Google Earth satelite images: 1995-2014, http://www.historicaerials.com.
	_	4 1 5, 6

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: The tributary to Dickison Run, is a concrete lined ditch which appears to have been constructed in uplands in the late 1950's or early 1960's according to historic topographic maps. According to current USACE and USEPA Clean Water Act guideance, a ditch constructed in uplands which has a relatively permanent flow into waters of the U.S., or between two or more waters of the U.S., the ditch is jurisdictional under the CWA. Also the information which was reviewed shows that the ditch drains both uplands and wetlands, and has continous flow for 3 or more months of the year. Based on a review of all of the available information, we believe that the concrete lined ditch should be considered a tributary to Dickison Run, with relatively permanant flow and considered a jurisdictional water of the U.S..