SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): July 14, 2016

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: MVR, 2016-32, Clinton Regional Economic Development

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:
   State: Iowa    County/parish/borough: Clinton    City: Clinton
   Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 41.807342° N, Long. -90.328575° W
   Universal Transverse Mercator: Zone 15T 4631874.90 m N, 721739.32 m E
   Name of nearest waterbody: Unnamed, Rock Creek
   Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Mississippi River
   Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 07080103
   Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
   Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc…) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
   Office (Desk) Determination. Date: June 23, 2016
   Field Determination. Date(s):

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no “navigable waters of the U.S.” within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]
   Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
   Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.
   Explain:

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are “waters of the U.S.” within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

1. Waters of the U.S.
   a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): ¹
      - TNWs, including territorial seas
      - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
      - Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      - Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      - Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      - Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      - Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      - Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
      - Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

   b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:
      Non-wetland waters: 1184 linear feet: 5 width (ft) and/or acres.
      Wetlands: 1.81 acres.

   c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: ¹987 Delineation Manual
      Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³
   - Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional.
     Explain: Wetlands 11 (0.32 acre), 12 (0.37 acre) and 13 (1.09 acre), shown on the attached maps, are considered isolated. These wetlands are located in depressional features on agricultural lands that receive water from overland flow and precipitation. Lidar imaging shows these features as depressional, with no outlet or any feature that connects them hydrologically to any downstream waters of the U.S. Lidar images do show a swale located south of Wetland 12. This swale does not have a connection to Wetland 12 as a ridge separates this wetland from the agricultural swale.

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.
² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least “seasonally” (e.g., typically 3 months).
³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.
Topographic maps indicate flat terrain at the wetland locations and offer no indication that the wetlands are connected to any other waterbodies. The wetlands do not support a link to interstate or foreign commerce.
SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1, only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

   Identify TNW:

   Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

   Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is “adjacent”:

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under Rapanos have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are “relatively permanent waters” (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

   (i) General Area Conditions:

   Watershed size: 981 square miles
   Drainage area: Pick List
   Average annual rainfall: 34-36 inches
   Average annual snowfall: inches

   (ii) Physical Characteristics:

      (a) Relationship with TNW:

      □ Tributary flows directly into TNW.
      □ Tributary flows through 2 tributaries before entering TNW.

      Project waters are 2-5 river miles from TNW.
      Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.
      Project waters are 2-5 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
      Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.
      Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: No.

      Identify flow route to TNW: The seasonal RPW flows into Rock Creek which flows into the Mississippi River. Tributary stream order, if known: 1.

4 Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.
5 Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.
(b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):

Tributary is:  
☐ Natural  
☐ Artificial (man-made). Explain:  
☒ Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: Aerial photo review shows the area has natural drainage, but the waterbody has been manipulated extensively for agricultural purposes.

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):
- Average width: 5 feet
- Average depth: 3 feet
- Average side slopes: 2:1.

Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):
- ☒ Silts  
- ☒ Sands  
- ☒ Concrete  
- ☒ Cobbles  
- ☒ Gravel  
- ☒ Muck  
- ☒ Bedrock  
- ☒ Vegetation. Type/% cover:  
- ☒ Other. Explain: Onsite investigation was not completed to confirm the substrate composition. This was estimated based on aerial photo imagery, onsite photos and experience with these stream types.

Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Erosion is managed by agricultural drainage techniques which includes buffer establishment.

Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Does not appear to be present.

Tributary geometry: Relatively straight

Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): 1 %

(c) Flow:

Tributary provides for: Seasonal flow

Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: **20 (or greater)**

Describe flow regime: Flow is likely present during spring runoff and snow melt and then periodically throughout the year. The stream likely has significant inputs from agricultural drainage.

Other information on duration and volume:  

Surface flow is: Confined. Characteristics:  

Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings:  

☐ Dye (or other) test performed:  

Tributary has (check all that apply):
- ☒ Bed and banks  
- ☒ OHWM⁶ (check all indicators that apply):
  - ☒ clear, natural line impressed on the bank  
  - ☒ changes in the character of soil  
  - ☒ shelving  
  - ☒ vegetation matted down, bent, or absent  
  - ☒ leaf litter disturbed or washed away  
  - ☒ sediment deposition  
  - ☒ water staining  
  - ☒ other (list):  
  - ☒ Discontinuous OHWM.⁷ Explain:  

If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):

☐ High Tide Line indicated by:  
☐ Mean High Water Mark indicated by:  
☐ oil or scum line along shore objects  
☐ fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)  
☐ physical markings/characteristics  
☐ tidal gauges  
☐ other (list):  

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).

Explain: Tributary is located in an area with heavy agricultural use. Water is likely nutrient rich.

---

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody’s flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

⁷Ibid.
Identify specific pollutants, if known:  .
(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): 30-50 feet of riparian buffer with a grass dominated vegetative community.
- Wetland fringe. Characteristics: Emergent wetland approximately 0.62 acre in size.
- Habitat for:
  - Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
  - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
  - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
  - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Riparian corridor may provide limited wildlife habitat.

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics:

(a) General Wetland Characteristics:

- Properties:
  - Wetland size: 0.1 acres
  - Wetland type. Explain: Emergent.
  - Wetland quality. Explain: Low quality. Wetland is farmed most years.
- Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: No.

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

- Flow is: Intermittent flow. Explain: .
- Surface flow is: Overland sheetflow. Characteristics: .
- Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings: .
  - Dye (or other) test performed: .

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:

- Directly abutting
- Not directly abutting
  - Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Water from within the wetland flows in the RPW through a low swale in the agricultural field.
  - Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: .

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW:

- Project wetlands are 2-5 river miles from TNW.
- Project waters are 2-5 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
- Flow is from: Pick List. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the **500-year or greater** floodplain.

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

- Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: Water is likely high in nutrient content and herbicide due to its location within an agricultural setting.
- Identify specific pollutants, if known: .

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): .
- Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: Emergent 45%.
- Habitat for:
  - Federally Listed species. Explain findings: .
  - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: .
  - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: .
  - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: .

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: 5

- Approximately (5.9) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.
For each wetland, specify the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</th>
<th>Size (in acres)</th>
<th>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</th>
<th>Size (in acres)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Y</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>2. Y</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Y</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>4. Y</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. (Wetland In Question) N</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: These wetlands are providing nutrient filtering and flood water retention functions. The location of these wetlands are in a highly agricultural landscape. The filtering potential for these wetlands is high, because overland flow transports these nutrients through the wetland areas. The wetlands also provide flood water retention functions, which positively contribute to flood control in the Mississippi River.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the Rapanos Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D: 

2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: 

3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: This analysis is for Wetland 9. The wetland in question is 0.1 acre in size. This wetland is not directly abutting the tributary but a swale feature in the farm field provide an opportunity for water to consistently flow into the tributary from the wetland. Lidar imagery and features seen on aerial photography show this connection, and result in wetland adjacent to the unnamed RPW. The wetlands mainly provides flood water retention and water quality functions in the watershed. The location of the wetland is highly agricultural, so emergent vegetation within the wetland, along with those in the reach, actively treat water with high nutrient and herbicide loads. The TNW, in which water from the wetland eventually flows, is the Mississippi River. The Mississippi is known to flood frequently and also contains high amounts of nutrients from the agricultural activities in the midwest. Due to the proximity to the TNW and the flood retention and nutrient filtering capabilities of the wetlands, it has been determined that these wetlands do have a significant nexus to the downstream TNW.

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:
2. **RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

- Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial. Tributary is mapped as perennial on USGS and NHD maps. Aerial photography shows channel characteristics that support perennial flow and include: clear/defined channel, dimensions that support high flows and presence of water in all aerial photography reviewed.
- Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow “seasonally” (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally. The pictures also show a clearly defined bed and bank and OHWM. The constant water inputs from agricultural drainage, precipitation and snow melt likely provides for adequate water for the tributary to flow seasonally.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):
- Tributary waters: **1184** linear feet width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters: acres.
- Identify type(s) of waters: .

3. **Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

- Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):
- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters: acres.
- Identify type(s) of waters: .

4. **Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

- Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW.

- Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow “seasonally.” Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: .

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: **1.71** acres.

5. **Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

- Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: **0.1** acres.

8See Footnote # 3.
6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
   □ Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and
   with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this
   conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

   Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters. 9
   As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.
   □ Demonstrate that impoundment was created from “waters of the U.S.,” or
   □ Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or
   □ Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE,
DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY
SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10
   □ which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
   □ from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
   □ which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
   □ Interstate isolated waters. Explain: .
   □ Other factors. Explain: .

Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination: .

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):
   □ Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
   □ Other non-wetland waters: acres.
       Identify type(s) of waters: .
   □ Wetlands: acres.

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
   □ If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers
     Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
   □ Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
   □ Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in “SWANCC,” the review area would have been regulated based solely on the
     “Migratory Bird Rule” (MBR).
   □ Waters do not meet the “Significant Nexus” standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: .
   □ Other: (explain, if not covered above): .

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR
factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional
judgment (check all that apply):
   □ Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).
   □ Lakes/ponds: acres.
   □ Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .
   □ Wetlands: 1.78 acres.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the “Significant Nexus” standard, where such
a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):
   □ Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).
   □ Lakes/ponds: acres.
   □ Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .
   □ Wetlands: acres.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

---

9 To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
10 Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for
    review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.
A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):
- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: See administrative record.
- Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
  - Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
  - Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
- Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
- Corps navigable waters’ study: 
- U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: 
- USGS NHD data.
- USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
- U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Google earth.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Web Soil Survey.
- National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: 
- State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
- FEMA/FIRM maps: 
- 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
- Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Google earth, Iowa State Server.
  or Other (Name & Date): Site photos from delineation report.
- Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: 
- Applicable/supporting case law: 
- Applicable/supporting scientific literature: 
- Other information (please specify): Iowa State Lidar.

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: This JD covers multiple aquatic features identified in the Olsson Associates wetland delineation completed for the Lincolnway Industrial Rail and Air Park. The following features were determined to be non-jurisdictional: Wetlands 11, 12, 13

The following features were found to be jurisdictional: Channel 3, Wetland 8, Wetland 10 and Wetland 9 (significant nexus).

Additional wetlands in this report will be evaluated in additional documents.
This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION
A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): July 14, 2016

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: MVR, 2016-32, Clinton Regional Economic Development

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:
State: Iowa
County/parish/borough: Clinton
City: Clinton
Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 41.801540° N, Long. -90.328440° W
Universal Transverse Mercator: Zone 15T 4631202.79 m N, 721933.83 m E
Name of nearest waterbody: Unnamed, Rock Creek
Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Mississippi River
Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 07080103
Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc…) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
Office (Desk) Determination. Date: June 23, 2016
Field Determination. Date(s):

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS
A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
There are no “navigable waters of the U.S.” within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
There are “waters of the U.S.” within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

1. Waters of the U.S.
   a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): ¹
      - TNWs, including territorial seas
      - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
      - Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      - Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      - Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      - Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      - Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      - Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
      - Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

   b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:
      Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres.
      Wetlands: 0.22 acres.

   c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual
      Elevation of established OHWM (if known): .

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³
   - Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional.
     Explain: .

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.
² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least “seasonally” (e.g., typically 3 months).
³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.
SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW
   Identify TNW: .
   Summarize rationale supporting determination: .

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW
   Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is “adjacent”: .

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under Rapanos have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are “relatively permanent waters” (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
   (i) General Area Conditions:
      Watershed size: 981 square miles
      Drainage area: Pick List
      Average annual rainfall: 34-36 inches
      Average annual snowfall: inches

   (ii) Physical Characteristics:
      (a) Relationship with TNW:
         ☑ Tributary flows directly into TNW.
         □ Tributary flows through 2 tributaries before entering TNW.
         Project waters are 2-5 river miles from TNW.
         Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.
         Project waters are 2-5 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
         Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.
         Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: No.
         Identify flow route to TNW⁵: The non-RPW flows into Rock Creek which flows into the Mississippi River.
         Tributary stream order, if known: 1.

---
⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.
⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.
(b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):

Tributary is:
- Natural
- Artificial (man-made)
- Manipulated (man-altered)

Explain:

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):
- Average width: 5 feet
- Average depth: 2 feet
- Average side slopes: 2:1.

Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):
- Silts
- Sands
- Concrete
- Cobble
- Gravel
- Muck
- Bedrock
- Vegetation

Type/cover:
- Other

Explain: Onsite evaluation was not completed and no onsite photos were taken, as the tributary is outside the review area of the delineation.

Tributary condition/stability (e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks). Explain: Erosion appears to be managed by riprap at certain locations.

Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Does not appear to be present.

Tributary geometry: Relatively straight

Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): 1 %

(c) Flow:

Tributary provides for: Intermittent but not seasonal flow

Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: 20 (or greater)

Describe flow regime: Flow is likely present during spring runoff and snow melt and then periodically throughout the year. The stream likely has inputs from agricultural drainage.

Other information on duration and volume:

Surface flow is: Confined

Characteristics:

Subsurface flow: Pick List

Explain findings:

Dye (or other) test performed:

Tributary has (check all that apply):
- Bed and banks
- OHWM6 (check all indicators that apply):
  - clear, natural line impressed on the bank
  - changes in the character of soil
  - shelving
  - vegetation matted down, bent, or absent
  - leaf litter disturbed or washed away
  - sediment deposition
  - water staining
  - other (list):
- Discontinuous OHWM7 Explain:

If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):
- High Tide Line indicated by:
- Mean High Water Mark indicated by:

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).

Explain: Tributary is located in an area with heavy agricultural use. Water is likely nutrient rich.

---

6A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody’s flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

7Ibid.
Identify specific pollutants, if known:  .
(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):
- Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): 50-100 feet of riparian buffer with a grass dominated vegetative community.
- Wetland fringe. Characteristics: 
- Habitat for:
  - Federally Listed species. Explain findings: 
  - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: 
  - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: 
  - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Wildlife may use the riparian area for travel, foraging or protection.

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics:
(a) General Wetland Characteristics:
Properties:
- Wetland size: 0.22 acres
- Wetland type. Explain: Emergent.
- Wetland quality. Explain: Wetland quality is impacted by the agricultural setting in which it is located. Though the diversity of vegetation is not high, the vegetation provides nutrient cycling functions. The wetland also serves and important flood water retention function, considering the close proximity to the Mississippi River.
- Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: 
(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:
Flow is: Intermittent flow. Explain: The wetland is connected to the unnamed tributary through an agricultural swale. This swale can be seen in Lidar imaging and in aerial photography. While it is not connected through a defined channel, it is apparent that water within the wetland flows from the wetland to the unnamed tributary.
- Surface flow is: Overland sheetflow. Characteristics: Surface flow runs from the wetlands through the swale and into the defined channel of the unnamed tributary.
- Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings: 
  - Dye (or other) test performed: 
(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:
- Directly abutting 
  - Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: The wetland is connected to the unnamed tributary through an agricultural swale. This swale can be seen in Lidar imaging and in aerial photography. While it is not connected through a defined channel, it is apparent that water within the wetland flows from the wetland to the unnamed tributary.
- Ecological connection. Explain: 
- Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: 
(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW
- Project wetlands are 2-5 river miles from TNW.
- Project waters are 2-5 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
- Flow is from: Wetland to navigable waters. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the 500-year or greater floodplain.

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:
Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: 
Identify specific pollutants, if known: Wetland is likely high in nutrients due to its location within an agricultural field.

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):
- Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): 
  - Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: 100% monoculture of Echinochloa crus-galli.
- Habitat for:
  - Federally Listed species. Explain findings: 
  - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: 
  - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: 
  - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: The wetland may provide limited wildlife habitat.

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)
All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: 3
Approximately (2.22) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.
For each wetland, specify the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</th>
<th>Size (in acres)</th>
<th>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</th>
<th>Size (in acres)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. N</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2. N</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. N</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: The wetlands described above are set in an agricultural landscape within relatively close proximity to the Mississippi River. The wetlands primary functions are associated with water quality and flood water retention. Due to the high amount of nutrients in the system, these wetlands filter and hold nutrients in water that interact with the wetlands by overland flow. These wetlands also hold water during precipitation events and slow the release to downstream surface water features. The Mississippi River is known to flood frequently so the water retention capabilities of these types of wetland is valuable to the downstream TNW.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the Rapanos Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:

2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: The wetland in questions is Wetland 3. This wetland is connected to the downstream TNW through an agricultural swale, then through a non-RPW which flows into a RPW and then into the Mississippi River. Wetland 3 and the other adjacent wetlands to the non-RPW provide water filtration and flood water retention functions to the watershed and to the TNW. The Mississippi River is known to contain high levels of nutrients that can be attributed to the agricultural industry in the Midwest. The Mississippi River is also known to flood frequently. The wetlands associated with this tributary provide functions that would impact the TNW. Agricultural runoff is filtered through these wetlands and overland flow is held and released slowly to downstream waters. For these reasons, it has been determined that Wetland 3 does have a significant nexus to the downstream TNW, and is a jurisdictional water of the US.

3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:
TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.

Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.

2. **RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**
   
   - Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: Tributary is mapped as perennial on USGS and NHD maps. Aerial photography shows channel characteristics that support perennial flow and include: clear/defined channel, dimensions that support high flows and presence of water in all aerial photography reviewed.
   
   - Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow “seasonally” (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:

   Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):
   - Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
   - Other non-wetland waters: acres.
   - Identify type(s) of waters:

3. **Non-RPWs** that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
   
   - Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

   Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):
   - Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
   - Other non-wetland waters: acres.
   - Identify type(s) of waters:

4. **Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**
   
   - Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.
   
   - Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:

   - Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow “seasonally.” Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:

   Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

5. **Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**
   
   - Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

   Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

6. **Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**
   
   - Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

   Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 0.22 acres.

7. **Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.**
   
   - As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.
   - Demonstrate that impoundment was created from “waters of the U.S.,” or
   - Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or
   - Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

---

8See Footnote # 3.
9To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):10

- which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
- from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
- which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
- Interstate isolated waters. Explain: .
- Other factors. Explain: .

Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination: .

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters: acres.
- Identify type(s) of waters: .
- Wetlands: acres.

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
- Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in “SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the “Migratory Bird Rule” (MBR).
- Waters do not meet the “Significant Nexus” standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: .
- Other: (explain, if not covered above): .

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds: acres.
- Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .
- Wetlands: acres.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the “Significant Nexus” standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds: acres.
- Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .
- Wetlands: acres.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply) - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):

- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: See administrative record.
- Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
- Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
- Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
- Data sheets prepared by the Corps: .
- Corps navigable waters’ study: .
- USGS NHD data.
- USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
- U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Google earth.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Web Soil Survey.
- National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: .

10 Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.
State/Local wetland inventory map(s): .
FEMA/FIRM maps: .
100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
Photographs: ☒ Aerial (Name & Date): Google earth, Iowa State Server.
    or ☒ Other (Name & Date): Site photos from delineation report.
Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: .
Applicable/supporting case law: .
Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .
Other information (please specify): Iowa State Lidar.

**B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:** This JD covers Wetland 3 that is identified on the attached maps. Other waters associated with this delineation are addressed on other AJD forms.
This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): July 14, 2016

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: MVR, 2016-32, Clinton Regional Economic Development

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:
   State: Iowa  County/parish/borough: Clinton  City: Clinton
   Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 41.807342° N  Long. -90.328575° W
   Universal Transverse Mercator: Zone 15T 4631874.90 m N, 721739.32 m E
   Name of nearest waterbody: Unnamed, Rock Creek
   Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Mississippi River
   Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 07080103
   Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
   Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc…) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
   Office (Desk) Determination.  Date: June 22, 2016
   Field Determination.  Date(s):

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
   There are no “navigable waters of the U.S.” within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.  [Required]
   Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
   Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.
   Explain: .

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
   There are “waters of the U.S.” within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.  [Required]

   1. Waters of the U.S.
      a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): 1
         - TNWs, including territorial seas
         - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
         - Relatively permanent waters2 (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
         - Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
         - Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
         - Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
         - Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
         - Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
         - Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

      b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:
         Non-wetland waters:  linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres.
         Wetlands: acres.

      c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual
         Elevation of established OHWM (if known): .

   2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):3
      - Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional.
      - Explain: The following excavated roadside ditches and associated wetlands are not jurisdictional: Channel 1/Wetland 1, Channel 2/Wetland 2, Wetland 4, Wetland 5, Wetland 6, Wetland 7. These ditch features are all associated with recently constructed roads/development. These areas were excavated wholly in upland and drain only upland and do not have relatively permanent flow. The wetlands identified are all within the areas excavated in uplands, and now support hydrophytic vegetation. Prior to the construction of the railroad facilities between 2012-2014, the above

---

1 Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.
2 For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least “seasonally” (e.g., typically 3 months).
3 Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.
mentioned features did not exist on the landscape. These features would not have occurred if not for the development and their construction. These features are not WOUS.
SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW
   Identify TNW: 
   Summarize rationale supporting determination: 

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW
   Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is “adjacent”: 

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under Rapanos have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are “relatively permanent waters” (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

   (i) General Area Conditions:
      Watershed size: square miles
      Drainage area: Pick List
      Average annual rainfall: inches
      Average annual snowfall: inches

   (ii) Physical Characteristics:
      (a) Relationship with TNW:
         □ Tributary flows directly into TNW.
         □ Tributary flows through Pick List tributaries before entering TNW.
         Project waters are Pick List river miles from TNW.
         Project waters are Pick List river miles from RPW.
         Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
         Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from RPW.
         Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: No.
      Identify flow route to TNW: The seasonal RPW flows into Rock Creek which flows into the Mississippi River.
      Tributary stream order, if known: 

4 Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.
5 Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.
(b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):

**Tributary** is:
- [ ] Natural

**Tributary** properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):
- Average width: feet
- Average depth: feet
- Average side slopes: Pick List.

Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):
- [ ] Silts
- [ ] Sands
- [ ] Cobbles
- [ ] Gravel
- [ ] Bedrock
- [ ] Vegetation. Type/% cover: .
- [ ] Concrete
- [ ] Muck
- [ ] Other. Explain: .

Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Erosion is managed by agricultural drainage techniques which includes buffer establishment.

Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Does not appear to be present.

Tributary geometry: Pick List

Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): 1 %

(c) Flow:

**Tributary provides for:** Pick List

Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List

Describe flow regime: Flow is likely present during spring runoff and snow melt and then periodically throughout the year. The stream likely has significant inputs from agricultural drainage.

Other information on duration and volume: .


Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings: .

Dye (or other) test performed: .

Tributary has (check all that apply):
- [ ] Bed and banks
- [ ] OHWM** (check all indicators that apply):
  - [ ] clear, natural line impressed on the bank
  - [ ] changes in the character of soil
  - [ ] shelving
  - [ ] vegetation matted down, bent, or absent
  - [ ] leaf litter disturbed or washed away
  - [ ] sediment deposition
  - [ ] water staining
  - [ ] other (list):
  - the presence of litter and debris
  - destruction of terrestrial vegetation
  - the presence of wrack line
  - sediment sorting
  - scour
  - multiple observed or predicted flow events
  - abrupt change in plant community

If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):
- [ ] High Tide Line indicated by:
  - [ ] oil or scum line along shore objects
  - [ ] fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)
  - [ ] physical markings/characteristics
  - [ ] tidal gauges
  - [ ] other (list):
- [ ] Mean High Water Mark indicated by:
  - [ ] survey to available datum;
  - [ ] physical markings;
  - [ ] vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).

Explain: .

Identify specific pollutants, if known: .

---

*A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody’s flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.
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(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):
- Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): .
- Habitat for:
  - Federally Listed species. Explain findings: .
  - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: .
  - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: .
  - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: .

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics:
(a) General Wetland Characteristics:
  Properties:
  - Wetland size: acres
  - Wetland type. Explain: .
  Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: .

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:
  Flow is: Pick List. Explain: .
  Surface flow is: Pick List
  Characteristics: .
  Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings: .
  Dye (or other) test performed: .

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:
  - Directly abutting
  - Not directly abutting
  - Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: .
  - Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: .

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW
  Project wetlands are Pick List river miles from TNW.
  Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
  Flow is from: Pick List.
  Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain.

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:
  Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: .
  Identify specific pollutants, if known: .

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):
  - Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): .
  - Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: .
  - Habitat for:
    - Federally Listed species. Explain findings: .
    - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: .
    - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: .
    - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: .

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)
   All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: Pick List
   Approximately ( ) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.
For each wetland, specify the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</th>
<th>Size (in acres)</th>
<th>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</th>
<th>Size (in acres)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: ____________________________

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW.

Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the Rapanos Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

1. **Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs.** Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D: ____________________________

2. **Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs.** Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: ____________________________

3. **Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW.** Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: ____________________________

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1. **TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands.** Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:
   - TNWs: linear feet width (ft), or acres.
   - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.

2. **RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**
   - Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: Tributary is mapped as perennial on USGS and NHD maps. Aerial photography shows channel characteristics that support perennial flow and include: clear/defined channel, dimensions that support high flows and presence of water in all aerial photography reviewed.
   - Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow “seasonally” (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: See III.B. above: ____________________________
Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):
- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters: acres.
  Identify type(s) of waters: .

3. **Non-RPWs**\(^9\) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
- Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):
- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters: acres.
  Identify type(s) of waters: .

4. **Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**
- Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.
- Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: .
- Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow “seasonally.” Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: .

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 1.71 acres.

5. **Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**
- Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

6. **Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**
- Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

7. **Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.**\(^9\)
- As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.
  - Demonstrate that impoundment was created from “waters of the U.S.,” or
  - Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or
  - Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

E. **ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):**\(^10\)
- which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
- from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
- which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
- Interstate isolated waters. Explain: .
- Other factors. Explain: .

---
\(^8\)See Footnote # 3.
\(^9\) To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
\(^10\) Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.
Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters: acres.
- Identify type(s) of waters:
- Wetlands: acres.

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
- Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
- Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in “SWANCC,” the review area would have been regulated based solely on the “Migratory Bird Rule” (MBR).
- Waters do not meet the “Significant Nexus” standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:
- Other: (explain, if not covered above): Drainage features excavated in uplands draining only upland that do not have relatively permanent flow.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds: acres.
- Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:
- Wetlands: acres.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the “Significant Nexus” standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds: acres.
- Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:
- Wetlands: acres.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):

- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: See administrative record.
- Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
- Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
- Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
- Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
- Corps navigable waters’ study:
- U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
- USGS NHD data.
- USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
- U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Google earth.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Web Soil Survey.
- National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:
- State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
- FEMA/FIRM maps:
- 100-year Floodplain Elevation is (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
- Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Google earth, Iowa State Server.
- or Other (Name & Date): Site photos from delineation report.
- Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
- Applicable/supporting case law:
- Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
- Other information (please specify): Iowa State Lidar.
B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: This JD covers multiple aquatic features identified in the Olsson Associates wetland delineation completed for the Lincolnway Industrial Rail and Air Park. The following features were determined to be non-jurisdictional: Channel 1 and 2, Wetlands 1, 2, 4, 5, 6 and 7.

The additional wetlands identified in the delineation report will be evaluated in a separate JD form.